Air Force Institute of Technology AFIT Scholar

Theses and Dissertations

Student Graduate Works

3-2003

Decision Analysis Model for Refreshment of Geobase Imagery: Basis for Investment Strategy

Matthew S. Craig

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholar.afit.edu/etd

Part of the Geography Commons

Recommended Citation

Craig, Matthew S., "Decision Analysis Model for Refreshment of Geobase Imagery: Basis for Investment Strategy" (2003). *Theses and Dissertations*. 4217. https://scholar.afit.edu/etd/4217

This Thesis is brought to you for free and open access by the Student Graduate Works at AFIT Scholar. It has been accepted for inclusion in Theses and Dissertations by an authorized administrator of AFIT Scholar. For more information, please contact richard.mansfield@afit.edu.





DECISION ANALYSIS MODEL FOR REFRESHMENT OF GEOBASE IMAGERY: BASIS FOR INVESTMENT STRATEGY

THESIS

Matthew S. Craig, Captain, USAF

AFIT/GEE/ENV/03-05

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE AIR UNIVERSITY

AIR FORCE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED



www.manaraa.com

The views expressed in this thesis are those of the author and do not reflect the official policy or position of the United States Air Force, Department of Defense, or the U. S. Government.



AFIT/GEE/ENV/03-05

DECISION ANALYSIS MODEL FOR REFRESHMENT OF GEOBASE IMAGERY: BASIS FOR INVESTMENT STRATEGY

THESIS

Presented to the Faculty

Department of Systems and Engineering Management

Graduate School of Engineering and Management

Air Force Institute of Technology

Air University

Air Education and Training Command

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the

Degree of Master of Science in Engineering and Environmental Management

Matthew S. Craig

Captain, USAF

March 2003

APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEASE; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMITED



AFIT/GEE/ENV/03-05

DECISION ANALYSIS MODEL FOR REFRESHMENT OF GEOBASE IMAGERY: BASIS FOR INVESTMENT STRATEGY

Matthew S. Craig Captain, USAF

Approved:

/SIGNED/ Heidi S. Brothers, Lt Col, Ph.D., P.E. (Chairman) <u>20-Mar-03</u> date

/SIGNED/ Robert A. Hamel, Lt Col, P.E.

<u>19-Feb-03</u> date

/SIGNED/ Robert Mills, Lt Col, Ph.D., <u>19-Feb-03</u> date



Acknowledgements

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my faculty advisor, Lt Col Heidi S. Brothers, for her guidance and support throughout the course of this thesis effort. Her insight and patience was instrumental in my research. I would also like to thank my committee members, Lt Col Robert A. Hamel, and Lt Col Robert Mills, for their time and effort in reviewing my thesis work.

I also express sincere appreciation to my sponsors, the Air Force Civil Engineer Support Agency and Col Brian Cullis, HAF Geo Integration Office. I would also like to thank Col William Drake, Pacific Air Forces Deputy Civil Engineer, and the various GeoBase personnel who provided invaluable information and assistance.

Finally, I would like to thank my wife. I would not have been as successful without the patience, support, encouragement, and love of my family.

Matthew S. Craig



Table of Contents

	Page
Acknowledgements	iv
List of Figures	viii
List of Tables	ix
Abstract	X
I. Introduction	1
Background	1
Problem Statement	4
Research Objectives	
Methodology	
Relevance	
Thesis Overview	7
II. Literature Review	
Introduction	8
Military	
MAJCOM	
Wings	
Groups	
New Wing/Groups Organizational Structure	
Decision Making	
Geospatial Information Technologies	
Global Positioning System.	
Remote Sensing.	
Imagery.	
Geographic Information Systems (GIS).	
Air Force Application of Geospatial Information Technology	
GeoBase	
Summary	
III. Methodology	
Introduction	20
Define the Objective	31



	Page
Adequate Background	
Determine Problem Structure	
Constraint Analysis	
Information Gathering	
GeoBase Laboratory	
Information Search.	
Information Collection	
Prepare the Data for Modeling	
Select and Transform the Variables	
Decision Trees	
Decision Matrix	
Mathematical Model	
Process and Evaluate the Model	
Installation Readiness Report.	
Facility Investment Matrix	
Base Priority Listings	
Validate the Model	
Implement and Maintain the Model	
Summary	
Introduction	
Information Gathering	
GeoBase Laboratory	
Information Search	
GeoBase Information Category	
Facility Information Category	
Environmental Information Category	
Information Collection	
Prepare the Data for Modeling	
Select and Transform the Variables	
Process and Evaluate the Model	
Individual Installations	
Installation Comparisons	
Validate the Model	
Implement and Maintain the Model	
Summary	
V. Conclusions	
Findings	88
Recommendations	



Conclusions	
Areas of Future Research	
Appendix A: Installation List of Changes	
Appendix B: Category Weighting Questionnaire	116
Appendix C: Questionnaire Results	120
Appendix D: Decision Analysis Model for Refreshment of GeoBase Imagery	124
Appendix E: Determining Change Value Instruction Booklet	127
Appendix F: Sample Report	131
Bibliography	136



List of Figures

	Page
Figure 1: Department of the Air Force	
Figure 2: Air Force Structure	
Figure 3: ACC MAJCOM Structure	
Figure 4: Wing Structure	
Figure 5: New Wing/Group Structure	
Figure 6: Global Positioning System	
Figure 7: Example of GIS Application	
Figure 8: Multiple Perspective of the Mission Situation	
Figure 9: Common Installation Picture (CIP)	
Figure 10: Common Installation Picture (CIP)	
Figure 11: Kadena Air Base Imagery and Changes	
Figure 12: Sample List of Kadena Air Base Facilities	
Figure 13: Sample Installation Report	
Figure 14: Sample List of Changes	



List of Tables

Table 1 Category Code Mapping to IRR Facility Class	44
Table 2: Potential Installation List	54
Table 3: Installations used in research	61
Table 4: Category Ranking	71
Table 5: Final Rank-Ordered Category Weights	73
Table 6: Category Weights using Direct Value Method	74
Table 7: Kadena Air Base	75
Table 8: Anderson Air Force Base	
Table 9: Eielson Air Force Base	77
Table 10: Grand Forks Air Force Base	
Table 11: MacDill Air Force Base	
Table 12: Barksdale Air Force Base	
Table 13: Summary Values	80
Table 14: Age versus Rank-Ordered Value	80
Table 15: Weighted Values for 12 Categories	82



Page

AFIT/GEE/ENV/03-05

<u>Abstract</u>

The United States Air Force is in the process of implementing GeoBase, an initiative to change how geospatial information resources are being acquired, implemented, exploited, and sustained on USAF installations around the world. Using GeoBase increases situational awareness and minimizes decision risk for all installation personnel. GeoBase is a geographic information system, using commercial-off-the-shelf software to provide one source for spatial information on an installation. GeoBase uses imagery as one layer of information. The accuracy of the imagery directly impacts the effectiveness of GeoBase.

The purpose of this thesis was to develop a decision model to be used in determining imagery refreshment in an installation's GeoBase program. A decision analysis model was developed based on the square footages of changes to the installation. A weighting method process was developed and used to better capture the mission priorities of the installation. This effort resulted in a mathematical equation providing a value representing the amount of change on an installation when compared with its imagery.

Results of this effort were tested using information from six installations from three different major commands. This model produces a value comparable across the Air Force and can assist in determining refreshment strategies at each MAJCOM.



www.manaraa.com

Х

DECISION ANALYSIS MODEL FOR REFRESHMENT OF GEOBASE IMAGERY: BASIS FOR INVESTMENT STRATEGY

I. Introduction

Background

Air Force organizations worldwide make decisions every day. These decisions cost time, money, and manpower. The first step in making decisions is to gather pertinent information. Information, one part of the decision making process, comes in all shapes, sizes, and formats and may be received by the decision maker in visual, verbal, or mental form.

Air Force decision makers range from top commanders to lower-echelon base personnel. Different decisions require different types and amounts of information. Simple and routine decisions may require very little information, whereas the more complicated and permanent decisions may require significantly more information. Two areas of information which affect the decision maker's ability to properly make a decision are the amount and the accuracy of the information. The amount of information should only be sufficient enough to make the decision. Any additional information, not necessary to make the decision, can potentially distract or hinder the decision making process. A second measure of information is accuracy. The level of accuracy has a direct effect on decision making process. First, inaccurate information can lead to bad decisions. Second, the perceived accuracy of the information can decrease the level of confidence in the decision.



www.manaraa.com

The primary role of the Air Force Civil Engineer is to "provide general and combat engineering; explosive ordnance disposal; disaster preparedness; environmental management; major accident recovery; fire protection; and mitigation and recovery from the effects of mass destruction (including nuclear, biological, and chemical weapons), peacetime emergencies, and terrorist incidents" (6:5). In support of Air Force and Department of Defense missions, many types of information are used to perform these functions. One type of information is geospatial data.

Geospatial data is "information about the location and shape of, and relationships among, geographic features, usually stored as coordinates and topology" (16:2). Geospatial information provides much more information than "traditional" paper maps, currently used by the military. "Traditional" maps use a two dimensional concept, typically depicting the location of a feature in relation to a point of reference or another feature. Usually, little more information is provided on these "traditional" paper maps.

The use of geospatial information, which encompasses additional elements of information, increases the military's flexibility and decision making ability (29). By increasing access to proper information and increasing the accuracy and timeliness of the information, the decision maker's ability to make decisions is enhanced.

Geographic Information Systems (GIS), a computer based tool, manipulates geospatial information for mapping and analyzing data with spatial components. A simple definition of GIS is "an organized collection of computer hardware, software, geographic data, and personnel designed to efficiently capture, store, update, manipulate, analyze, and display all forms of geographically referenced information" (29). GIS applications have been used by many Air Force agencies to enhance the decision making



process in areas including Command and Control (C2), Operations, Facilities Management, and Environmental Resource Management. For example, situational awareness is a crucial component of effective C2 for a commander. Typically, one of the tools used to obtain situational awareness is a map. Using traditional paper maps with overlays depicting the evolving situation creates a potential problem of ensuring different decision makers have identical information. Using GIS applications ensures all decision makers have identical information simultaneously. This information can be updated in "real time" as events are occurring.

GeoBase is an initiative to change how geospatial information resources are being acquired, implemented, exploited, and sustained on United States Air Force (USAF) installations around the world (29). The mission of GeoBase is to "attain, maintain, and sustain one geospatial infostructure supporting all installation requirements" (31). The four mission impacts of GeoBase are Garrison GeoBase, GeoReach process, Expeditionary GeoBase, and Strategic GeoBase. Garrison GeoBase, the focus of the research, uses a single approach of geospatial management to replace the many overlapping mapping efforts across our Air Force installations (31).

The GeoBase Initiative began in the fall of 1998 when representatives from the communications and information community met with civil engineer agencies to explore how the two functional missions could share geospatial information resources (20:1-3). The origin of the initiative was an extensive scientific research paper conducted in 1995 by Brian J. Cullis. This paper examined the factors affecting successful use of geospatial information technologies by military organizations on US defense installation (20, 29)



www.manaraa.com

GeoBase, used as software-based decision support tool provides information from a variety of different sources and includes people, processes, and resources used in the collection, analysis, and display of georeferenced data to support the installation (30). The method of displaying an installation's geospatial data is the Common Installation Picture (CIP). The CIP combines features extracted from a photograph, existing legacy data and an image to depict the infrastructure, facilities, and terrain of an installation. The image is used as a backdrop to enhance the decision process. A map uses common symbols to denote different features, whereas, a photograph will display the feature as actually seen by the viewer. This photograph of an installation can not be easily altered or updated to reflect changes in the actual conditions of the installation.

Problem Statement

A comprehensive plan does not exist for collecting, maintaining, and refreshing geo-spatial data on Air Force (AF) installations. The motto of the GeoBase initiative is "One Installation, One Map." In order to be an effective tool for commanders to use in making decisions, the "One" map must provide a complete and accurate depiction of actual conditions. The photograph used in the CIP must be updated periodically in order to provide accurate information. Over the course of a few years, changes on an installation may include demolishing buildings, roads, or other structures, and/or constructing new facilities or adding to existing facilities. As this process continues, the imagery that was taken begins to provide insufficient or inaccurate information.

The decision of how often and which method to use in updating the photograph incorporates many factors. Factors include cost, time, availability of methods, and level



www.manaraa.com

of detail required. The method of obtaining an image is called remote sensing, which can be accomplished using airborne (aircraft), or space borne (satellites) platforms. Currently, no guidance or tools are available to assist in determining the frequency of updating an installations imagery map.

Research Objectives

The objective of this thesis research is to develop a decision tool to be used by any AF installation to assist in quantifying the amount of change in the installation's existing imagery with actual conditions. The ability to measure the level of change can provide information in determining when a refreshment of the image is necessary. The decision tool will have provisions for incorporating specific requirements for different installations.

Methodology

A multi-step approach was taken to develop a decision tool to be used in the GeoBase effort. The first step involves gaining a significant understanding of GeoBase, Geographic Information Systems (GIS), and imagery. The second step was developing a laboratory to accomplish two objectives: assist in learning and to facilitate research. Investigation of various decision analysis models was performed. A specific model was chosen to quantify the amount of change to an installation when compared with its imagery. The model chosen to be used as a decision tool for an installation is the mathematical model. The development of the model incorporated data collection,



www.manaraa.com

equation development, evaluation, validation, and implementation. The final step identifies model limitations and recommendations of the model.

Relevance

GeoBase is an information management initiative that extends the Air Force's application of geospatial intelligence. Installation operations require the creation, management, and sharing of critical georeferenced information. This mapping tool assists the decision maker by increasing the overall situational awareness during any activity on an installation. The strategy of GeoBase emphasizes the organizational use of the information over the use of the individual hardware and software. The success of Garrison GeoBase can be attributed to a disciplined process to sustain accurate information. As installations change their facilities, their imagery becomes more inaccurate. As accuracy of the imagery decreases, an installation must determine when to refresh their imagery. This research provides a timely, quantifiable, and justifiable decision protocol for determining when an installation's imagery should be refreshed. The high cost of obtaining usable imagery requires a systematic approach to the decision making process when determining refreshment that meets the mission and provides cost savings from only refreshing when justified. The results of this research can be used by the installation, major command, or Headquarters Air Force in the overall management process of Air Force imagery.



www.manaraa.com

Thesis Overview

The remainder of the thesis includes four chapters: literature review, methodology, results, and conclusions. Chapter 2 presents background information on AF installations, civil engineer squadron organization and responsibilities, Geographic Information Systems, GeoBase applications, and management decisions using GeoBase. Chapter 3 describes the methodology used in developing the mathematical model. Chapter 4 contains the results of the methodology, details the application of the methodology, discusses issues relevant to gathering and analyzing the data, develops the model, and evaluates the model. Chapter 5 summarizes the research results, identifies the strengths and weaknesses, and provides recommendations for future research in the area of GeoBase in the AF.



II. Literature Review

Introduction

The GeoBase program is an information initiative that extends the application of geospatial intelligence and associated tools to improve the situational awareness of Air Force personnel. This chapter presents detailed information related to the GeoBase program. The Military Organizational Structure and its Mission are presented to provide a background and to illustrate the role of GeoBase in the Air Force. A brief discussion of decision making is introduced to explain the basic tenets of decision making from an organizational perspective. A substantial explanation of geospatial information technologies to include Global Positioning System, Remote Sensing, geospatial information technologies, the GeoBase concept, and specific applications of geospatial technologies in the Air Force is provided in this chapter.

Military

The United States Air Force uses an organizational structure to provide a chain of command, to clarify capabilities of a given unit/activity, and to facilitate resource allocation. The design of the organizational structure is guided by five principles: emphasis on wartime tasks, functional grouping, lean organizational structures, skip-echelon structure, and standard levels (10:5). The top level of the Air Force organization is the senior headquarters of the Air Force (HQ USAF), consisting of two entities: the Secretariat (including the Secretary of the Air Force and the Secretary's principal staff), and the Air Staff, headed by the Chief of Staff. Within the Air Force structure are establishments, units, and non-units which report to HQ USAF. Establishments consist



of a headquarters unit and its subordinate units. An example of an establishment is a major command. Each major command in the Air Force contains a headquarters unit and numerous subordinate organizations under the headquarters. Units are defined as any military organization constituted by directives issued by HQ USAF. To provide an unbroken chain of command, all military personnel must be assigned to a particular unit. Additionally, each unit must have an officer designated as its commander (10:5-8).

The Department of the Air Force structure contains four major subdivisions directly reporting to Headquarters USAF. The organizations are Direct Reporting Units (DRU), Field Operating Agencies (FOA), Major Commands (MAJCOM), and Air National Guard (ANG). Figure 1 illustrates the arrangement of organizations within the Air Force. DRUs perform a mission that does not fit into one of the MAJCOMs, yet maintains equal administrative and organizational responsibilities as the MAJCOM. An example of a DRU is the United States Air Force Academy (USAFA), located in Colorado. The USAFA reports directly to Chief of Staff of the Air Force. FOAs differ from DRUs in two ways: FOAs report to a functional manager and may fall under HQ USAF or a MAJCOM.

An installation's overall mission determines which organizational units are needed to accomplish the mission. Different installations with similar missions will share similar organizational structures. These same installations will be grouped together and be part of a larger organization. An installation may have more than one mission, therefore more than one kind of organization structure. An installation may have a wing or a numbered air force (both part of a Major Command), direct reporting units, or a Field Operating Agency.



www.manaraa.com



Figure 1: Department of the Air Force (21)

MAJCOM

One of the four major subdivisions in the Air Force is the Major Command (MAJCOM). Each MAJCOM, which reports directly to HQ USAF, consists of a skipechelon structure. A MAJCOM contains lower organizational groupings to include numbered air forces (NAF), wings, groups, squadrons, and flights (10:9). An organizational chart in Figure 2 displays the major commands that report to the Headquarters US Air Force. The nine different commands are:

- Air Mobility Command (AMC)
- Air Combat Command (ACC)
- Pacific Air Forces (PACAF)
- United States Air Forces Europe (USAFE)
- Air Force Space Command (AFSPC)
- Air Force Special Operations Command (AFSOC)



- Air Force Material Command (AFMC)
- Air Education and Training (AETC)
- Air Reserves (AFRC)

Each MAJCOM has a unique mission with their set of requirements to complete

their mission. The mission statement of ACC is, for example:

"The U.S. Air Force's Air Combat Command, with headquarters at Langley AFB, VA, is the primary provider of air combat forces to America's Unified Combatant Commands. ACC operates fighter, bomber, reconnaissance, battle-management, rescue and theater airlift aircraft, as well as command, control, communications and intelligence systems" (1).



Figure 2: Air Force Structure (21)

Numbered Air Forces are the next subdivision under the MAJCOM. NAFs are a level below the MAJCOM and above the wing. Using the previous example, the Figure 3 displays the organizational chart for the ACC MAJCOM, ACC Numbered Air Forces, and ACC wings. Numbered Air Forces are a level of command under the MAJCOM and



over the wing. NAFs are responsible for MAJCOM operations in a specific geographic region or theater of operations. Following the chain down, NAFs are assigned subordinate units, such as wings, groups, and squadrons.



Figure 3: ACC MAJCOM Structure (21)

Wings

The level of command below a MAJCOM is called a wing. There are three types of wings: Operational, Air Base, and Specialized Mission. Each wing has a distinct mission with significant scope (10:10). Operational wings contain an operations group and related operational mission activity assigned to it. When an operational wing performs the primary mission of the base, usually the operational wing maintains the installation. Air Base wings do not have an operational mission, but a support mission primarily maintaining and operating the base. Specialized Mission Wings perform a



specialized mission, and do not have any aircraft or missiles assigned to the base. An example of a Specialized Mission Wing is the 37th training Wing (TRW) located at Lackland Air Force Base, Texas. This wing is the largest training wing providing basic military, professional and technical skills, and English language training for the Air Force, other military services, government agencies, and allies (23). If the wing is not responsible for maintaining the installation, a designated group or groups, containing several squadrons, is given the responsibility. Figure 4 shows a typical wing structure.



Figure 4: Wing Structure (10:19)

Groups

Groups are a level of command that report directly to a wing. Similar to a numbered air force, the group acts as tactical echelon and typically does not contain significant staff support. As in the wing, the group typically has two or more units under



its command (10:10). Groups are further divided into two different categories, dependent and independent. Examples of Dependent Groups include mission, logistics, support, and medical. Figure 4 contains Dependent Groups. Independent groups have similar functions to a wing organization, but its scope and size do not warrant a wing-level designation (10:10). For example, the 85th Group is in independent group located at Keflavik Naval Air Station (NAS), Iceland. This tenant unit is comprised of seven squadrons and thirteen staff agencies.

New Wing/Groups Organizational Structure

A new direction in the wing structure was directed by the Chief of Staff of the Air Force on June 20, 2002. This change effectively changed the names and components of the groups under each wing within the Total Force (Active, Guard, and Reserve). The purpose of the structure change was to attain an effective means to provide expeditionary power, handle an aging fleet, provide support personnel the training they need to deploy and sustain an operational presence anywhere in the world (3:2).

The deadline for full operational capability of this new structure is September 30, 2003 (12:4). Until this time, certain installations may continue under the old wing structure.

The new wing structure is called the Combat Wing Organization. This organization is "designed to fully develop commanders with specific functional expertise to fully plan and execute air and space power as part of expeditionary units" (3:1). The new structure also contains four groups: Operations, Maintenance, Mission Support, and Medical (12:A-I-1). Figure 5 illustrates the new wing organization. The Operations



www.manaraa.com

Group contains the Operations Squadrons and the Operations Support Squadrons. The Maintenance Group will contain all the aircraft/missile maintenance squadrons. The Mission Support Squadron incorporates all the installation support, contingency, contracting, and other support functions. The Medical group was not reorganized (3:1-2).



Figure 5: New Wing/Group Structure (12:A-I-1)

Decision Making

All organizations make decisions everyday ranging from policy, strategy, short and long-range plans and day-to-day operations. Making these decisions even harder are



constraints on funds, time, resources, and information. Proper information is vital to making a good decision. Information should be relevant, accurate and sufficient to ensure that the decision-maker has the information needed to make a suitable decision (4:3).

The decision making process should be a careful systematic approach, especially when making difficult decisions. Typically, a well-executed approach to making difficult decisions incorporates a step-by-step approach. There does not exist a set list of steps to follow in making good decisions, rather general guidelines. One general guideline includes the analysis of data. A list of alternatives can be generated from the data analysis and a decision can then be made. As can be expected, more than one way exists to analyze or examine data. A common method of examining data is modeling.

Geospatial Information Technologies

Geography seeks to describe the world's human and physical features through an analysis of place and location. An important element to the concept of place and location is using a single reference point. For example, Earth provides a single frame of reference, which allows the geographer to locate any feature relative to a single location and then study the spatial interrelationships. Spatial data refers to the information about a location of a feature, the shape, and the relationships among geographic features.

Recently, the invention of the computer has considerably advanced the method and the speed in which we collect and analyze data (5:3). Three different innovations are responsible for the significant increases in the ability to collect, analyze, and organize large amounts of geographic data. They are Global Positioning System (GPS), remote



www.manaraa.com

sensing, and geographic information systems (GIS) (5:3). Remote sensing produces imagery maps, which is part of geospatial technology.

Global Positioning System.

GPS, originally named the Navigation System with Timing and Ranging (NAVSTAR), was developed by the US Department of Defense (DoD) to provide 24hour worldwide weather information used for navigation by US military forces (17). GPS has become an integral part of numerous civilian applications, providing information to many thousands of civilian GPS users (17; 18). GPS employs 24 satellites in six orbit planes with four operational satellites in each plane, as shown in Figure 6. These satellites use triangulation to determine a particular location on Earth (17; 18). GPS, a valuable tool for surveying, is one of the primary methods used to update an installation's maps.



Figure 6: Global Positioning System (24)



Remote Sensing.

A general definition of remote sensing involves gathering data and information about an object or objects without physical contact (26). A geographer's definition of remote sensing typically includes the technology of acquiring information about the earth's surface (features) and atmospheric information using sensors (26). Geospatial data refers to a geographic feature that can be located using a coordinate plane or from a single point of reference. Common methods used in remote sensing include aerial photography, radar, and satellite imaging (16). Imagery technology allows airborne commercial sensors to collect imagery with less than one-meter resolution across the visible, infrared, and microwave regions of the electromagnetic spectrum. This advanced capability improves the surveyor's ability to map existing features and changes to an area. Imagery has replaced analog photography (commonly used by installations in the past). Imagery is used on installations as a mapping tool due to its ability for geographic feature extraction. Geographic features are defined according to the Environmental Systems Research Institute (ESRI) as physical objects that can be represented using geographic data sets. Examples of geographic features include streets, sewer lines, manhole covers, accidents, lot lines, and parcels.

Imagery.

Remote sensing produces images using photographic or digital systems. Photographic systems use light sensitive film to take pictures of a geographic area. Digital images are created when electronic sensors collect data from a geographic area



www.manaraa.com

and a computer generates a picture. The GeoBase imagery layer may be acquired using either photographic or digital methods.

Photographic images include black and white panchromatic or infrared, color, and color infrared film. One problem with photographic images is distortion. Distortions alter the actual appearance of size and shapes of geographic features. Distortion of an image is measured usually spatial resolution. Spatial resolution is the size of the smallest identifiable feature on the image. Photographs typically provide high spatial resolution. An image resolution of 1-meter identifies features equal or larger than one square meter.

Digital images provide two- and three-dimensional images. Multi-spectral and radar sensors produce two-dimensional images. Multi-spectral digital images collect data on different sections of the electromagnetic spectrum. Satellites and aircraft can be equipped to obtain multi-spectral and radar sensors. Three-dimensional images are produced by Light Detection And Ranging (LiDAR) sensors. LiDAR uses a laser attached to an airplane to detect the elevation and location of features in a particular geographic area. LiDAR is usually combined with spectral imagery to provide horizontal and vertical feature information.

Satellite imagery is available from a variety of sources to include the National Imagery and Mapping Agency (NIMA), United States Geological Survey (USGS), and commercial companies. A DoD organization can obtain digital imagery from NIMA or a commercial organization directly. If an organization requests imagery that NIMA does not have, an existing NIMA contract can be used to purchase the imagery at a low cost. As the availability of imagery increases, the cost decreases. Currently, 1-meter imagery of all installations is available through NIMA at little or no cost which can be used by



GeoBase. Unfortunately, very few installations are aware of this capability and the process to obtain the imagery is complicated.

Geographic Information Systems (GIS).

GIS in the strictest sense is a "computer system capable of assembling, storing, manipulating, and displaying geographically referenced information, i.e. data identified according to their locations" (33). GIS is an organized collection of computer hardware, software, geographic data, and personnel designed to efficiently capture, store, update, manipulate, analyze, and display all forms of geographically referenced information (16). This information is displayed using a common spatial coordinate system as the primary means of reference.

Unlike a paper map, GIS may contain many layers. Figure 7 provides a visual depiction of the different layers. The main difference between a paper map and a GIS map is the database that is attached to the GIS map. A database or a set of databases contains information about different points or features on the GIS map. For example, the GIS map will show the relative position of a building, but also provide other information to include building dimensions, number of floors, age, or list all renovations. A paper map only displays information on the paper.





Figure 7: Example of GIS Application (29)

Air Force Application of Geospatial Information Technology

Since 1989, the DoD has placed an increasing emphasis on the operation of a base's sustaining activities on a more business-like basis (13:1). A major focus involves installation services and facilities. Roughly, \$40 billion is spent by the DoD on over 400 installations in managing over \$1 trillion in assets (13:1). In the U.S., our installations support approximately 1 million active military members, 2 million family members, 800,000 civilian employees, and 1 million military retirees. Over the years, significant investments in GPS, remote sensing, and GIS were made typically from civil engineers and environmental managers. These investments attempted to improve the existing processes used in maintaining and operating the installation. One of the problems associated with these investments was the limited sharing ability of these programs. The



magnitude and complexity of all of the DoD's information technology resources require effective and efficient management.

Unfortunately, numerous surveys and studies have indicated serious problems with the DoD's IT investments. The General Accounting Office (GAO) reported almost 70% of new IT investments across federal agencies were failing due to non-technical reasons such as inadequate management of information systems development life cycle, ineffective oversight and control of IT, and the inability of systems to work together (33:8). In the 1980's, the Air Force invested in GIS, GPS, and remote technologies, but encountered similar problems identified by the GAO. These reasons were attempted to be addressed in the Clinger-Cohen Act.

Consequently, the DoD sponsored a three year study of geospatial IT adoption on defense installations in 1992. The intent of the study was to identify the social and technical factors that might affect adoption (5:10). Results of the study revealed numerous problems with the use of geospatial IT on defense installations. Almost two-thirds of the installations had no specific objectives for their investments. In almost all cases, no attempt was made to share geospatial information across the installation. The most significant problem was that none of the organizations had changed their internal operating procedures to use geospatial information (5:11). Organizational processes and cultures changed very little to accommodate the new technology.

Poor management of information on an installation and across organizations has caused significant difficulties. Information mishaps are frequently reported at the base level. Examples include: (a) a communications contract to lay cable in a location where a newly constructed road was completed by the civil engineer squadron; (b) a backhoe



www.manaraa.com

operator disrupting a communication line due to inaccurate maps; and (c) weapons safety managers discovering new base housing foundations violated the quantity-distance arcs from recently repositioned munitions (5:11).

A solution to this information problem can be found in the conclusions of the DoD sponsored study. Two of the conclusions from the study are: (a) "all users within the battlespace infosphere have a need for georeferenced information to accomplish their tasks in the most efficient and effective manner; (b) the optimum solution would be to establish a common geospatial framework for all warfighters so the defense department can improve interoperability and maximize the return on its GIS investment" (5:12). The development of a common geospatial framework, which would provide users access to the information necessary, results in a Common Installation Picture (CIP).

The CIP is an effective tool to help eliminate situational confusion at the unit level (5:16). Situational confusion exists when information is inaccurate, outdated, inaccessible, or inadequate. Currently, units collect information relevant to their mission tasks and organize it in a framework they are accustomed and typically accessible only to them, as illustrated in Figure 8. For example, the Security Forces may use a paper-base map, sectioning the base from a security perspective. The Civil Engineers typically use non-georeferenced CAD system displaying their information from a base-wide perspective. Additionally, the communication squadron may have their own set of maps detailing the location of existing and future communication lines using a nongeoreferenced CAD graphic different from the Civil Engineers. Another source of information is the surrounding region. This layer of information is generally collected by only one functional element, Civil Engineers. Because of the different types of data



www.manaraa.com

displayed separately, commanders typically do not have access to a complete and accurate depiction of existing conditions.

The information collected by the different functional elements tends to stay with each functional unit. Commanders and functional units do not necessarily have access to other functional's information, which may lead to inaccurate information. Inaccurate information can cost an organization time, money, and resources, and possibly introduce safety risks. Back to the earlier example, the backhoe operator using a map printed from the CE shop would have the new updated fiber optic lines on it.



Figure 8: Multiple Perspective of the Mission Situation (5:17)

The CIP concept provides a method of displaying different functional and surrounding region information from different units in a single georeferenced framework


accessible to everyone, as shown in Figure 9. Decision makers are provided an updated, aggregate view of the surrounding environment. As functional units update their own information, the information is instantly shared among authorized users providing up-todate, accurate information across the widest spectrum necessary on an installation. As local and state governments use GIS technology, the ability to exchange information between the installation and the surrounding community will become available, especially in crisis situations. Through local area networks and the World Wide Web, GIS information can be shared virtually with anyone. A benefit is that everyone involved will be using a common geospatial framework, or what the Air Force has called GeoBase.



Figure 9: Common Installation Picture (CIP) (5:18)



GeoBase

In 1998, representatives from the Communications and Information community met with Civil Engineer agencies to explore how the functional missions could better share geospatial information. The results of this meeting produced the USAF GeoBase Initiative (20:1-2). GeoBase uses a different approach than previous technology investments by introducing geospatial information resources (both data and technology) to the command echelon as a vital Command and Control (C2) mechanism in support at the base level (29). The overall goal of GeoBase is to provide each installation "the organic capacity to access, exploit, and maintain one geospatial information infrastructure supporting multiple mission needs" (20:2).

Using commercial-off-the-shelf (COTS) computer hardware and software, GIS technology, and an intranet or internet, GeoBase was developed to bring the CIP to an installation. GeoBase, like GIS, is a "system", rather than a particular software application. This system integrates multiple data sets from different sources into a common architecture using proven geospatial technology.

Potential uses of GeoBase on an installation are enormous. GeoBase can be used for everyday mission activates to include facility management, airfield operations, base comprehensive planning, utility and communication management. Emergency personnel can quickly identify crisis areas, develop cordons, track evacuations and re-route traffic flow. All base personnel would be working from the same data, minimizing inaccuracies from different information. Another significant benefit is the updating of information to all personnel on a real-time basis. As existing conditions change, the information can



change with it, providing more accurate information to all users and decision-makers. Figure 10 shows a screenshot of an emergency response tool being used in a bomb threat scenario at Kadena AB, Japan. This tool can provide numerous types of information. The security forces can use this tool to develop a cordon, track the evacuation of the facilities within the cordon distance, choose an Entry Control Point, and re-route traffic as necessary. The civil engineers can locate and shut down any utility lines. Simultaneously, the wing commander can be shown all these developments using the same tool, thus providing high situational awareness.



Figure 10: Common Installation Picture (CIP) (5:18)

Summary

This chapter discussed various topics related to the Air Force's application of the GeoBase concept in an effort to provide a background for the research effort. Topics included: Air Force organizational structure, different missions of the major commands



and their effort on GeoBase requirements, satellite and aerial imagery, and a brief discussion of how GeoBase could be used on Air Force installations.



III. Methodology

Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to describe the research methodology that was used to construct and validate a predictive model which will evaluate the amount of change on an Air Force's installation to its imagery. One question in maintaining a GeoBase plan on an installation is how often to refresh the imagery. As time progress, installations change their footprint through new construction, demolition, land acquisition and many other reasons. Since the imagery is not altered to reflect changes to the installation, the imagery increasingly becomes more inaccurate with every change. A predictive model can be used by installations, MAJCOMs, and HQ USAF to quantify the amount of change of an installation in comparison to its imagery. Second, a predictive model provides the ability to determine the changes value given an installation's forecasted changes. Thirdly, this model can be used to compare the amount of changes of different installations across the Air Force with respect to their missions. The development of the model followed a multi-step approach to problem solving.

Effective problem solving follows a sequential series of steps. There is no single method to problem solving and many variations of problem solving steps exist. The proper method or approach used to solve a problem depends on many factors. These factors include the nature of problem, capabilities and restraints of the problem solver, time and resources available to solve the problem, etc. This research uses a logical sequence of steps to solve the research problem. These seven steps are (28):



www.manaraa.com

- 1. Define the Objective
- 2. Information Gathering
- 3. Prepare Data for Modeling
- 4. Select and Transform the Variables
- 5. Process and Evaluate the Model
- 6. Validate the Model
- 7. Implement and Maintain the Model

While the steps follow an identified sequence, iteration of the various steps occurred when there was insufficient evidence to complete a given step.

Problem solving can be broken down into two distinct categories, qualitative and quantitative. Qualitative problem solving methods generally rely upon the collection and manipulation of subjective or expert generated data. On the other hand, quantitative problem solving focuses on the collection and manipulation of empirical or objective data. In this research, a quantitative approach was determined to provide a more objective method for determining the relative change in the imagery with respect to the particular installation and their mission.

Many factors are involved in determining when an installation refreshes the imagery. A model that provides information about the change to the image must incorporate all the relevant factors to an installation to be an effective tool. The methodology used in this research attempted to capture, weight, and show the relationships of all the relevant factors on installations across the Air Force. Each step is explained to document the logical process used in developing the model.



Define the Objective

The first and most important step in solving any problem is defining the objective. In many cases, the symptom or symptoms of a problem are addressed, not the underlying cause. Defining the objective involved three steps. First, adequate background information in the general area of the problem and in any area which may be needed to solve the problem is required (19: 24). Second, determine the problem structure. Different techniques are used to solve different types of problems. Third, perform a constraint analysis. Given the available time, resources, manpower and data constraint, the problem objective should reflect these constraints.

Adequate Background

As stated in Chapter 1, imagery is essentially a picture of an area at a specific point in time. This picture provides a visual depiction of all built-up features of an installation, in other words, any physical natural or man-made features that exist on an installation. Installations are constantly altering their installation footprint. Each year, every installation executes a number of projects affecting an installation's real property. Examples of situations which would alter the installation's footprint include construction projects, demolition projects, changes in the natural environment (tree clearing etc), or land acquisition. Other examples exist that would alter the installation's footprint. Currently, the schedule of refreshment is based on a fixed number of years. Unfortunately, a fixed number of years do not address different rates of change to an installation. Different installations will alter their footprint at different rates. Additionally, the same installation may alter its footprint differently over the same two



www.manaraa.com

periods. Due to variable rates of change, a method of evaluating the total amount of change is required to effectively determine when to refresh the picture.

Determine Problem Structure

Installations, in determining the requirement for refreshment, need a quantifiable and justifiable method in calculating the amount of change that has occurred to the installation since the imagery was acquired. To produce a quantifiable and justifiable number, an objective observation method for obtaining data must be used. The next step is to determine what to do with the data.

Models are an effective method for portraying some aspect of reality. A model is a simplified representation of some aspect of reality. Models are simplified because they cannot completely represent the true environment in its entirety. Entering hard data into a predictive model will produce a quantifiable value. A valid predictive model will produce a justifiable and reproducible model that can be used across different installations.

Constraint Analysis

The last step in problem definition was constraint analysis. Different constraints exist in the GeoBase environment. First, not all installations are at the same level of GeoBase adoption. Certain installations are far more advanced than others. Some installations do not have a GeoBase program. Consequently, data will be limited to only those installations with a GeoBase presence. Second, the overall experience of GeoBase at many of the installations is limited. Since many installations do not have GeoBase, personnel will not have experience in how GeoBase will be used at their installations.



The main objective of this research was to develop a quantitative model that produces a value representing the amount of change to an installation when compared with its imagery. Secondary objectives of the model refer to its sustainability. An effective model must be justifiable, verifiable, and understandable for GeoBase personnel. Another secondary objective include ease of use, compatible to current Air Force systems without a reliance on any single system, and expandable to meet the everchanging GeoBase environment.

Information Gathering

A must for all problem solving is reliable and accessible information. Different types of data exist in the GeoBase environment. Hard, or empirical data, exists in the form of square footages, dollar values of facilities, and numeric information about a facility etc. Soft, or expert data, exists in the form of resident experts of GeoBase on a particular installation. Problem solving is an iterative process, which is clearly shown by this step.

Information was collected in two stages: information search and information collection. A search of the available information was required to determine what information exists on an installation that is available to develop the model. The second stage involved gathering data sets from different installations to be used in developing and validating the model. This information was collected from different installations that have a running GeoBase program.



www.manaraa.com

GeoBase Laboratory

Training on GeoBase, GIS, imagery, and other information is necessary before collecting the research information. Therefore, a GeoBase laboratory was constructed for increasing GeoBase knowledge. The laboratory served two purposes: increase the understanding of GeoBase and provide the ability to perform the research. An increased understanding of the GeoBase information before the data gathering phase allowed for more effective structure of the problem and a more applicable solution. The second purpose of the GeoBase laboratory was for the research process. All data received from installations will be entered into the GeoBase system loaded in the GeoBase.

Information Search

The first stage involved researching what type of information was available that could be used in the model. The results obtained in a search of information available on an installation determined the nature of the model. The criteria for information to be determined useful must be accessible, reliable, and justifiable. Accordingly, this information must be available to GeoBase personnel. The reliability of any solution is tied to the reliability of the information. Similar to reliability, the information used must also be justifiable. For the model to produce values that are considered acceptable, the inputted values must also be considered acceptable.

Many different types of information can be used to describe the amount of change to an installation's image. Since a quantitative approach was taken to model the amount of change, information for the predictive model must also be quantitative in nature. The Resource Flight located in the Civil Engineer Squadron maintains and reports all real



www.manaraa.com

property information for the installation. As such, these records can provide valuable information on what has changed on an installation. Two different types of quantitative information available through real property records are total costs in dollars of all transactions related to real property and total square footages of all built-up features.

One method of measuring changes on an installation is to track the number of dollars spent on any activity that alters the installation footprint. This type of proxy is quantitative and could be used in the model. Every real property acquisition or disposal that occurs on an installation has a specific dollar amount attached to the transaction. As new facilities are constructed, existing facilities demolished or as new land is acquired, these individual dollar amounts can be totaled providing an overall dollar amount. This value represents the total number of dollars spent on all real property transactions during a specific time period. This figure can then be normalized using the installation's Plant Replacement Value (PRV) to provide a value representing change during a specific period. An installation's PRV is calculated on the cost to replace the current physical plant (facilities and supporting infrastructure) using today's construction cost and standards. A PRV is calculated for every Air Force installation.

The other main quantitative type of information available is square footage. As new facilities are constructed or land is acquired, square footage is added to the total square footage of all the installation's built-up features. Similarly, as features are demolished, the square footage decreases the total square footage of the installation.



Information Collection

The second part of information gathering is collecting the data sets from different installations. The different sets of information were identified from the available information at the installation. Only installations advanced in GeoBase adoption were used to collect the information. Every installation in the Air Force is at a different point in the GeoBase adoption process. Primarily, two major commands lead the Air Force in Garrison GeoBase adoption. They were the first to start adopting GeoBase before the other commands. Every installation within the PACAF command has an operational GeoBase program. Although these bases are still developing the GeoBase program, each installation is mature enough to provide useful GeoBase information. A small handful of bases within AMC have a mature enough GeoBase program to provide sufficient GeoBase information. The other commands only have a base or two that will be have enough data to be useful.

Three groups of information were collected from the installations. The first set of data was the imagery. The current imagery used by the installation was requested. The second set of information is the Common Installation Picture (CIP). Only certain layers of the CIP were requested, including those containing facilities, streets, airfield pavements, names and facility identification numbers, and any other built-up features. The last set of information will be a list of facilities that have been constructed, added on, or demolished since the imagery was acquired.



Prepare the Data for Modeling

Preparation of the data included collecting and organizing the data so that it could be used to develop and test the model. Since the available number of installations that can provide GeoBase information is located mostly in only two major commands, this small set represents only small portion of the Air Force. The available information was primarily concentrated in PACAF and AMC because of the limited availability of information. Since these installations do not represent a valid or reliable statistical sample, they should not be considered a true representative of all Air Force installations.

Due to the large size of the files, every installation sent data on compact discs (CD) through the mail. Information from each CD was downloaded onto a laboratory computer. Two of the information sets, CIP and imagery, was accessed using ArcView 8.2[®]. The third set, facility information, was inputted into a Microsoft Excel[®] spreadsheet and organized with the other installation's facility information.

Select and Transform the Variables

The structure of the problem determined the structure of the decision tool. Different decision tools are used to solve different types of problems. Three decision tools are explored to establish an appropriate tool to be used in this research. A brief explanation of each tool is provided below.

Decision Trees

A decision tree is a type of graphical representation of decision options, costs, benefits, and the probabilities of important uncertainties to allow an evaluation of



different decision alternatives. Decision trees display visually, the structure of the decision and the relationships involved between the present decision, future events, outcomes, and future decisions. A decision tree may contain two types of nodes: decision and chance nodes. The decision node represents all available options to the decision-maker, while the chance nodes represent all the possible outcomes of a particular event.

A decision tree can be a valuable tool displaying a decision problem when more than one decision must be made or when events with different possible outcomes and different probabilities of the outcomes exist. Additionally, a decision tree contains sequential decision-making. Decisions follow events or other decisions and conversely, events can follow decisions or other events. Accordingly, a decision tree is not a good model to use in determining a value of change in the imagery of the base.

Decision Matrix

Another decision model used when faced with different alternatives is the decision matrix. The decision matrix can facilitate the choice of an alternative that best suits the decision-maker or the situation.

One characteristic of a decision matrix is that it requires a detailed analysis of each alternative. The detailed analysis of the alternative must include all the criteria and the associate weighting of the criteria from the decision-maker's perspective. This can become an involved process requiring the matrix developer to know all the possible outcomes (19:120).



After each alternative has been identified and sufficiently understood, a decision matrix can be used to determine the best alternative (19:120). As the number of alternatives increases, the effectiveness of the matrix increases. The decision matrix is not an appropriate decision aid to use in obtaining different value due to the inherent difficulty in determining all the possible alternatives.

Mathematical Model

Mathematical modeling, a process of creating a mathematical representation of some phenomenon in order gain a better understanding of that phenomenon, can be used to describe change of an installation's imagery. Modeling is used to represent reality or actual conditions graphically or mathematically. Modeling is typically used to describe conditions or situations, predict outcomes of events, or help explain particular phenomena. Fundamentally, any system is composed of two elements (23:1):

- entities that have certain qualities and properties
- relationships and actions that explain how these elements interact and change

Mathematical models use mathematical equations to represent important relationships among components of a system. Mathematical models allow a decisionmaker to study and understand the relationships of the essential elements of a system in order to gain a better understanding of the system.

A mathematical model can be used to determine the amount of change at an installation from its imagery at any point in time. For example, the mathematical model can produce a value to describe the amount of change to date. By inputting forecasted



changes, the model will predict the amount of change at some point in the future. Considering the benefits of this type of model, a mathematical model was chosen for this research.

The formulation of the equation was accomplished next. The data sets collected from the various installations was used to develop the model. Variables and constants are defined using the data collected. The relationship of all the variables and constants was defined in this step. Examples of built-up features that can alter an installation's footprint are facilities, natural terrain, natural disasters, and large-scale emergencies. Different ways exist to measure these examples. Quantifiable methods include dollar value and square footage. Qualitative methods include priority and risk.

Using money as a method for measuring change has drawbacks. The total cost of the facility is typically based more on its function than its size. Since the main product in GeoBase is increased situational awareness, the facility value does very little to add awareness to the decision-maker. For example, a computer network facility requires stringent heating and air conditioning conditions, increasing the cost of that facility over other facilities of the same size and shape. When a decision-maker is looking at a base map to assess a particular situation, the dollar amount attached to a facility is not an issue. Therefore, cost was not used in this research.

This research proposes two groups of variables. The first group of variables will represent the facility's square footage. The second group of variables will represent the facility's relative importance to the mission. For example, a newly constructed dining facility may have a total square footage of 100,000 SF. The total square footage of the facility will be the value for that variable. Another variable attached to this facility is the



www.manaraa.com

priority variable. A value will be assigned to this variable based on the importance of this facility to the mission. The relationship of these variables to each other will be determined in this step.

Valuing a built-up feature in terms of risk was explored. Three key questions were raised on the subject of risk of a built-up feature.

- What type of risks is posed by the feature?
- Who is affected by this risk?
- Is risk taken in account in a priority listing?

Each facility poses different risks under different circumstances. Certain facilities pose increased risks under a crisis. A bomb located near a POL tank increases the risk to personnel and resources. Whereas, a generator building only poses a risk to the mission, when base experiences a power failure. Although different risks exist and different areas are affecting by risks depending on the particular situation, risk should be taken into consideration. The research proposed that mission priority reflects the amount of risk to a facility. No existing process or guidance was found to evaluate a facility's risk to the installation.

Process and Evaluate the Model

Each installation acquires, uses, and disposes of land and facilities to accomplish their distinct mission. Certain natural and built-up features have more impact to particular mission than other features. Since missions differ from installation to installation, features, which are more important also, differ. This step assigned values to the second group of variables, the priority variable. The installation's priorities



encompass the primary mission of the installation. Each installation requires different facilities to accomplish the mission. Within all the facilities an installation uses to fulfill the mission, certain facilities have more impact than other facilities to getting the job done. To capture the importance of a facility, it is proposed that a weighting value should be assigned.

A method of assigning weights, which correspond to the priority of the feature according to the particular installation, was proposed as part of this model. After data collection, metrics and base priority listings was explored in an effort to produce a categorization process to capture an installation's priorities in the model. An assignment of the actual weighting values was determined in the sixth step, Process and Evaluate.

A brief discussion of the main facility categorization systems currently used on installations is appropriate in this step to explain which systems were evaluated and chosen for the model. Three different classification systems were evaluated in this research. They are the Installation Readiness Report (IRR), the Facility Investment Matrix (FIM), and the Base Priority Listing (BPL). Existing classification systems were selected over attempting to define a new priority system. Reasons include acceptance from Air Force personnel, ease of use in using existing systems, and increase sustainability when tied to existing systems. Existing systems are currently being used by Air Force personnel, have been accepted for use, and will lessen the amount of new material the user must learn. The following paragraphs will describe each process and how it may be used to construct the predictive model.



www.manaraa.com

Installation Readiness Report.

The IRR provides objective and timely information on the capability of installations and facilities to support forces in the conduct of their mission. The IRR uses facility classes to group like facilities into the nine shown below:

- Operations and Training (airfields, radar, fuel storage, fire station)
- Mobility (Air Freight/Passenger terminal, Deployment and Depot Processing)
- Maintenance and Production (Aircraft and vehicle maintenance and repair)
- Research, Development, Testing and Evaluation (Labs, research, wind tunnel)
- Supply (vehicle fuel storage, solvent storage, supplies and equipment sheds)
- Medical (hospitals, clinics, medical laboratory)
- Administrative (headquarters office, command staff offices)
- Community and Housing (dining hall, education center, base laundry, schools)
- Utilities and Ground Improvements (utility plant, road, sidewalk, land)

Within the Air Force, additional emphasis is placed within the Community and Housing category. This IRR class is further broken down into three subcategories: Community Support, Military Family Housing, and Dormitories (11:2-3).

The nine facility classes do not necessarily correspond significantly with the primary mission of an installation. For example, classrooms are placed under the operations and training category. These classrooms are considered part of the primary mission in AETC, but not PACAF. The emphasis on these classrooms will differ based on the mission of the installation. Therefore, a method of placing emphasis on particular categories must be available. Another example is research and development facilities. Within AFMC, an installation's primary mission may be research and development and accordingly place a higher emphasis on a research facility than an ACC installation.



www.manaraa.com

Consequently, a weighting system must be used to prioritize the categories according to the individual installation. Due to the similar nature of missions within a MAJCOM, a common weighting system could be done at this level.

The research model uses the categorization process employed by the IRR. Each facility that may be considered by the model is assigned a category code and subsequently assigned to one of the IRR categories identified in the Category Code Mapping to IRR Facility Classes (11:22-36). For example, Control Tower is assigned a category code of 149962. This category code is one of the category codes assigned to the IRR category Operations and Training. Every facility in the Air Force inventory is assigned a category code based on the function of facility. The facility's category code determines the IRR category. Table 1 identifies a small sample of the category code mapping. The first column is the category code of the facility. The second column provides the IRR category based on category code. The nomenclature column identifies the main function or description of the facility.

CATEGORY CODE	CATEGORY	NOMENCLATURE
690252	Administrative	BILLBOARD
690432	Administrative	BASE FLAG POLE
690625	Operations & Training	TROOP SHELTER
690792	Administrative	COVERED REVIEW STAND
690795	Administrative	OPEN REVIEW STAND
690798	Administrative	STRAY ANIMAL KENNEL
710000	Housing	FAMILY HOUSING
711000	Housing	DWELLINGS

Table 1 Category Code Mapping to IRR Facility Class



Facility Investment Matrix

The main purpose of the Facility Investment Matrix (FIM) is to improve the existing facility and infrastructure restoration and modernization requirements funding process. The FIM uses a different categorization process than the IRR. Although this research does not involve restoration or modernization of facilities and infrastructure, the categorization process of the FIM could be used to group all Air Force facilities into similar categories. The FIM categorization process was not chosen for this research.

The Facility Investment Metric (FIM) is a management tool used by the Air Force for advocating funds for facility/infrastructure repair and minor construction requirements. Primarily, the FIM is used to collect project requirements and stratify them by two classification systems: mission impact and mission area. Annually, the FIM data is collected from the Automated Civil Engineering System (ACES) at the end of the fiscal year. ACES is an Air Force installation management tool using an Oracle-based database to manage different installation requirements. The repair and construction requirements identify projects that require funding. The FIM allows a method for requesting funds to execute an installation's repair projects.

Each requirement is classified according to the mission impact of not completing the project. The Mission Impact of the requirement is rating into three categories: Critical, Degraded, or Minimal. The determination of the rating is based on the requirement's impact to the particular mission of the installation. Each rating has its own set of requirements that must be met before that rating can be given. A requirement can be assessed any rating regardless of the assignment to a mission area.



www.manaraa.com

The other part of the FIM is the classification of facilities into one of four mission areas. The mission area is determined by the facility's category code. The four mission areas are:

- Primary Mission
- Mission Support
- Base Support
- Community Support

Each of the mission areas represents a main function of a facility to the installation. Since missions may differ between installations, a facility on one installation may be assigned a different mission area if located on a different installation with a different mission. For example, a flight-training classroom is assigned Primary Mission under a Training Wing, but otherwise assigned Mission Support.

Base Priority Listings

Each installation maintains a base priority listing (BPL). This priority listing is installation specific and rank orders a certain number of facilities according to the installation's priorities. Unfortunately, two major factors prohibiting the use of this priority listing in this research effort is the lack of a comprehensive list and a different focus of the rankings (27).

First, the list that is maintained at the wing level contains only a portion of the total facilities on an installation. Subsequently, the remaining facilities are grouped into the same category regardless of how they may differ in importance. The second major factor is the purpose of the list. The primary use of a base priority listing at one base may be different from another base. Regardless of the installation's purpose, the BPL was not



created for the GeoBase program. Some installation's base priority listing incorporates the condition of the facility and any attached construction proposals. These lists may have been developing with certain constraints including resources, time, or political preference (27). As a result of all these limitations, the installation's base priority listing was not used in determining the coefficient weightings.

Validate the Model

The validation process is vital to provide sufficient credibility to a model. Validation was accomplished using the information from the different installations. Each installation contained a different set of data and tested the model for use by different installations. Successful use of the model at installations from different commands validated the model.

Implement and Maintain the Model

Implementing and maintaining of the model will be the responsibility of the units utilizing GeoBase and their MAJCOM. The first step in the implementation stage is development of a reporting process, weighting values, and report format by the MAJCOM. The second stage involves the installation developing the report to provide to the MAJCOM.

The decision-maker must determine the requirements of the reporting process before the installations can produce the report. The reporting process should include the report format, guidelines, and weighting values. This reporting system will assist in the



decision-making process. A report format to be used by the installation is proposed in Chapter Four.

The installations are responsible for following the reporting process. After the weighting values are provided by the MAJCOM, the installation can develop a report. The report should include the change value, list of changes, imagery map and purpose section.

Summary

This chapter presented a description of the proposed methodology needed to construct a predictive mathematical model to measure the amount of change on an Air Force installation to its existing imagery. The methodology used a seven-step process to help solve the problem. A discussion of what information was needed to construct the model was given, along with guidelines that would be used to determining which information will be used. Three different classification systems (BPL, FIM, and IRR) for an installation's facilities were described to provide the benefits and limitations of each. The chapter also describes what type of model was used to solve the problem quantifiably and provided a brief explanation on how the mathematical model was developed. The rest of the chapter further described the remaining steps used in the process.



www.manaraa.com

IV. Analysis

Introduction

The purpose of this chapter is to describe the application of the methodology described in Chapter Three, discuss the information that was obtained, and explain the analysis. The overall goal in this process is to provide a decision tool to assist in determining imagery refreshment at an installation. This type of decision tool chosen is a mathematical model.

A seven-step approach was taken to develop the model. As stated in Chapter Three, there is no single method to problem solving, rather variations of a basic set of steps. The method or steps are determined by the available information, the goal of the model and other factors. For this model, a seven-step approach was taken. Two of the steps were altered due to the limitations of the available information and the purpose of the research effort. These seven steps are:

- 1. Define the Objective Completed in Chapters One and Three
- 2. Information Gathering
- 3. Prepare Data for Modeling
- 4. Select and Transform the Variables
- 5. Process and Evaluate the Model
- 6. Validate the Model
- 7. Implement and Maintain the Model



Information Gathering

The development of some models requires the gathering of data. Since the nature of this model is quantitative, quantitative data was required. The data gathering process used in this research can be broken down into two stages:

- Information search
- Information collection

Information search involved investigating the different types of information available at the installation, which may be useful to develop the model. The second stage involved gathering the data sets from identified installations that would be used in developing and validating the model.

GeoBase Laboratory

A GeoBase laboratory was created to provide a central location for GeoBase learning, data storage, and data manipulation. All imagery and CIP layers files collected were stored on one computer. This computer served as the main point for working on GeoBase. Initially, the computer served as a learning center providing a hands-on tool for applying newfound knowledge about GeoBase. The second use of this laboratory was the storage and manipulation of the installation's files. The computer was used to identify changed features to the installation and presented as a layer. This layer provided a visual representation of the various changes to the installation, when shown with the installation's primary layers and imagery.



ArcView[®] 8.1 was the software tool used for viewing and handling the GeoBase information. The technical capabilities of the computer system were dictated by the software used to view the GeoBase information.

Minimum hardware requirements:

- Pentium, 450 MHz (650 MHz or higher recommended)
- 128 MB RAM (256 MB RAM recommended)
- 693 MB NTFS or 754 MB FAT disk space available
- 50 MB of free disk space on same drive where the "winnt/system32" folder
- Open GL card and 256 MB RAM are highly recommended for evaluating the ArcGIS 3D Analyst extension

Minimum software requirements:

- Operating System: Windows 2000 or Windows NT 4.0 with Service Pack 6a
- Microsoft Internet Explorer 5.0 (or higher)

Given the requirements, the computer system used in the laboratory consisted of

the following capabilities:

Hardware:

- Pentium 4, 1.60 GHz
- 256 MB RAM
- 40 GB hard drive

Software:

- Windows 2000
- Internet Explorer 6.0

Information Search

A search of the installations that could provide useful information was performed

across all the Air Force installations. This was a multi-step process involving



communication with many personnel throughout the Air Force, including HQ USAF Geo Integration Office (office responsible for GeoBase in the Air Force), MAJCOMs, and installation personnel. Different criteria were developed and used as a guideline in the data collection process.

Criteria were developed for testing the usefulness of the information. The criteria included accessibility, reliability, justifiability, and applicability. The development of the model is based on the availability of information at the installation. Accordingly, accessibility is one of the criteria for the information that will be inputted into the model. As with all models, the reliability of the model depends on the reliability of the inputted information. Information can be unreliable because of the information itself or the process used in obtaining the information. Examples of unreliable information and unreliable methods for obtaining the information are explained in detail later in this chapter. A second criterion is justifiability. All the information used in this model must be justifiability of the information and the process used to gather the information must be acceptable by the user for the model to be usable. Lastly, the information must be applicable and beneficial to the development of the model.

The search for available information tried to answer the main question of measuring change on an installation. The search also helped define what changes to the installation were important to GeoBase. The different types of information can be grouped into three categories: GeoBase information, feature information, and installation information. The following paragraphs identify all the information was identified and if the information was used for model development.



GeoBase Information Category

GeoBase information refers to all information that is a product of a GeoBase system. While GeoBase is a system comprised of different components and different information sets, GeoBase does produce products. For example, a base map produced by the GeoBase system would fall under this category. The different types of information in this category investigated were the GeoBase layers and related database information. These two major products of GeoBase have the ability to provide a visual representation of the base and the tabular data behind the representation.

Providing GeoBase information that met all the criteria defined earlier drastically narrowed the available list of installations. GeoBase adoption is at different stages for each major command and at each installation. Accordingly, not all bases have adopted GeoBase to the level needed to provide sufficient information. Only a small number of installations within the Air Force contain sufficient GeoBase information. PACAF and AMC started the GeoBase program before any other major command. Accordingly, almost all the installations used in this research are from two commands. Even between these two commands, the level of adoption is different. PACAF has executed the initial stages of GeoBase at every base, while AMC has reached a similar level at only three of their installations. Factors influencing GeoBase adoption included leadership focus, available expertise, and cost.

Eleven installations were identified as having the sufficient GeoBase information for this research. These installations are listed in Table 2. This list identifies the installation and their MAJCOM. As the list shows, seven of the eleven installations are



www.manaraa.com

in the PACAF command, three installations in AMC, and one from ACC. Barksdale, while still very early in GeoBase adoption, was able to provide imagery and change information. The CIP layers were not available, but the installation was still useful for this research.

BASE	MAJCOM
Anderson	PACAF
Eielson	PACAF
Elmendorf	PACAF
Hickam	PACAF
Kadena	PACAF
Kunsan	PACAF
Osan	PACAF
Grank Forks	AMC
MacDill	AMC
Travis	AMC
Barksdale	ACC

 Table 2: Potential Installation List

Within the GeoBase information category, only certain information was determined to be useful. The visual representation of the installation is shown using the Common Installation Picture (CIP). The CIP can contain numerous layers. Each layer shows a type of information. For example, the 'facilities' layer reveals the buildings on the installation, while the 'roads' layers displays the streets. Each installation determines how many and what layers are placed into GeoBase, based on the needs of the installation.

The primary focus of this research is the refreshment of the imagery layer. Accordingly, the installation's imagery was required. Whatever imagery the installation was currently using was requested. Additional CIP layers were still needed to provide a



clear picture of how the installation is changing; therefore a determination of what layers were needed was accomplished. Unfortunately, determining what additional layers would be required became extremely difficult.

Each GeoBase program at an installation may contain numerous layers to display their information, and usually each layer displays a particular theme of information. Consequently, while there are common layers at each installation, there also exist many unique layers at an installation. A general guideline for this research was to include each layer that contained pertinent information about a changed feature. For example, a new facility would change the footprint of the installation. The layer that contains the facility, commonly called the facility layer, was requested of the installation. A layer that did not contain features that changed the appearance of the installation or did contained features located underneath the surface was not collected.

Tabular data is the other part of the information provided by GeoBase. Geodatabases contain the tabular data of GeoBase. Geodatabases are files which contain the map information and the associated quantitative information for each feature. Tabular information associated with each feature can be added to the GeoBase system to include cost, replacement value, dates of construction etc. A search of all facilities constructed after a certain date could be obtained from the tabular data within GeoBase. A major limitation of this information source is the comprehensiveness of the information. Most of the available installations did not have sufficient information about each feature to be used in this research. In other words, certain information about a feature was not available, for example facility construction dates. Another available source of feature information was necessary at the installation level.



Facility Information Category

Facilities are a building, structure, or other aspect, including utility systems, pavements, or land. A set of descriptors assigned to each facility on the installation was collected about each facility. The descriptors are Area, Facility Identification (Fac ID), Category Code, Nomenclature, and Replacement Value. An installation's real property records provide this information.

Area refers to the total space of the facility. The most common unit of measure of space of a facility is square footage. In cases when a different unit of measure was used, a conversion was performed to provide a square footage value. The Fac ID is a unique number assigned each facility. For example, an air traffic control tower may be assigned a Fac ID of 100. Category code is assigned to each facility based on the primary function of the facility. Nomenclature refers to a description of the facility. Following the previous example, air traffic control tower's nomenclature may be control tower. The replacement value is the total cost to replace the facility in dollars.

Environmental Information Category

The third category in the information search was information that did not fall under GeoBase information or facility information. This information was grouped into the third category, environmental information. The environmental information group includes land acquisition/release, environmental conditions, and terrain issues. Certain descriptors are used to describe these changes. Area, nomenclature, and replacement value are used for these types of features. Examples in this category include terrain



altering projects, significant damage to the installation due to a crisis or the purchase or sale of a section of land on the base.

Part of the requirements of an installation is the efficient use of land. In some cases, due to changing missions or personnel, land may be purchased or sold by the installation significantly changing the footprint of the installation. Environmental conditions may consist of changes in bodies of water, foliage, and terrain. Other circumstances that are classified environmental are significant damage to the installation due to a crisis. A crisis may be a storm that causes considerable damage to the installation. This damage will not be reflected in the base imagery and needs to be considered as change on the installation. Other changes can occur to the installation that affects the terrain. A good example of terrain change is a section of land that recently has been cleared of trees. This section of land is considered change and can be measured in area. A description can also be provided for this changed feature.

The Automated Civil Engineer System is one tool that can provide most of the facility and installation information described above. ACES contains different modules. Real Property and Project Management Modules are two modules that can be used to provide the information. The ACES Real Property Module involves a database for each installation and requires authorization for each database. Limited time prohibited the ability to gain access to all the installation's real property module used in the research. The ACES Project Management Module contains information from every installation on one database. Authorization from one installation allows the viewing of all other installation's project management information. Construction or civil engineer service



www.manaraa.com

projects are managed in this module. The researcher was able to obtain other installation's project management information as necessary.

Certain available information was not used in the model. The monetary values of the changed features were not collected nor used to develop the model. All information related to dollar values was not part of this model, for example, plant replacement value for the installation.

Information Collection

The third stage of gathering data involved obtaining actual data from the installations. The information explained in the Information Search section was requested from each installation. Initial difficulties in obtaining the information were due to the lack of a central source of the information. Additionally, similar information from different installations was not always in the same format. These reasons delayed the gathering of the information and the process would need to be streamlined if more installations were to use this model.

The actual information requested from the installation contained several parts.

- Current Imagery
- Primary Layers of the CIP
- List of all facilities that have been constructed, demolished, or new additions since the last base imagery was acquired
- List of all other features that have changed on the installation
- Total square footage of facilities on the installation

Each of the eleven installations provided the imagery that was being used. The age of the imagery ranged from one to five years. In the case of one installation, their



current imagery was less than one year old, so the previous imagery was also sent, which was five years old. The resolution of the imagery differed from installation to installation. Additionally, two installations (Osan and Kunsan) had acquired black and white imagery, while the rest acquired color imagery. For purposes of this research, the level of resolution or the color spectrum was not pertinent to model development.

The file format of the imagery was typically a Mr. SID file. Mr. SID is an imagery viewing program and a specific image format. This specific image format allows for viewing of images among different computer programs. This type of file can be read by the different versions of ArcView[®]. Due to the larger file size of an installation's imagery, a Compact Disc (CD) was used to send the information.

A newer version of ArcView is currently available, which restricts the viewing of information saved in the newer version by the older version. Files must be saved in certain format to be read by an earlier version. Therefore, an upgrade to ArcView[®] 8.2 is required to keep pace with the installations.

The list of facilities that have changed on the installation since the most recent imagery was acquired was also requested. Different methods were employed at the different installations to acquire this information. Many installations provided a list of newly constructed facilities produced from an AF 7115 report. An AF 7115 report identifies all facilities and different descriptors. An AF 7115 report filtered only to show facilities after a certain date can be used to show all new facilities since that date. Other reports were used by the installations to identify the different changes.



www.manaraa.com

The different descriptors (Fac ID, Cat Code, Area etc) were required were provided by the installations. The Fac ID, Nomenclature, and square footage are used in the proposed final report. This information can be used to summarize the changes. The Category Code is used to determine which category to place the facility. An explanation of this process will be described later in this chapter.

The demolition of facilities was more difficult to obtain. A central location for the list of demolished facilities was not found during this research. Personnel from the Engineering or Real Property flights were typically sources of this information. The GeoBase program at one installation developed a system for keeping track of demolished facilities as they occurred. As the GeoBase program matures at the installation, this may be the most logical source for demolition information.

An attempt was made to obtain other changes to the installation. These changes may include previously discussed environmental or land changes. This category of information was the most difficult to gather. An installation may change their footprint in numerous ways. Conversations with different base personnel seemed the most effective.

The central point of contact at the installation for this research was the base GeoBase office. The research proposes that the GeoBase personnel use the model at the base level, therefore making all information obtainable by them. In some cases, information was not known or accessible to the GeoBase personnel. For example, the Eielson AFB GeoBase personnel maintained a current list of demolished facilities, but not an accurate list of new facilities. GeoBase personnel requested this information from the Real Property people. In all of the responding installations, certain information was


not maintained by GeoBase personnel. For example, dates of construction and demolition were not maintained in any of the GeoBase files. Due to the small number of changes, Andersen's GeoBase folks were able to provide all the information requested.

Not all installations were able to provide all the information within the time restraints of the research. Other complications included incomplete data information about certain types of change features. For example, one installation tracks all demolished facilities, but the researcher was unable to obtain the dates of each demolition. Without the dates of each change, determining which changes occurred after the imagery becomes harder. Consequently, six installations were used in developing and processing the model. Table 3 identifies the installations and their MAJCOM.

BASE	MAJCOM
Anderson	PACAF
Eielson	PACAF
Kadena	PACAF
Grank Forks	AMC
MacDill	AMC
Barksdale	ACC

Table 3: Installations used in research

The total square footage of the installation was requested of all eleven installations. Only one base submitted a value, but identified the number as not a total value for the installation due to insufficient data. The total square footage of the installation is required as part of the model to provide a comparable value between large and small installations. Accordingly, a source of this information other than the installation was necessary.



A search for a single source of information about all Air Force installations resulted in using the ACES-RP module, located, and operated at Gunter AFB, AL. A report from the ACES-RP module called the FY2000 Amended AF Plant Replacement Value for Installations used to provide the total square footage of the installation. This Microsoft Access[®] database contains all the real property data about every facility at every Air Force Installation. Different data sets were extracted from this database to develop the total area for specific installations.

Two issues arose from using this database:

- Geographically separated or remote areas of an installation
- Facilities that do not have an area measurement

The density of facilities differs from base to base. Certain bases maintain a vast amount of land and a small amount of facilities, while other bases are just the opposite. In using the total landmass, the large installations are placed at a disadvantage. For example, if two bases have the same number of facilities, but one base has twice as much land, this larger base must have more changes to produce an equal value. For this effort, the calculation of the total square footage of the installation was not calculated.

A difficulty in calculating the installation's total square footage is that some facilities are described using different units of measure other than area. Facilities containing other types of area measurements were converted into square footage. Facilities using units other than area were omitted from the model. For example, an electrical substation is measured in kW was not used in the model. An exception to this rule is Andersen AFB. Since the only change to the installation involved two new fuel



tanks (measured in barrels), the facilities were still inputted into the model to produce a change value. Square footages were assumed to help illustrate the research results.

An attempt to collect any environmental, terrain, or land issues from the eleven installations was unsuccessful. None of the installations encountered any new environmental, terrain, or land issues since the date of imagery acquisition. This may be due to a very small sample of installations and the relative newness of the imagery.

Prepare the Data for Modeling

The process of preparing the data involved two steps: graphical and tabular. The graphical data incorporated the imagery and CIP layers received from the installation. The tabular data involved transforming the change information into an Excel spreadsheet for input into the model.

The graphical data provides a visual representation identifying the changes on the installation. Since the imagery files were sent on a standard image format, no changes were required. Very little change was required of the installation CIP layers. Installations sent their CIP layers as shape files or geodatabase files. Once the GeoBase installation information was acquired, the individual changes were highlighted on the facility layer. This visual reference provides the decision-maker another method of assessing the change. This map contained the highlighted changes is also part of the final report.

Highlighting the changes on a single layer produced some difficulties. Difficulties resulted from inaccurate CIP layers on the installation. New facilities that have been placed on the facility layer can be highlighted easily. If this information was



not added, highlighting the information requires additional information about the facility's location. Demolished facilities are removed from the facility layer and sometimes kept on a different layer. If an installation did not keep a record of the demolished facility on another layer or its location, highlighting the change was not possible for this research effort. Figure 11 shows the digital imagery of the main part of Kadena Air Base. The changes to the installation are highlighted in red.



Figure 11: Kadena Air Base Imagery and Changes

Changes to the tabular data involved using an Excel program. The list of facilities identified by the installations came in different formats to include an Excel spreadsheet, word document, and by email. All installation information, including the total area of the installation's features, was inputted manually into Excel. Appendix A



includes a completed Excel spreadsheet for each of the responding installations that identify the list of changed features. This spreadsheet contains different types of information to include FACT ID NR, CAT NOMENCLATURE, RP AREA AMT, AREA UOM, RP OTH AMT, OTHER UOM, Converted SF, and True SF. The FACT ID-NR column identifies the facility ID number. The CAT NOMENCLATURE column is the description of the facility. RP AREA AMT is the real property area measurement. The AREA UOM column identifies the unit of measure used in previous column. The RP OTH AMT and OTHER UOM identify an alternative measurement of the facility. The last two columns convert the facilities area measurements to square footages. The True SF column deletes repeated rows of the same facility. The spreadsheet calculates the total area by each category. An example is shown in Figure 12. Note Facility #15 in Figure 12 is listed three times. Real property records track the different functions of a facility. The first time the facility is listed is the dominant function and provides the total square footage. Each subsequent listing is a breakdown of the different functions and square footages within the facility and results in zeros in the True SF columns.

FACT_ID_NR	CAT_NOMENCLATURE	RP_AREA_AMT	AREA_U0M	RP_OTH_AMT	OTHER_U0M	Converted SF	True SF
1	TRAFFIC CHK HSE	63	SF	0		63.00	63.00
3	SAN LATRINE	312	SF	0		312.00	312.00
4	ILS MARKER BEACON	624	SF	1	EA	624.00	624.00
5	SP CON IDENT	64	SF	0		64.00	64.00
7	COMM FCLTY	85	SF	0		85.00	85.00
8	ELEC SWITCH STN	64	SF	1	EA	64.00	64.00
9	ELEC SWITCH STN	25	SF	1	EA	25.00	25.00
10	HQ WG	6,840.00	SF	0		6840.00	6840.00
12	ELEC SWITCH STN	64	SF	1	EA	64.00	64.00
15	LAW CENTER	15,170.00	SF	0		15170.00	15170.00
15	LAW CENTER	14,507.00	SF	0		14507.00	0.00
15	HQ WG	663	SF	0		663.00	0.00

Figure 12: Sample List of Kadena Air Base Facilities



Select and Transform the Variables

The development of the model included identifying the variables and their relationships. The model was developed using the data provided by the installations. The model uses the following information:

- Total square footage of the installation's facilities
- Total square footage of change in each feature category
- The weighting coefficients of each of the categories

Information that was gathered but not used in the model includes:

- Total square footage of the installation
- Replacement Value of facilities or features
- Total Replacement Values of the installations

The mathematical equation developed in this research represents the value of

change on an installation. Change Value, denoted ΔV , is given by

$$\Delta \mathbf{V} := \left(\frac{100}{T}\right) \cdot \mathbf{W}_{1} \left(\mathbf{A}_{1} + \mathbf{A}_{2} + ...\mathbf{A}_{N}\right) + \mathbf{W}_{2} \cdot \left(\mathbf{B}_{1} + \mathbf{B}_{2} + ...\mathbf{B}_{N}\right) + ...\mathbf{W}_{12} \cdot \left(\mathbf{L}_{1} + \mathbf{L}_{2} + ...\mathbf{L}_{N}\right) \quad (1)$$

where

ΔV	=	Value representing the amount of change to the installation when compared with its imagery
Т	=	Total square footage of the installation's features
N	=	Total number of features that have changed since the imagery was acquired
Wi	=	Category Weightings $(i = 1 - 10)$
Ai	=	Operations and Training features (total SF)
Bi	=	Mobility features (total SF)
Ci	=	Maintenance and Production features (total SF)



Di	=	Research, Development, Testing, & Evaluation features (total SF)
Ei	=	Supply features (total SF)
Fi	=	Medical features (total SF)
Gi	=	Administrative features (total SF)
Hi	=	Community Support features (total SF)
Ii	=	Housing features (total SF)
Ji	=	Dormitories features (total SF)
Ki	=	Utilities & Ground Improvements features (total SF)
Li	=	Environmental features (total SF)

The constant 100 is used as a multiplier in the equation. Using this number, the change value is a number greater than one, which is easier to comprehend. Using the values determined by the responding installations, a value of 100 was determined to be the minimum number to raise the value above one.

T, the total square footage of the installation features, is used to normalize the installation's value. T is calculated by totaling the square footage of the features on an installation. Examples of features that are used in calculating T are facilities, unusual terrain, and environmental issues. A larger installation with more facilities will have a larger value of T than a smaller installation with fewer facilities.

N, the number of features (facilities, terrain, and environmental) that have changed, represents the number of individual changes that affect the accuracy of the imagery over a period of time. The start of this period is the imagery acquisition date. At time zero, the imagery represents the actual conditions on the installation. Over time, the installation will construct new facilities, change existing features, or demolished features. N, in this equation, represents the number of changes since time zero. For



example, if an installation has constructed two new facilities since acquiring the imagery and no other changes have been made, then N = 2.

The coefficients Ai through Li represent the different changes within each category measured in square footage. Each of the changes within each category is summed to provide a subtotal for each category. Every installation feature was divided into one of twelve categories. The categories are:

- 1. Operations and Training (O&T)
- 2. Mobility
- 3. Maintenance and Production (M&T)
- 4. Research, Development, Testing, and Evaluation (RDT&E)
- 5. Supply
- 6. Medical
- 7. Administration
- 8. Community Support
- 9. Housing
- 10. Dormitories
- 11. Utilities and Ground Improvements (U&GI)
- 12. Environmental

Categories one through seven and eleven are taken directly from the Installation Readiness Report (IRR). Categories eight, nine and ten are subcategories of the Community and Housing category of the IRR. Category twelve contains features from the installation that do not have a category code or that do not belong in one of the other categories.

Each changed feature is placed into one of these categories, typically based on its category code. If the feature has been assigned a category code, then it is placed on one



of the first eleven categories. If not, then the changed feature is placed into the twelfth category. For example, a section of land that has been cleared of trees is placed in the twelfth category since it does not have a category code.

The coefficient W_i represents the category weighting. The value of the category weighting affects the overall change value of the installation. Each installation has a unique mission and uses the existing facilities and features on the installation differently to complete their mission. Accordingly, one category of features may be more important to an installation than a different installation. An installation with a research and development focus may value research facilities more than supply facilities.

The development of the weightings can be done at the installation, MAJCOM, or headquarters level. The benefit of developing the weightings at the installation level is the ability to match the weightings to the specific mission of the installation. A drawback of developing the weights at the installation is the installation's ability to change the weightings to maximize their change value. If the installation has more changes in certain categories, weightings these categories higher than the others provide an advantage. Weights determined by Air Force Headquarters would set a standard value for each of the categories, preventing installations from changing the numbers as they see fit. Unfortunately, one set of weights does not accurate capture the different values of the different installations. Certain categories may contain facilities that directly affect the installation mission. For example, research laboratories are usually more important at an AFMC base than PACAF base. A beneficial compromise is the MAJCOM. Installations under a MAJCOM have similar missions, requirements, and goals. Each MAJCOM sees the overall picture of the MAJCOM, not as a particular installation. Another major factor



is GeoBase funding. The funding for refreshing the imagery will come from the MAJCOMs. Therefore, it is suggested that each MAJCOM should determine the category weightings for their command.

A search for any existing facility weightings or values was performed within Air Force regulations, instructions, and other existing systems. The research did not reveal any existing weighting system for the facilities of a base. Different methods of ranking the facilities exist currently in the Air Force. Base Priority Listings are listings maintained at each installation rank-ordering the top portion of the facilities. The Facility Investment Matrix categorizes each facility and ranks the different categories. Neither method assigns a value to the different rankings. A value of category needed to be developed. The two options included researcher defined or expert defined values.

A weighting system developed by the researcher was explored, but not chosen. The researcher's limited exposure and knowledge of each MAJCOM's mission and priorities hindered the ability to accurately depict the proper weighting values. Second, a researcher's developed values would be static and would not allow the changing of an installation's mission or priorities. A system of developing the weights needed to be adaptable to the changing needs of an installation.

The research used an expert in installation support at the MAJCOM headquarters level to provide information about the importance of each of the categories. Different methods exist for obtaining subjective values. One MAJCOM was chosen to illustrate the process. The PACAF command was chosen due to the advanced GeoBase presence within the command. The expert chosen within the command was the Deputy Civil Engineer, PACAF. The person in this position has the knowledge of the all the



command's installations and the technical background to understand the role and impact of GeoBase on the installation. Considering the availability of time, a long-distance facilitation of determining the values was used.

The expert was asked to answer two questions, shown in Appendix B. An introductory letter was provided with the questions. This letter briefly explained the research effort and the purpose of the questions. The first question identified the twelve categories and asked the expert to rank order the categories in order of importance to the PACAF mission in terms of installation support. Table 4 identifies the ranking of each category according to the expert. The actual responses and comments by the expert are shown in Appendix C.

Rank	Category
1	Operations & Training
2	Maintenance and Production
3	UGI
4	Medical
5	Mobility
6	Supply
7	Community Support
8	Admin
9	Dormitories
10	Housing
11	RDT & E
12	Environmental

Table 4: Category Ranking

The results of this question can be used to understand the relative ranking of each category in relation to each other. There are two drawbacks to using only a ranking system. First, it only provides enough information to state that one category is more important than another category. Information about how much more important is



missing. Second, no two categories can be evenly weighted. Nonetheless, this question helps capture the relationship of each category.

After the ordinal ranking is completed, a method called the Rank-Centroid method was used to determine the weights (22:2). The equation for the Rank-Centroid is displayed below (22:9):

$$w_{i} = \frac{1}{m} \cdot \sum_{k=i}^{m} \left(\frac{1}{k}\right)$$
(2)

where

$$w_i = weight$$

 $m = number of categories$
 $i = 1, 2, ..., m$

. 1

Using the rank order provided by the expert, the equation produces the following raw values and weighted values for each category in Table 5. Each raw weight is divided by the lowest raw value to produce weights greater than one. These weights are used to increase the value of each category when it is multiplied against the square footages. For example, the total square footage of changes within the Mobility category is multiplied by twelve.



Category	Raw Value	Weighted Value
Operations & Training	0.26	37
Mobility	0.08	12
Maintenance and Production	0.18	25
RDT & E	0.01	2
Supply	0.07	10
Medical	0.11	15
Admin	0.04	6
Community Support	0.05	8
Housing	0.02	3
Dormitories	0.03	5
UGI	0.13	19
Environmental	0.01	1

 Table 5: Final Rank-Ordered Category Weights

The second question asked the expert to place a value next to each category representing overall importance in relation to the other categories (22:5). The expert was forced to score the most important category the highest value, and the least important category the lowest value. All other categories could receive a score ranging from the highest to the lowest values. Table 6 shows the values assigned to each category. The values that are provided by the expert are the weights used in the model.

This direct value method assigned a value to each of the categories. According to the model, each category is multiplied by its weighting value. For example, the total number of square footage of change within the Supply category is multiplied by seven. The purpose of directly assigning weights is to better capture the differences between each category.



Category	Weight
Operations & Training	10
Mobility	7
Maintenance and Production	8
RDT & E	2
Supply	7
Medical	8
Admin	6
Community Support	6
Housing	3
Dormitories	6
UGI	8
Environmental	1

Table 6: Category Weights using Direct Value Method

A third option for weighting the variables was added to help evaluate the need for weightings. The third option entails equally weighting each category. In essence, weighting each category resulted in no category weightings. For purposes of producing similar values to the other weighting options, a value of five was used to weight each category.

Process and Evaluate the Model

An Excel spreadsheet was used to input the changed features, the installation's total features, and to calculate the changed value, or ΔV of all six installations. Appendix A provides the list of each installation's changes. A summary of each installation is provided along with the results comparing the three different weighting methods. The different weighting methods produce different change values and in some cases, the order of the installations changes depending on which method is used.



Individual Installations

Kadena Air Base is the first PACAF installation used in this research. This installation's imagery was acquired in 1997. This installation had the oldest imagery of the group. With 1,242,319 square feet of changed features, the changed values ranged from 20.9 to 46.4.

Table 7 summarizes the changes and the corresponding change values based on each of the weighting methods. The square footage subtotals of each category are identified in the SF column. The three different weighting methods are identified along with the resulting category values. Each of these category values is summed and located under each category column. These values are divided by the total square footage of the installation's facilities and multiplied by 100 to produce the change value.

			KANK-OKDERED		IO-SCALE	EQUAL	
	SF	Weight	Total	Weight	Total	Weight	Total
Operations & Training	68524	37	2551733	10	685240	5	342620
Mobility	0	12	0	7	0	5	0
Maintenance and Production	66356	25	1674728	8	530848	5	331780
RDT & E	0	2	0	2	0	5	0
Supply	67119	10	660352	7	469833	5	335595
Medical	248830	15	3791803	8	1990640	5	1244150
Admin	14921	6	91380	6	89526	5	74605
Community Support	278939	8	2186471	6	1673634	5	1394695
Housing	0	3	0	3	0	5	0
Dormitories	461171	5	2132567	6	2767026	5	2305855
UGI	36459	19	701419	8	291673	5	182296
Environmental	0	1	0	1	0	5	0
Delta SF Total			13790452.81		8498419.828		6211595.517
Total Installation SF			29714309.00		29714309.00		29714309.00
X 100			0.464101414		0.286004289		0.209043916
Delta Value			46.41014137		28.60042893		20.90439161

Table 7: Kadena Air Base

DANK ODDEDED

FOULAL

Andersen Air Force Base is a PACAF installation with only two changed features, both in the same category. The imagery was acquired in 2001. A list of facilities of



newly constructed or demolished was provided by the installation. Inputting this changed feature information into the model produced the results shown in Table 8.

		RANK-ORDERED		RATIO-SCALE		EQUAL	
	SF	Weight	Total	Weight	Total	Weight	Total
Operations & Training	0	37	0	10	0	5	0
Mobility	0	12	0	7	0	5	0
Maintenance and Production	0	25	0	8	0	5	0
RDT & E	0	2	0	2	0	5	0
Supply	200000	10	1967706	7	1400000	5	1000000
Medical	0	15	0	8	0	5	0
Admin	0	6	0	6	0	5	0
Community Support	0	8	0	6	0	5	0
Housing	0	3	0	3	0	5	0
Dormitories	0	5	0	6	0	5	0
UGI	0	19	0	8	0	5	0
Environmental	0	1	0	1	0	5	0
Delta SF Total			1967705.628	_	1400000	_	1000000
Total Installation SF			26953326.00		26953326.00		26953326.00
X 100			0.073004186		0.051941642		0.037101173
Delta Value			7.300418611		5.194164164		3.71011726

Table 8: Anderson Air Force Base

The only changes to the installation during this time were the construction of two POL tanks. Since these were the only facilities constructed, an assumption was made that the value of the volume (100,000 Barrels) measurement would be used for the square footage. This made the total changed feature for this installation 200,000 square feet (SF). This was only done in this case to supply a value measurement for change. Otherwise, a zero value would provide little value as an example.

Eielson Air Force Base is the third PACAF installation. This installation imagery was acquired in 2001 and the base had experienced 1,174,284 SF of changed features. Inputting the installation information into the model and using the same category weightings and the changed features, the installation's changed value ranges from 23.4 to 42.7. Table 9 summarizes the results of the model for this installation. In this particular installation, the equal weighting was approximately half of the rank-ordered method.



		RANK	-ORDERED	RAT	IO-SCALE	EQUAL	
	SF	Weight	Total	Weight	Total	Weight	Total
Operations & Training	13102	37	487899	10	131020	5	65510
Mobility	0	12	0	7	0	5	0
Maintenance and Production	10922	25	275655	8	87376	5	54610
RDT & E	0	2	0	2	0	5	0
Supply	19986	10	196633	7	139902	5	99930
Medical		15	0	8	0	5	0
Admin	50211	6	307504	6	301266	5	251055
Community Support	754411	8	5913472	6	4526466	5	3772055
Housing	159174	3	523827	3	477522	5	795870
Dormitories	12995	5	60092	6	77970	5	64975
UGI	153483	19	2952787	8	1227864	5	767415
Environmental	0	1	0	1	0	5	0
Delta SF Total			10717869.62		6969386		5871420
Total Installation SF			25083951.00		25083951.00		25083951.00
X 100			0.427279962		0.277842434		0.234070781
Delta Value			42.72799616		27.7842434		23.4070781

Table 9: Eielson Air Force Base

Installation four, Grand Forks, is the first of the two AMC bases used in the research. The imagery was acquired in 2001. Table 10 summarizes the results of the model for this installation. This installation experienced over 1,220,086 SF of changed features. The total square footage of the installation is 16,767,163 SF. Although a different command determined the category weightings, the same category weightings are used for every installation to help illustrate the example. In the actual implementation of this model, each MAJCOM would develop their appropriate category weightings. The installation change values ranging from 34 to 57.



Table 10:	Grand	Forks	Air	Force	Base
-----------	-------	-------	-----	-------	------

		RANK	-ORDERED	RATIO-SCALE		EQUAL	
	SF	Weight	Total	Weight	Total	Weight	Total
Operations & Training	105974	37	3946311	10	1059739	5	529869
Mobility	0	12	0	7	0	5	0
Maintenance and Production	13194	25	333003	8	105554	5	65971
RDT & E	0	2	0	2	0	5	0
Supply	30899	10	304001	7	216293	5	154495
Medical	15287	15	232949	8	122295	5	76434
Admin	9084	6	55633	6	54504	5	45420
Community Support	207328	8	1625143	6	1243966	5	1036638
Housing	719628	3	2368230	3	2158884	5	3598140
Dormitories	108912	5	503635	6	653472	5	544560
UGI	9781	19	188169	8	78247	5	48904
Environmental	0	1	0	1	0	5	0
Delta SF Total			9557074.671		5692952.74		6100431.99
Total Installation SF			16767163.00		16767163.00		16767163.00
X 100			0.569987581		0.339529874		0.363832092
Delta Value			56.99875805		33.9529874		36.38320919

MacDill is the second AMC installation. This installation acquired its imagery also in 2001. Table 11 summarizes the results of the model for this installation. With 535,970 SF of construction and demolition, and 17,917,011 SF of total square footage, the change values ranged from 14.9 to 43.4.

	I abic	11.111		orce D	ase		
		RANK	-ORDERED	RAT	O-SCALE	6	EQUAL
	SF	Weight	Total	Weight	Total	Weight	Total
Operations & Training	127287	37	4739981	10	1272870	5	636435
Mobility	0	12	0	7	0	5	0
Maintenance and Production	10830	25	273333	8	86640	5	54150
RDT & E	0	2	0	2	0	5	0
Supply	23289	10	229129	7	163023	5	116445
Medical	23361	15	355987	8	186888	5	116805
Admin	61424	6	376175	6	368544	5	307120
Community Support	141708	8	1110782	6	850248	5	708540
Housing	93971	3	309250	3	281913	5	469855
Dormitories	41904	5	193774	6	251424	5	209520
UGI	9446	19	181727	8	75568	5	47230
Environmental	0	1	0	1	0	5	0
Delta SF Total			7770139.55		3537118		2666100

17917011.00

0.433673873

43.36738728

17917011.00

0.197416745

19.74167455

Table 11: MacDill Air Force Base



Total Installation SF

X 100

Delta Value

17917011.00

0.148802722 14.88027216

Barksdale is the only ACC installation used in the research. Table 12 summarizes the results of the model for this installation. This installation executed 710,117 SF of change features to the base. Using the same category weightings, the change values ranged from 15.9 to 28.1.

		RANK-ORDERED		RATIO-SCALE		EQUAL	
	SF	Weight	Total	Weight	Total	Weight	Total
Operations & Training	21219	37	790164	10	212190	5	106095
Mobility	0	12	0	7	0	5	0
Maintenance and Production	44661	25	1127178	8	357288	5	223305
RDT & E	0	2	0	2	0	5	0
Supply	20063	10	197390	7	140441	5	100315
Medical	4824	15	73511	8	38592	5	24120
Admin	25166	6	154123	6	150996	5	125830
Community Support	78905	8	618499	6	473430	5	394525
Housing	441059	3	1451485	3	1323177	5	2205295
Dormitories	0	5	0	6	0	5	0
UGI	74220	19	1427884	8	593760	5	371100
Environmental	0	1	0	1	0	5	0
Delta SF Total			5840233.662	_	3289874	_	3550585
Total Installation SF			20759236.00		20759236.00		20759236.00
X 100			0.281331821		0.158477605		0.1710364
Delta Value			28.13318208		15.84776049		17.10364004

Table 12: Barksdale Air Force Base

Installation Comparisons

Table 13 shows the summary change values for each installation. The installations were prioritized based on the change value of the ratio scale results. As the tables illustrates both the direct value and the equal weighting methods produce the same ranking of the installation, even with different values. The rank-ordered method switches Kadena and Grand Forks installations. If the rank-ordered method were used, then Grand Forks would receive the highest change value.



	Rank-Ordered	Direct	Equal
Grand Forks	57.0	34.0	36.4
Kadena	46.4	28.6	20.9
MacDill	43.4	19.7	14.9
Eielson	42.7	27.8	23.4
Barksdale	28.1	15.8	17.1
Anderson	7.3	5.2	3.7

Table 13: Summary Values

This prioritized list of installations provides information about the relative changes to the installation when compared with each other. The fact that Andersen AFB has only constructed two new facilities explains the low score on Table 13. The priority ranking may change if the weighting method is changed. For example, using the rankordered or equal method would switch MacDill and Eielson in the list.

Table 14 compares the age of the imagery with change value using the rankordered method. Using age as a criterion places Kadena and Barksdale on the top of the list. According to the model, Barksdale falls fifth on the list. Grand Forks is another interesting example, because it has the newest imagery, yet is on top of the list. This is due to the amount of changes that have occurred on the installation in the last two years.

Installation	Rank-Ordered	Age (years)
Grand Forks	57.0	1.33
Kadena	46.4	5.00
MacDill	43.4	1.80
Eielson	42.7	1.75
Barksdale	28.1	5.00
Anderson	7.3	1.80

Table 14: Age versus Rank-Ordered Value



Decision-makers at the MAJCOM level can determine which installations have experienced more change. This information can be used in assisting the decision of which installation should refresh its imagery. It is suggested that these values represent only part of the information sent from the installation to the MAJCOM.

A second benefit of the model is for future predictions. An installation can input future changes into the model to determine a new change value. This ability provides for planning and budgeting abilities.

A determination of which category-weighting method is optimal due to the different rankings based on which method is used. Each method has its advantages and disadvantages. The equal weighting method is simple and easy, since no weighting is assigned to a category. The disadvantage is that all facilities or changes are treated equally, which may not accurately represent the installation mission requirements.

The rank-ordered method provides the most impact by the decision-maker to the process. Each MAJCOM can directly assign a value to each category, which represents the importance of that category. Normalizing the values makes the values comparable with other MAJCOMs. This method, while providing the most impact to the decision-maker, is also the most complicated of the three methods. A thorough explanation of this weighting system and the impact of assigning different numbers to the categories must be fully understood by the decision-maker.

Determining the category weights is easier to the decision-maker in the rankordered method over the direct value method. In the rank-ordered method, the decisionmaker only ranks the categories, but does not indicate their relative values. This is a limitation of the weighting system as it limits the impact of the decision-maker to the



process. The benefits of using this method are ease of use, objective weighting system, and comparable values. Each MAJCOM can easily rank order each category without having to assign a specific value, thus eliminating the need for understanding the impact of the values. If each MAJCOM uses the rank-ordered method, the process of determining weights is identical at each MAJCOM. The actual weights assigned to the each category position are the same for each MAJCOM. Since the number of categories is the same for each MAJCOM, the rank-ordered method produces the same weights for each ranked position. Table 15 identifies the weights when 12 categories are ranked. For example, the top category will be assigned a weight of 37. The second place category is assigned a value of 25. Accordingly, results can be compared across different MAJCOMs without concern for how one MAJCOM may have rated the different categories.

Position Rank	Weight
1	37
2	25
3	19
4	15
5	12
6	10
7	8
8	6
9	5
10	3
11	2
12	1

Table 15: Weighted Values for 12 Categories

Some limitations of this method are complexity of determining the weights and the restriction in assigning weights. The benefits include uniformity among the



MAJCOMs, and ease of rank-ordering to the decision-maker. It is proposed that the Air Force use this method in determining the weights.

Validate the Model

Model validation is an important step in model development. The validation phase is a testing phase. Validation of this model came from the six installations used in the model.

Implement and Maintain the Model

Implementing and maintaining this model requires a systemic reporting process for effective collection and prioritization of the installation's information. This research suggests developing the reporting process to include two steps. The MAJCOM can be responsible for setting the requirements and the installation can be responsible for fulfilling them. The first step is developing the reporting process and is performed by the MAJCOM. The second step is producing the report and is performed by the installation.

The first step of the process is the reporting guidelines. Since each MAJCOM should be the decision-maker for imagery refreshment funding, it is suggested that they should be responsible for developing the guidelines for their command. The guideline should include the following:

- Weighting values
- Requirements
- Report format

The weighting values should be determined by each MAJCOM. It is suggested that all MAJCOMs implement the rank-order method to determine the category



weightings Air Force wide. A step-by-step instruction on developing the weighting values is provided in Appendix D.

The MAJCOM requirements developed by the MAJCOM will provide guidance to the installations. These requirements may include how often to submit the report, the deadlines for submittals, the reporting chain, and the when the MAJCOM will make any decisions for funding imagery replacement.

The report format is developed by the MAJCOM to assist in the decision-making the process. The MAJCOM is responsible for collecting all the reports and using them to make a decision. It is suggested that the report should include four parts: change value, list of changed features, imagery map and a discussion section. The change value is produced by the model. The list of changed features identifies all the changes and provides the decision-maker the ability to review all the changes. The imagery map contains the imagery and only the CIP layers that contain changes to the installation. The changes are highlighted to visually represent the changes to the decision-maker. The last section is the discussion section. The role of the discussion section is to add validity to the change value and justification for any requests by the installation. This section can also identify any special circumstances the MAJCOM should consider in developing their priorities.

Figure 13 illustrates the suggested report format that installations should use to present to the MAJCOM. The report will include the title, installation name, change value, discussion, and identify the attachments. An instruction booklet for the MAJCOM is located in Appendix D. Attachments will include the list of changes and the installation imagery. A sample list of the changes is provided in Figure 14 to illustrate



www.manaraa.com

what information is required. The actual report will contain all the changes to the installation. MAJCOMs may alter the format of the list of changed features to increase the understandability of the information. Figure 11, on page 64 shows Kadena's imagery with the changes highlighted in red.

GEOBASE INSTALLATION CHANGE REPORT KADENA AIR BASE (RESEARCH EXAMPLE)

CHANGE VALUE 46.4

DISCUSSION

Request funding for refreshment of base imagery. A change value of 46.4 justifies

refreshment is an effective use of resources. Some important changes to the installation include:

- 1 Approximately 500K of construction of dormitories
- 2 Over 50K of VOQ construction
- 3 A new Air Freight Terminal, providing mission critical operations
- 4 A general change on the installation totaling over 1M square feet

ATTACHED

LIST OF CHANGED FEATURES

IMAGERY MAP

Figure 13: Sample Installation Report

A sample list of the changes is provided in Figure 14 to illustrate what

information is required. The actual report will contain all the changes to the installation.

MAJCOMs may alter the format of the list of changes to increase the understandability of



the information. There are eleven suggested columns in Figure 14. INST_NAME_40 is the name of the installation. Columns two through seven were previously described for Figure 12. RP_CAT_PRES column is the category code assigned to the facility. The type column identifies if the changes are additions or deletions to the installation. YR_COMP identifies the fiscal year the change was completed. The last column, IRR Category is the IRR category based on the category code.

INSTL_	FACT_ID_		RP_AREA_AM AREA	U0 RP_OTH_A	OTHER_U0	RP_CAT_P		YR_	
NAME_40	NR	CAT_NOMENCLATURE	T M	MT	М	RES	TYPE	COMP	IRR Category
KADENA	255	SQ OPS	1,600.00 SF	0		141753	D	N/A	Operations & Training
KADENA	1055	ACFT ARES SYS SPT	0	1	EA	116922	Ν	1998	Operations & Training
KADENA	45811	SHP CONVL MUN	18,116 SF			216642	Ν	1999	Maintenance & Production
KADENA	47801	SHP, SRVLL INSP	15,155 SF			215582	Ν	1998	Maintenance & Production
KADENA	162	HSG SUP-STOR FCLTY	177 SF	0		442769	D	N/A	Supply
KADENA	163	HSG SUP-STOR FCLTY	177 SF	C		442769	D	N/A	Supply

Figure 14: Sample List of Changes

The second step involves running the model and developing the report at the installation level. Instructions for running the model are provided for the installation. Developing the report requires following the guidelines set by the MAJCOM. These instructions serve as guide for GeoBase personnel and may be altered to better suit the needs of the installation. Appendix E provides step-by-step instructions for the installation.

Summary

This chapter described the steps in developing the mathematical model used in this research. A detailed seven-step approach was taken to collect the information,



develop the model, and describe how to implement and maintain the model. A brief description of the tools used in processing the data from the installation was provided.

The data collected was grouped into three categories: GeoBase information category, facility information, and environmental information. This information was collected from six installations, processed, and used to develop a mathematical equation. Part of the mathematical equation involves weighting the different categories of facilities to better capture the facility's importance to the mission. This chapter suggests the most appropriate method for obtaining the category weights. It also suggests guidelines for the MAJCOM's reporting process and the report format to be used by the installation.



V. Conclusions

Findings

The purpose of the research was to develop a quantifiable model to determine change on an installation when compared with its imagery. A quantifiable, justifiable, and logical mathematical equation was successfully developed which captures the amount of change on an installation when compared with its imagery. Six installations were used in developing the model and the results for each installation were determined. Findings are discussed below and include information sources on an installation, development of category weightings, and the level of change for each of the six installations.

The first finding occurred in the gathering of an installation's change information. Difficulties existed due to inconsistencies of the GeoBase related information. The management of facility information differed on the different installations. Access to certain information, for example demolished facilities, was not always available to the researcher, or was not easily accessible. Each installation maintains the information required by the model and can sufficiently use the model to develop their report.

Category weightings are used in the model to better represent the installation's mission priorities. Development of the weightings can be done using different methods. Three different methods were investigated and evaluated for this model. The equal weighting method is the simplest method but does not allow for differentiation of the facilities for the installation's mission. The direct value method most accurately represents the mission's priorities if correctly applied. This method allows the decision-



www.manaraa.com

maker to directly weight each category. Unfortunately, the complexity of this method is not suitable to be used across the Air Force without proper training for all the decisionmakers. This research proposes that the rank-ordered method is the most appropriate method. This method is simple to understand and provides a uniform method of assigning weights, which more accurately represents the installation's priorities than equal weighting. Chapter Four details the suggested process for implementing rank-order method.

Using the rank-ordered weighting method, the six installations change values were calculated. According to the model, the value of Grand Forks and Kadena were 57.0 and 46.4, respectfully, placing these two installations at the top. MacDill follows these two installations with a value of 43.4. Assumed in this model is that all three of these installations are using the same category weightings. Since these installations belong to different commands, a different value would be obtained when using their own MAJCOM weightings. For illustrative purposes, a prioritization of the six installations can be determined. Using the change values and taking into consideration the changes to the installations, the decision-maker can prioritize an installation list. This list could indicate the order of refreshment. If only a limited amount of funding is available, a strategic plan can be developed.

Calculating the change value without multiplying by 100 produces a decimal number significantly lower than one. Decimal values less than one are not easily communicated among different organizations. For example, a value of 24.7 can be more easily interpreted than 0.247. For these reasons, a multiplier was used. Given the value of the six installations, a value of 100 was determined to be adequate. Since the lowest



installation on the list is Andersen AFB and the changes only include two new facilities, Anderson is assumed to represent the lowest possible value. Based on Anderson's results, 100 was sufficient to produce the desired format of the value.

Recommendations

Decision-makers may use GeoBase for increasing their situational awareness before making a decision. The accuracy of the information directly affects the situational awareness of the decision-maker. The GeoBase environment relies on many different sources of information. One important source is imagery. The decision model proposed in this research can assist in the effective use of GeoBase.

Accordingly, this research recommends one implementation strategy for the Air Force. This model can be used by the installation to provide pertinent information to the MAJCOM for strategic planning purposes. With the cost of imagery ranging in the hundreds of thousands of dollars, effective use of the limiting funding for GeoBase is essential. Only after an accurate assessment of change on an installation in comparison to its GeoBase imagery, can a MAJCOM determine the most appropriate course of action. Use of this model assists in this process.

Another recommendation is the automation of the data collection and model calculations. Automation can take the form of running ACES reports and using ACES to calculate the subtotal for each category. The change information obtained from ACES can be automatically inputted into an Excel program, which will include the predetermined category weights. The program can calculate the change value for the installation. Other automation processes include using GeoBase to identify the changes,



calculate the square footages, and run the equation. These automation steps serve to increase the efficiency of the process.

As satellite imagery provides higher resolution at much lower costs, different methods of acquiring the imagery should be considered. The value of this model may decrease if adequate satellite imagery is available at very little or no cost. Increasing technology is making satellite imagery more affordable than in the past. Current trends indicate that this trend will continue.

Conclusions

This proposed method of determining how much change has occurred on an installation is the recommended method for evaluating the refreshment requirements within a MAJCOM. The category weightings help to better represent the installation's priorities. This method is easy to use by the installations. The GeoBase personnel can use this model to help justify their requests for funding for new imagery.

With the model, MAJCOMs have a more objective method for evaluating requests for funding new imagery procurement. A clearer picture of each of their installation's changes allows for a more effective investment strategy by the MAJCOM. To standardize the information, a reporting process can be used. This reporting process, identified in Chapter Four, provides a systematic method for a MAJCOM to obtain each installation's information, requests and justifications. By using the four parts of the report, a MAJCOM can better determine a plan of action for imagery refreshment. Additionally, each installation will know where they stand in comparison to the other installations.



www.manaraa.com

Each installation can compare their amount of change with other installations within their MAJCOM. Installations can use this model for their own planning purposes. Inputting future values for change will provide a future change value. This provides the installation a better idea of how the future changes will affect their installation in terms of their imagery. This information can be used in determining when to request refreshment. For example, if the installation knows that a significant amount of new construction or demolition is in the near future, then a request for new imagery funding may be delayed until the changes have been completed.

Limitations

Several factors were seen as limitations of this research. Four of the five limitations dealt with the development of the model. The last limitation involves the use of the model at the installation level.

The first limitation involved the level of information gathered by the researcher. An attempt was made to accurately gather all the changes to the installation that might be visible on the imagery and measure them using square footage. The researcher gathered all the data without visiting any of the installations. Even the determination of facility weighting values occurred electronically. Certain information was not available to the researcher due to time constraints. All facilities that were measured in a measurement other than area were omitted from the equation. When an installation gathers all the data, this type of information can be collected and used in the model.

The second limitation involves the environmental category. The six installations did not have any changes that fit in the environmental category. Therefore, this category



www.manaraa.com

was not represented in the example. Information that would be placed in this category may have provided insight into the usefulness of this category and the impact of the low weighting.

The third limitation is the number of installations used in developing the model. Only six installations were represented, three from PACAF, two from AMC, and one from ACC. Conclusions made from a model using a small sample of the population must consider that the small sample may not accurately represent the entire population.

The fourth limitation involves the facilitation of category weightings. The facilitation process of obtaining weightings for attributes should be an interactive process between the expert (or group of experts) and the facilitator. While the research used accepted methods of obtaining the values, time restricted the interactive process. The expert was asked the questions and the answers were taken without any discussion between the facilitator and the expert. Using the rank-ordered method minimizes the affect of this limitation.

The last limitation involves the use of the model at the installation. The method of determining SF for changed features does not include any changes to the immediate vicinity surrounding the installation's boundaries. Facilities and other features that immediately surround an installation are extremely important to the installation, but are not typically tracked by the installation. Encroachment, airspace restrictions, and security are all considerations for the installation and imagery can be used to depict the existing conditions. The model does not incorporate these considerations.



www.manaraa.com

Areas of Future Research

One area of future research involves using the process called change technology to verify the change value of the model. Change technology uses a computer to detect differences between two digital images. A prerequisite of using the different change technologies is two distinct images. The model was developed for determining when to acquire the second image. Future research could evaluate the changes using change technology on an installation after a second image has been acquired. A comparison of this model's change value and the value produced using change detection may prove insightful.

As mentioned in the limitations, the process to determine the weightings was not executed in person. Additionally, this research proposes that each MAJCOM develop their weights. Unfortunately due to time constraints, only one MAJCOM was used in the research. Follow-on research could entail face-to-face facilitation of values and the investigation of the different weights obtained from each of the MAJCOMs. A possibility exists that there would be little difference in the weightings values between the MAJCOMs, therefore indicating only one set of weights are required.



Appendix A: Installation List of Changes

This appendix includes an Excel matrix built for each installation identifying the changes in installation features since the last imagery was taken at the installation. Each list may not contain every change to the installation since the imagery was acquired due to different data collection methods used at the installation. Each change is classified into one of the twelve categories based on its category code. Changes which do not have a category code are classified into the environmental category. The attached matrices are provided in the following order:

- Kadena Air Base
- Anderson Air Force Base
- Eielson Air Force Base
- Grand Forks Air Force Base
- MacDill Air Force Base
- Barksdale Air Force Base

A short description of each of the eleven columns in the Excel matrix is provided below.

The matrix is sorted by the Coding column to easily interpret the category SF totals. The

Coding column is used to assign a number for each category.

INST_NAME_40	The name of the installation
FACT_ID_NR	A unique number assigned by the installation
CAT_NOMENCLATURE	A short description of the function of the facility
RP_AREA_AMT	Area value of the facility
AREA_UOM	The unit of measure for the facility's area
RP_OTH_AMT	Facility's value if another unit of measurement is used
OTH_UOM	Unit of measure for the facility if different measure is used
RP_CAT_PRES	Category Code assigned to the facility



ТҮРЕ	The type of change, i.e. demolition, new, addition
YR_COMP	Fiscal year the change was completed
IRR Category	Identifies which IRR Category for the facility
Coding	Number assigned to a category to sort the list by categories
Subtotal	Total square footage of each change within each category


| YR |)MP IRR Category Coding | ions & Training 1 | Training 1 | 1 1 | 1 I | 1 | - | | | | | | | | 3 | 33 | ŝ | ŝ | ŝ | n d | n c | n (1
 | о <mark>с</mark> п | 5
 | 5
 | 5 | 5
 | 5 | S | ŝ | Ś
 | n u | n vn | |
|----------------|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|---|---|--|--|---|---|---|---|--|---|---|---|---
---|--
--

--|--
--|--|---
--|--|---|--|
| YR | NMP IRR Category | ions & Training | Fraining | ing | ള | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | |
 |
 | |
 | | | |
 | | | |
| YR | <u>-</u> MP | Operati | Operations & 7 | Operations & Train | Operations & Trainin | Operations & Training | Operations & Training | Operations & Training | Operations & Iraining | Operations & Training | Operations & Iraining | Operations & Iraining | Operations & I raining
Onerations & Training | Operations & Training | Maintenance & Production | Maintenance & Production | Maintenance & Production | Maintenance & Production | Maintenance & Production | Maintenance & Production | Maintenance & Production | Maintenance & Production
Maintenance & Production
 | Maintenance & Production | Supply
 | Supply
 | Supply | Supply
 | Supply | Supply | Supply | Supply
 | Suppry | supply
Supply | |
| | S | 1998 | 1998 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 1998 | 2000 | 2000 | 2000 | 2001 | 2000 | 2002 | 1998 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2000 | 2000 | 1998 | 0007 | 1002
 | 1998 | 1998
 | 1998
 | 1998 | 1998
 | 1998 | 1998 | 2000 | 2001
 | 0661 | 2001 | |
| | TYPE | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A
N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A
N/A
 | N/A | N/A
 | N/A
 | N/A | N/A
 | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A
 | N/N | N/A | |
| RP CAT P | - RES - | 116922 | 116922 | 116922 | 116945 | 141782 | 141782 | 141753 | 131111 | 131111 | 1/56/1 | 171671 | 1/10/1 | 134351 | 219947 | 218712 | 218712 | 214428 | 214428 | 211159 | 201117
201117 | 21994/
216642
 | 215582 | 442769
 | 442769
 | 442769 | 442769
 | 411135 | 411135 | 442628 | 442758
 | C12224 | 422264 | |
| P OTH A OTH U | _MT - 0M_ | 1 EA | 1 EA | 1 EA | 1 EA | | 0 | | | | I EA | | | 1 EA | | | | | | 0 | |
 | |
 |
 | |
 | 80,000 BL | 80,000 BL | | ¥
Ľ
 | | I EA | |
| RP AREA AREA R | AMT _ U0M | 0 | 0 | 0 | | 18,955 SF | 89 SF | 26,210 SF | 15,381 SF | 241 SF | 10 075 | 262 SF | 2,700 SF
2 700 SF | 2, 20 SF
86 SF | 3,096 SF | 3,354 SF | 4,558 SF | 4,836 SF | 4,836 SF | 2,721 SF | 2,244 SF | 7,440 SF
18 116 SF
 | 15.155 SF | 1,203 SF
 | 1,163 SF
 | 386 SF | 386 SF
 | 0 | 0 | 334 SF | 438 SF
 | 1 001 CE | 1,991 SF
1,991 SF | |
| | CAT_NOMENCLATURE | ACFT ARES SYS SPT | ACFT ARES SYS SPT | ACFT ARES SYS SPT | DEFLECTOR, BLST | TRML, AIR FRT | TRML, AIR FRT | SQ OPS | COMM FCLTY | COMMFCLTY | The AlD | TECH ING CLASSROOM | TECH ING CLASSROOM | ILS GLIDE SLOPE | BE STOR SHED | SHP A/SE STOR FCLT | SHP A/SE STOR FCLT | VEH OPS PKNG SHED | VEH OPS PKNG SHED | ACFT COR CON | DHF ACF I UEN FUKF
DE STAD STIFD | SHP CONVL MUN
 | SHP, SRVLL INSP | HSG SUP&STOR FCLTY
 | HSG SUP&STOR FCLTY
 | HSG SUP&STOR FCLTY | HSG SUP&STOR FCLTY
 | STOR, JET FL | STOR, JET FL | SHED SUP&EQUIP BSE | WHSE SUP&EQUIP BSE
 | ANCET EAFLU FULT | STOR, IGLOO | |
| FACT I | D_NR | 1055 | 1064 | 1181 | 1280 | 3400 | 3401 | 3507 | 3628 | 23628 | 45019 | 45020 | 45106 | 73335 | 851 | 3422 | 3466 | 3470 | 3471 | 3551 | 6606 | 45811
 | 47801 | 171
 | 616
 | 760 | 770
 | 1218 | 1219 | 3157 | 3465
47000
 | 4/079 | 48954 | |
| NSTL NAME | 40 - | KADENA | KADENA | KADENA | KADENA | KADENA | KADENA | KADENA | KADENA | KADENA | KADENA | KADENA | KADENA
KADFNA | KADENA | KADENA | KADENA | KADENA | KADENA | KADENA | KADENA | V ADENA | KADENA
 | KADENA | KADENA
 | KADENA
 | KADENA | KADENA
 | KADENA | KADENA | KADENA | KADENA
 | VADENA | KADENA | |
| Ħ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | |
 |
 | |
 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
 | | | |
| | NSTL NAME FACT I RP AREA AREA AREA RP OTH A | INSTL_NAME FACT_I 40 D_NR CAT_NOMENCLATURE AMT ADM | vSTL_NAME_ FACT_I 40 D_NR CAT_NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA AREA NREA KADENA 1055 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 | ISTL_NAMEFACT_I40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATURERP_AREA40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATUREAMTKADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT0 | STL_NAMEFACT_I40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATURERP_AREAAREARP_OTH_AKADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT01 | STL_NAMEFACT_I40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATURERP_AREA40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATUREAMT40D_S5ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1280DEFLECTOR, BLST1 | STL_NAMEFACT_I40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATURERP_AREA_40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATUREAMT_40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATUREAMT_KADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1280DEFLECTOR, BLST0KADENA3400TRML, AIR FRT18,955 SF | STL_NAMEFACT_I40D NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREA40D NRCAT NOMENCLATUREAMT40D NRCAT NOMENCLATUREAMTKADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1280DEFLECTOR, BLST1KADENA3400TRML, AIR FRT18,955 SFKADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF0 | STL_NAMEFACT_I40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATURERP_AREA_AREA40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATUREAMT_KADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1280DEFLECTOR, BLST1KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT18,955 SFKADENA3507SQ OPS26,210 SF | STL_NAMEFACT_I40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATURERP_AREA_AREA40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATUREAMT_KADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1280DEFLECTOR, BLST1KADENA3400TRML, AIR FRT18,955 SFKADENA3507SQ OPS26,210 SFKADENA3528COMMFCLTY15,381 SF | STL_NAMEFACT_I40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATURERP_AREA40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATUREAMTKADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1300DEFLECTOR, BLST0KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT18,955 SFKADENA3307SQ OPS26,210 SFKADENA23628COMM FCLTY15,381 SFKADENA23628COMM FCLTY241 SF | STL_NAME FACT_I AREA AREA AREA AREA POIL 40 D_NR CAT_NOMENCLATURE AMT UOM MT KADENA 1055 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 1064 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 1300 DEFLECTOR, BLST 0 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 89 5F 0 KADENA 3507 SQ OPS 26,210 SF 0 KADENA 35628 COMM FCLTY 15,381 SF 0 KADENA 23628 COMM FCLTY 241 SF 1 | STL_NAMEFACT_I40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATURERP_AREA_AREA40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATUREAMT_40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATUREAMT_KADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1280DEFLECTOR, BLST0KADENA3400TRML, AIR FRT18,955 SFKADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SFKADENA3507SQ OPS26,210 SFKADENA3528COMM FCLTY15,381 SFKADENA3509TNG AID56,210 SFKADENA45005TECH TNG CLASSROOM562 SF | STL_NAMEFACT_I40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATUREAMT40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATUREAMT40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATUREAMTKADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1084ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA3400TRML, AIR FRT18,955 SFKADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SFKADENA3507SQ OPS26,210 SFKADENA3528COMM FCLTY15,381 SFKADENA45019TNG AID56,210 SFKADENA45010TNG AID562 SFKADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM562 SFKADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SF | STL_NAMEFACT_140D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREA_ AREA_ NP_OTH_A40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATUREAMT_ U0MKADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1380DEFLECTOR, BLST0KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT18,955 SFKADENA3507SQ OPS26,210 SFKADENA3528COMM FCLTY15,381 SFKADENA3528COMM FCLTY241 SFKADENA45019TNG AID562 SFKADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA45106TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA45106TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA73335ILS GLIDE SLOPE86 SF | STL_NAMEFACT_140D_NRCAT NOMENCLATUREAMT40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATUREAMTKADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1280DEFLECTOR, BLST0KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT18,955 SFKADENA3507SQ OPS26,210 SFKADENA3528COMM FCLTY15,381 SFKADENA3528COMM FCLTY241 SFKADENA45019TNG AID562 SFKADENA45019TNG AID562 SFKADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA45106TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA45106TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA851BE STOR SHED3,096 SFKADENA851BE STOR SHED3,096 SF | STL_NAMEFACT_IMAT_AAREA_AAREA_AAREA_AAREA_AAREA_AANT_AOOH40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATUREAMT_AU0MMT_AKADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA3400TRML, AIR FRT18,9551KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT895KADENA3507SQ OPS26,2105KADENA3503COMM FCLTY15,3815KADENA3503SCOMM FCLTY2415KADENA45019TNG AID5625KADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,7005KADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,7005KADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,7005KADENA73335ILS GLIDE SLOPE3,0965KADENA851BE STOR SHED3,0965KADENA3422SHP A/SE STOR FCLT3,3545 | STL_NAMEFACT_IART_NOMENCLATURERP_AREA_AAREA_ARP_OTH_A40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATUREMTUOMMT_KADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1280DEFLECTOR, BLST01KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89555FKADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89551KADENA3507SQ OPS26,2105FKADENA3628COMM FCLTY2415FKADENA3503TECH TNG CLASSROOM5.625FKADENA45019TNG AID3,7005FKADENA45106TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,7005FKADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,7005FKADENA45106TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,7005FKADENA3422SHP A/SE STOR FCLT3,3545FKADENA3466SHP A/SE STOR FCLT3,3545FKADENA3466SHP A/SE STOR FCLT4,5581 | STL_NAMEFACT_I40D_NRCAT_NOMENCLATURERP_AREA_AREA_NOMENCLATUREKADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA181ACFT ARES SYS SPT0KADENA180DEFLECTOR, BLST0KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT18,955 SFKADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SFKADENA3507SQ OPS26,210 SFKADENA3507SQ OPS26,210 SFKADENA3503COMM FCLTY241 SFKADENA45019TNG AID5,381 SFKADENA45019TNG AID2,700 SFKADENA45106TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA45106TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA45106TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA3422SHP A/SE STOR FCLT3,354 SFKADENA3466SHP A/SE STOR FCLT4,558 SFKADENA3466SHP A/SE STOR FCLT4,558 SFKADENA3470VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SFKADENA3470VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SF | VSTL_NAMEFACT_I40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREAAREARP_OTH_A40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATUREMTUOMMTKADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1280DEFLECTOR, BLST011KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF01KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF01KADENA3507SQ OPS56,210 SF01KADENA3507SQ OPS26,210 SF01KADENA3507SQ OPS26,210 SF01KADENA3508COMM FCLTY236.381 SF01KADENA45019TRG AID26,210 SF11KADENA45019TECH TNG CLASSROOM56.210 SF1KADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SF1KADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SF1KADENA3420TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SF1KADENA3420SHP A/SE STOR FCLT4,538 SF1KADENA3470VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SF1KADENA3471VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SF1KADENA3471VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SF1KADENA3471VEH OPS PKNG SHED | WSTL_NAME FACT ACT AREA RP_OTH MT MT< | WSTL_NAME FACT_1 ACT_1 AREA AREA AREA AREA AREA AREA AREA AREA AREA DIM DIM | WSTL_NAME FACT_1 Mathematical RP_AREA_1 ART_1 LOM MT_1 40 D_NR CAT_NOMENCLATURE AMT UOM MT I | VSTL_NAME FACT_1 ART_AL AREA ART_AL AREA ART_AL AREA ART_AL AREA ALL ART_AL AREA ALL ART_AL AREA ALL ART_AL ART_AL AREA ALL ART_AL ART_AL AREA ALL ART_AL ART_ALS ANT_AL ALL ART_AL ALL ART_AL ART_AL ART_AL ALL ART_AL ART_AL ART_AL ART_AL ART_AL ART_AL ART_AL ALL ALL ALL ART_AL ALL ALL <t< td=""><td>Wart Fact Ant Ant<!--</td--><td>WILLNAMEFACTANT<th< td=""><td>ISTL_NAMEFACT_I40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREARP_OTH_A40D_NRNRCAT NOMENCLATUREMTUOMMT40D_NRACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1280DEFLECTOR,BLST011KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT011KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT18,955 SF01KADENA3507SQ OPSCOMMFCLTY241 SF0KADENA3503COMMFCLTY241 SF1KADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SF1KADENA3470VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SF1KADENA3471VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SF1KADENA3471VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SF1KADENA3470VEH OPS PKNG SHED7,440 SF1KADENA3551SHP ASE STOR RCLT4,336 SF1KADENA3551SHP ASE STOR RCLT4,336 SF1KADENA3551SHP ASE STOR RCLT4,336 SF<</td><td>STL_NAMEFACT_140D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREARFARP_OTH_A40D_NRNS5ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1280DEFLECTOR, BLST011KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT18,955 SF1KADENA3507SQ OPS50,210 SF5331 SFKADENA3507SQ OPSCOMM FCLTY2,311 SFKADENA3507SQ OPS26,210 SFKADENA3528COMM FCLTY2,313 SFKADENA4509TNG AID562 SFKADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA3470VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SFKADENA3471VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN2,144 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN1,165 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN2,146 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN2,144 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN1,165 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN1,116 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN1,5155 SFKADENA<td< td=""><td>STL_NAME FACT Image RP_AREA RP_AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D_NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D_NR CAT NOMENCLATURE AMT UOM MT KADENA 1055 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 55 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 55 1 KADENA 3507 COMM FCLTY 15,381 SF 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 562 SF 0 KADENA 45010 TRMC ALDS 26,210 SF 0 1 KADENA 45105 TECH TNG CLASSROOM 2,62 SF 1 1 KADENA 45105 TECH TNG CLASSROOM 2,62 SF 1 1</td><td>STL.NAME FACT T NUME FACT AREA RP_AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D_NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D_NR CAT NOMENCLATURE ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 1064 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 1 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 0 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML AIR FRT 8,955 SF 1 0 0 1 KADENA 3503 TECH TNG CLASSROOM 2,62 SF 0 2,700 SF 2<</td><td>STL_NAME FACT_1 RP_AREA RP_AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA RP_OTH_A KADENA 1055 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 955 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 955 1 1 KADENA 3607 SQ OPS COMM FCLTY 8) 955 55 KADENA 3503 COMM FCLTY 8) 56 1 1 KADENA 4500 TRG LASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 1 KADENA 3513 TCH TNG CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 KADENA 45105 TNG CLASSROOM 2,710 SF 1 1</td><td>STL_NAMEFACT_1RP_AREAAREARP_OTH_A40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREAAREARP_OTH_AKADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA3400TRML, AIR FRT89 SF0KADENA3507SQ OPSCOMM FCLTY26,210 SFKADENA3507SQ OPSCOMM FCLTY21,00 SFKADENA3500TECH TNG CLASSROOM56,210 SFKADENA3501TRML, AIR FRT89 SFKADENA3503TECH TNG CLASSROOM56,210 SFKADENA45019TRML, AIR FRT89 SFKADENA45019TRML, AIR FRT89 SFKADENA34510TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA3410VEH OR SETOR FCLT3,306 SFKADENA3411VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,335 SFKADENA3470VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,336 SFKADENA3551LLS GLIDE SLOPE4,336 SFKADENA3471VEH OPS PKNG SHED3,906 SFKADENA3551LLS GLIDE SLOPE3,306 SFKADENA3551RFCLTY3,354 SFKADENA3551RFCLTY4,356 SFKADENA3551RFCLTY4,356 SFKADENA3551SHP AKS STOR FCLTY4,356 SFKADENA<</td><td>STL_NAME FACT_I RP_AREA AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D NR CAT NOMENCLATURE AMT UOM MT KADENA 1055 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 3401 TRMI, AIR FRT 89 SF 0 11 KADENA 3507 SQ OPS COMM FCLTY 241 SF 0 11 KADENA 45105 TECH TNG CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 KADENA 3507 COMM FCLTY 241 SF 0 1 KADENA 3470 VEH OR CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 KADENA 3470 VEH OR CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 KADENA 3471 VEH OR CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1</td><td>NSTL_NAMEFACT JRP_AREAAREARP_OTH A40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREAARFAARFAAT40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREAARFANTKADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF0KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF1KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF1KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF1KADENA3403TRML, AIR FRT89 SF1KADENA4509TNG AID562 SF1KADENA4500TECH TNG CLASSROOM2700 SF1KADENA3410TRML, AIR FRT895 SF1KADENA3410TRG CLASSROOM2700 SF1KADENA3410TNG AID3,906 SF1KADENA3410TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA3410TRG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA3410TRG AID3,906 SFKADENA3410TRG AID3,534 SFKADENA3410TRG AID3,543 SFKADENA3410TRG AID3,543 SFKADENA351ACFT COR CON2,703 SFKADENA353BE STOR SHED7,440 SFKADENA353SFP ASSETOR FCLT4,538 SF<!--</td--></td></td<></td></th<></td></td></t<> | Wart Fact Ant Ant </td <td>WILLNAMEFACTANT<th< td=""><td>ISTL_NAMEFACT_I40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREARP_OTH_A40D_NRNRCAT NOMENCLATUREMTUOMMT40D_NRACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1280DEFLECTOR,BLST011KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT011KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT18,955 SF01KADENA3507SQ OPSCOMMFCLTY241 SF0KADENA3503COMMFCLTY241 SF1KADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SF1KADENA3470VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SF1KADENA3471VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SF1KADENA3471VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SF1KADENA3470VEH OPS PKNG SHED7,440 SF1KADENA3551SHP ASE STOR RCLT4,336 SF1KADENA3551SHP ASE STOR RCLT4,336 SF1KADENA3551SHP ASE STOR RCLT4,336 SF<</td><td>STL_NAMEFACT_140D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREARFARP_OTH_A40D_NRNS5ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1280DEFLECTOR, BLST011KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT18,955 SF1KADENA3507SQ OPS50,210 SF5331 SFKADENA3507SQ OPSCOMM FCLTY2,311 SFKADENA3507SQ OPS26,210 SFKADENA3528COMM FCLTY2,313 SFKADENA4509TNG AID562 SFKADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA3470VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SFKADENA3471VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN2,144 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN1,165 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN2,146 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN2,144 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN1,165 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN1,116 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN1,5155 SFKADENA<td< td=""><td>STL_NAME FACT Image RP_AREA RP_AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D_NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D_NR CAT NOMENCLATURE AMT UOM MT KADENA 1055 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 55 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 55 1 KADENA 3507 COMM FCLTY 15,381 SF 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 562 SF 0 KADENA 45010 TRMC ALDS 26,210 SF 0 1 KADENA 45105 TECH TNG CLASSROOM 2,62 SF 1 1 KADENA 45105 TECH TNG CLASSROOM 2,62 SF 1 1</td><td>STL.NAME FACT T NUME FACT AREA RP_AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D_NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D_NR CAT NOMENCLATURE ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 1064 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 1 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 0 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML AIR FRT 8,955 SF 1 0 0 1 KADENA 3503 TECH TNG CLASSROOM 2,62 SF 0 2,700 SF 2<</td><td>STL_NAME FACT_1 RP_AREA RP_AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA RP_OTH_A KADENA 1055 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 955 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 955 1 1 KADENA 3607 SQ OPS COMM FCLTY 8) 955 55 KADENA 3503 COMM FCLTY 8) 56 1 1 KADENA 4500 TRG LASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 1 KADENA 3513 TCH TNG CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 KADENA 45105 TNG CLASSROOM 2,710 SF 1 1</td><td>STL_NAMEFACT_1RP_AREAAREARP_OTH_A40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREAAREARP_OTH_AKADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA3400TRML, AIR FRT89 SF0KADENA3507SQ OPSCOMM FCLTY26,210 SFKADENA3507SQ OPSCOMM FCLTY21,00 SFKADENA3500TECH TNG CLASSROOM56,210 SFKADENA3501TRML, AIR FRT89 SFKADENA3503TECH TNG CLASSROOM56,210 SFKADENA45019TRML, AIR FRT89 SFKADENA45019TRML, AIR FRT89 SFKADENA34510TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA3410VEH OR SETOR FCLT3,306 SFKADENA3411VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,335 SFKADENA3470VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,336 SFKADENA3551LLS GLIDE SLOPE4,336 SFKADENA3471VEH OPS PKNG SHED3,906 SFKADENA3551LLS GLIDE SLOPE3,306 SFKADENA3551RFCLTY3,354 SFKADENA3551RFCLTY4,356 SFKADENA3551RFCLTY4,356 SFKADENA3551SHP AKS STOR FCLTY4,356 SFKADENA<</td><td>STL_NAME FACT_I RP_AREA AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D NR CAT NOMENCLATURE AMT UOM MT KADENA 1055 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 3401 TRMI, AIR FRT 89 SF 0 11 KADENA 3507 SQ OPS COMM FCLTY 241 SF 0 11 KADENA 45105 TECH TNG CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 KADENA 3507 COMM FCLTY 241 SF 0 1 KADENA 3470 VEH OR CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 KADENA 3470 VEH OR CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 KADENA 3471 VEH OR CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1</td><td>NSTL_NAMEFACT JRP_AREAAREARP_OTH A40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREAARFAARFAAT40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREAARFANTKADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF0KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF1KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF1KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF1KADENA3403TRML, AIR FRT89 SF1KADENA4509TNG AID562 SF1KADENA4500TECH TNG CLASSROOM2700 SF1KADENA3410TRML, AIR FRT895 SF1KADENA3410TRG CLASSROOM2700 SF1KADENA3410TNG AID3,906 SF1KADENA3410TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA3410TRG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA3410TRG AID3,906 SFKADENA3410TRG AID3,534 SFKADENA3410TRG AID3,543 SFKADENA3410TRG AID3,543 SFKADENA351ACFT COR CON2,703 SFKADENA353BE STOR SHED7,440 SFKADENA353SFP ASSETOR FCLT4,538 SF<!--</td--></td></td<></td></th<></td> | WILLNAMEFACTANT <th< td=""><td>ISTL_NAMEFACT_I40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREARP_OTH_A40D_NRNRCAT NOMENCLATUREMTUOMMT40D_NRACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1280DEFLECTOR,BLST011KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT011KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT18,955 SF01KADENA3507SQ OPSCOMMFCLTY241 SF0KADENA3503COMMFCLTY241 SF1KADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SF1KADENA3470VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SF1KADENA3471VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SF1KADENA3471VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SF1KADENA3470VEH OPS PKNG SHED7,440 SF1KADENA3551SHP ASE STOR RCLT4,336 SF1KADENA3551SHP ASE STOR RCLT4,336 SF1KADENA3551SHP ASE STOR RCLT4,336 SF<</td><td>STL_NAMEFACT_140D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREARFARP_OTH_A40D_NRNS5ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1280DEFLECTOR, BLST011KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT18,955 SF1KADENA3507SQ OPS50,210 SF5331 SFKADENA3507SQ OPSCOMM FCLTY2,311 SFKADENA3507SQ OPS26,210 SFKADENA3528COMM FCLTY2,313 SFKADENA4509TNG AID562 SFKADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA3470VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SFKADENA3471VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN2,144 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN1,165 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN2,146 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN2,144 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN1,165 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN1,116 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN1,5155 SFKADENA<td< td=""><td>STL_NAME FACT Image RP_AREA RP_AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D_NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D_NR CAT NOMENCLATURE AMT UOM MT KADENA 1055 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 55 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 55 1 KADENA 3507 COMM FCLTY 15,381 SF 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 562 SF 0 KADENA 45010 TRMC ALDS 26,210 SF 0 1 KADENA 45105 TECH TNG CLASSROOM 2,62 SF 1 1 KADENA 45105 TECH TNG CLASSROOM 2,62 SF 1 1</td><td>STL.NAME FACT T NUME FACT AREA RP_AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D_NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D_NR CAT NOMENCLATURE ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 1064 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 1 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 0 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML AIR FRT 8,955 SF 1 0 0 1 KADENA 3503 TECH TNG CLASSROOM 2,62 SF 0 2,700 SF 2<</td><td>STL_NAME FACT_1 RP_AREA RP_AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA RP_OTH_A KADENA 1055 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 955 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 955 1 1 KADENA 3607 SQ OPS COMM FCLTY 8) 955 55 KADENA 3503 COMM FCLTY 8) 56 1 1 KADENA 4500 TRG LASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 1 KADENA 3513 TCH TNG CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 KADENA 45105 TNG CLASSROOM 2,710 SF 1 1</td><td>STL_NAMEFACT_1RP_AREAAREARP_OTH_A40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREAAREARP_OTH_AKADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA3400TRML, AIR FRT89 SF0KADENA3507SQ OPSCOMM FCLTY26,210 SFKADENA3507SQ OPSCOMM FCLTY21,00 SFKADENA3500TECH TNG CLASSROOM56,210 SFKADENA3501TRML, AIR FRT89 SFKADENA3503TECH TNG CLASSROOM56,210 SFKADENA45019TRML, AIR FRT89 SFKADENA45019TRML, AIR FRT89 SFKADENA34510TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA3410VEH OR SETOR FCLT3,306 SFKADENA3411VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,335 SFKADENA3470VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,336 SFKADENA3551LLS GLIDE SLOPE4,336 SFKADENA3471VEH OPS PKNG SHED3,906 SFKADENA3551LLS GLIDE SLOPE3,306 SFKADENA3551RFCLTY3,354 SFKADENA3551RFCLTY4,356 SFKADENA3551RFCLTY4,356 SFKADENA3551SHP AKS STOR FCLTY4,356 SFKADENA<</td><td>STL_NAME FACT_I RP_AREA AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D NR CAT NOMENCLATURE AMT UOM MT KADENA 1055 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 3401 TRMI, AIR FRT 89 SF 0 11 KADENA 3507 SQ OPS COMM FCLTY 241 SF 0 11 KADENA 45105 TECH TNG CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 KADENA 3507 COMM FCLTY 241 SF 0 1 KADENA 3470 VEH OR CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 KADENA 3470 VEH OR CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 KADENA 3471 VEH OR CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1</td><td>NSTL_NAMEFACT JRP_AREAAREARP_OTH A40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREAARFAARFAAT40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREAARFANTKADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF0KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF1KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF1KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF1KADENA3403TRML, AIR FRT89 SF1KADENA4509TNG AID562 SF1KADENA4500TECH TNG CLASSROOM2700 SF1KADENA3410TRML, AIR FRT895 SF1KADENA3410TRG CLASSROOM2700 SF1KADENA3410TNG AID3,906 SF1KADENA3410TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA3410TRG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA3410TRG AID3,906 SFKADENA3410TRG AID3,534 SFKADENA3410TRG AID3,543 SFKADENA3410TRG AID3,543 SFKADENA351ACFT COR CON2,703 SFKADENA353BE STOR SHED7,440 SFKADENA353SFP ASSETOR FCLT4,538 SF<!--</td--></td></td<></td></th<> | ISTL_NAMEFACT_I40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREARP_OTH_A40D_NRNRCAT NOMENCLATUREMTUOMMT40D_NRACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1280DEFLECTOR,BLST011KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT011KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT18,955 SF01KADENA3507SQ OPSCOMMFCLTY241 SF0KADENA3503COMMFCLTY241 SF1KADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SF1KADENA3470VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SF1KADENA3471VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SF1KADENA3471VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SF1KADENA3470VEH OPS PKNG SHED7,440 SF1KADENA3551SHP ASE STOR RCLT4,336 SF1KADENA3551SHP ASE STOR RCLT4,336 SF1KADENA3551SHP ASE STOR RCLT4,336 SF< | STL_NAMEFACT_140D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREARFARP_OTH_A40D_NRNS5ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1280DEFLECTOR, BLST011KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT18,955 SF1KADENA3507SQ OPS50,210 SF5331 SFKADENA3507SQ OPSCOMM FCLTY2,311 SFKADENA3507SQ OPS26,210 SFKADENA3528COMM FCLTY2,313 SFKADENA4509TNG AID562 SFKADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA45105TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA3470VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SFKADENA3471VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,836 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN2,144 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN1,165 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN2,146 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN2,144 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN1,165 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN1,116 SFKADENA3531SF PCONVL MUN1,5155 SFKADENA <td< td=""><td>STL_NAME FACT Image RP_AREA RP_AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D_NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D_NR CAT NOMENCLATURE AMT UOM MT KADENA 1055 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 55 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 55 1 KADENA 3507 COMM FCLTY 15,381 SF 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 562 SF 0 KADENA 45010 TRMC ALDS 26,210 SF 0 1 KADENA 45105 TECH TNG CLASSROOM 2,62 SF 1 1 KADENA 45105 TECH TNG CLASSROOM 2,62 SF 1 1</td><td>STL.NAME FACT T NUME FACT AREA RP_AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D_NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D_NR CAT NOMENCLATURE ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 1064 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 1 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 0 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML AIR FRT 8,955 SF 1 0 0 1 KADENA 3503 TECH TNG CLASSROOM 2,62 SF 0 2,700 SF 2<</td><td>STL_NAME FACT_1 RP_AREA RP_AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA RP_OTH_A KADENA 1055 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 955 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 955 1 1 KADENA 3607 SQ OPS COMM FCLTY 8) 955 55 KADENA 3503 COMM FCLTY 8) 56 1 1 KADENA 4500 TRG LASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 1 KADENA 3513 TCH TNG CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 KADENA 45105 TNG CLASSROOM 2,710 SF 1 1</td><td>STL_NAMEFACT_1RP_AREAAREARP_OTH_A40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREAAREARP_OTH_AKADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA3400TRML, AIR FRT89 SF0KADENA3507SQ OPSCOMM FCLTY26,210 SFKADENA3507SQ OPSCOMM FCLTY21,00 SFKADENA3500TECH TNG CLASSROOM56,210 SFKADENA3501TRML, AIR FRT89 SFKADENA3503TECH TNG CLASSROOM56,210 SFKADENA45019TRML, AIR FRT89 SFKADENA45019TRML, AIR FRT89 SFKADENA34510TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA3410VEH OR SETOR FCLT3,306 SFKADENA3411VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,335 SFKADENA3470VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,336 SFKADENA3551LLS GLIDE SLOPE4,336 SFKADENA3471VEH OPS PKNG SHED3,906 SFKADENA3551LLS GLIDE SLOPE3,306 SFKADENA3551RFCLTY3,354 SFKADENA3551RFCLTY4,356 SFKADENA3551RFCLTY4,356 SFKADENA3551SHP AKS STOR FCLTY4,356 SFKADENA<</td><td>STL_NAME FACT_I RP_AREA AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D NR CAT NOMENCLATURE AMT UOM MT KADENA 1055 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 3401 TRMI, AIR FRT 89 SF 0 11 KADENA 3507 SQ OPS COMM FCLTY 241 SF 0 11 KADENA 45105 TECH TNG CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 KADENA 3507 COMM FCLTY 241 SF 0 1 KADENA 3470 VEH OR CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 KADENA 3470 VEH OR CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 KADENA 3471 VEH OR CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1</td><td>NSTL_NAMEFACT JRP_AREAAREARP_OTH A40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREAARFAARFAAT40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREAARFANTKADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF0KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF1KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF1KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF1KADENA3403TRML, AIR FRT89 SF1KADENA4509TNG AID562 SF1KADENA4500TECH TNG CLASSROOM2700 SF1KADENA3410TRML, AIR FRT895 SF1KADENA3410TRG CLASSROOM2700 SF1KADENA3410TNG AID3,906 SF1KADENA3410TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA3410TRG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA3410TRG AID3,906 SFKADENA3410TRG AID3,534 SFKADENA3410TRG AID3,543 SFKADENA3410TRG AID3,543 SFKADENA351ACFT COR CON2,703 SFKADENA353BE STOR SHED7,440 SFKADENA353SFP ASSETOR FCLT4,538 SF<!--</td--></td></td<> | STL_NAME FACT Image RP_AREA RP_AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D_NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D_NR CAT NOMENCLATURE AMT UOM MT KADENA 1055 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 55 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 55 1 KADENA 3507 COMM FCLTY 15,381 SF 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 562 SF 0 KADENA 45010 TRMC ALDS 26,210 SF 0 1 KADENA 45105 TECH TNG CLASSROOM 2,62 SF 1 1 KADENA 45105 TECH TNG CLASSROOM 2,62 SF 1 1 | STL.NAME FACT T NUME FACT AREA RP_AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D_NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D_NR CAT NOMENCLATURE ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 1064 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 1 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 0 KADENA 3507 TRML, AIR FRT 8,955 SF 0 0 1 KADENA 3507 TRML AIR FRT 8,955 SF 1 0 0 1 KADENA 3503 TECH TNG CLASSROOM 2,62 SF 0 2,700 SF 2< | STL_NAME FACT_1 RP_AREA RP_AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA RP_OTH_A KADENA 1055 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 955 1 KADENA 3401 TRML, AIR FRT 8) 955 1 1 KADENA 3607 SQ OPS COMM FCLTY 8) 955 55 KADENA 3503 COMM FCLTY 8) 56 1 1 KADENA 4500 TRG LASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 1 KADENA 3513 TCH TNG CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 KADENA 45105 TNG CLASSROOM 2,710 SF 1 1 | STL_NAMEFACT_1RP_AREAAREARP_OTH_A40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREAAREARP_OTH_AKADENA1055ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT011KADENA3400TRML, AIR FRT89 SF0KADENA3507SQ OPSCOMM FCLTY26,210 SFKADENA3507SQ OPSCOMM FCLTY21,00 SFKADENA3500TECH TNG CLASSROOM56,210 SFKADENA3501TRML, AIR FRT89 SFKADENA3503TECH TNG CLASSROOM56,210 SFKADENA45019TRML, AIR FRT89 SFKADENA45019TRML, AIR FRT89 SFKADENA34510TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA3410VEH OR SETOR FCLT3,306 SFKADENA3411VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,335 SFKADENA3470VEH OPS PKNG SHED4,336 SFKADENA3551LLS GLIDE SLOPE4,336 SFKADENA3471VEH OPS PKNG SHED3,906 SFKADENA3551LLS GLIDE SLOPE3,306 SFKADENA3551RFCLTY3,354 SFKADENA3551RFCLTY4,356 SFKADENA3551RFCLTY4,356 SFKADENA3551SHP AKS STOR FCLTY4,356 SFKADENA< | STL_NAME FACT_I RP_AREA AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D NR CAT NOMENCLATURE RP_AREA AREA RP_OTH_A 40 D NR CAT NOMENCLATURE AMT UOM MT KADENA 1055 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 1181 ACFT ARES SYS SPT 0 11 KADENA 3401 TRMI, AIR FRT 89 SF 0 11 KADENA 3507 SQ OPS COMM FCLTY 241 SF 0 11 KADENA 45105 TECH TNG CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 KADENA 3507 COMM FCLTY 241 SF 0 1 KADENA 3470 VEH OR CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 KADENA 3470 VEH OR CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 KADENA 3471 VEH OR CLASSROOM 2,700 SF 1 1 | NSTL_NAMEFACT JRP_AREAAREARP_OTH A40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREAARFAARFAAT40D_NRCAT NOMENCLATURERP_AREAARFANTKADENA1064ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA1181ACFT ARES SYS SPT01KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF0KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF1KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF1KADENA3401TRML, AIR FRT89 SF1KADENA3403TRML, AIR FRT89 SF1KADENA4509TNG AID562 SF1KADENA4500TECH TNG CLASSROOM2700 SF1KADENA3410TRML, AIR FRT895 SF1KADENA3410TRG CLASSROOM2700 SF1KADENA3410TNG AID3,906 SF1KADENA3410TECH TNG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA3410TRG CLASSROOM2,700 SFKADENA3410TRG AID3,906 SFKADENA3410TRG AID3,534 SFKADENA3410TRG AID3,543 SFKADENA3410TRG AID3,543 SFKADENA351ACFT COR CON2,703 SFKADENA353BE STOR SHED7,440 SFKADENA353SFP ASSETOR FCLT4,538 SF </td |

			66,245	231,766			14,429																			171,532				267,686											2,883
5	5	S	5	9	2	2	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	10	10	10	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Medical	Administration	Administration	Administration	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Dormitories	Dormitories	Dormitories	Dormitories	UGI	UGI	UGI	UGI	UGI	UGI	UGI	UGI	UGI	UGI	UGI
2001	2001	2001	1999	1999	1998	2001	1998	1999	2002	1999	2001	1998	1999	2000	1999	2002	1998	1998	1999	1999	1999	1999	2001	2002	1999	1999	2001	2001	1999	1999	1998	1998	1999	1998	2000	2000	1998	1999	2002	1999	1998
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
422264	422264	422264	422271	510001	610127	610142	610144	750371	730441	723392	722351	740884	722351	740735	740884	730783	740672	740672	740672	740672	730837	760512	750371	750371	750581	750581	721312	721312	721312	721312	843316	841169	832267	811149	811149	811149	841169	832267	811149	811149	843319
1 EA	1 EA	1 EA		1 BD	0			1 EA			1,000 PN		1,000 PN								0	3 EA	1 EA	1 EA	1 EA	2 EA	194 PN	194 PN	194 PN	194 PN	1,821 GM										5 KG
1,991 SF	1,991 SF	1,991 SF	52,380 SF	231,766 SF	1,375 SF	10,791 SF	2,263 SF	1,132 SF	46,532 SF	544 SF	17,900 SF	23,594 SF	15,521 SF	8,400 SF	23,594 SF	32,927 SF	43 SF	43 SF	43 SF	43 SF	96 SF		560 SF	560 SF			66,736 SF	67,628 SF	66,661 SF	66,661 SF	710 SF	299 SF	220 SF	216 SF	277 SF	204 SF	299 SF	232 SF	193 SF	233 SF	0
STOR, IGLOO	STOR, IGLOO	STOR, IGLOO	STOR MODULE BARCAD	COMPOSITE MED	BSE ENGR ADMIN	TRAFFIC MGT FCLTY	MUN MAINT ADMIN	O/D RECTN PAVILION	EDUCATION CEN	SAN LATRINE	DH, AMN(DET)	CHILD CARE CEN	DH, AMN(DET)	RESTAURANT, BASE	CHILD CARE CEN	SCH DEPN DET SPT	MWR SUP/NAF C-STOR	MWR SUP/NAF C-STOR	MWR SUP/NAF C-STOR	MWR SUP/NAF C-STOR	SP ENTRY CON BLDG	MONUMENTS/MEMORL	0/D RECTN PAVILION	0/D RECTN PAVILION	MISC O/RECTN FCLTY	MISC O/RECTN FCLTY	DORM AM PP/PCS-STD	DORM AM PP/PCS-STD	DORM AM PP/PCS-STD	DORM AM PP/PCS-STD	WTR FR PMP STN	BLDG WTR SUP	SAN SEWAGE PMP STN	ELEC PWR STN BLDG	ELEC PWR STN BLDG	ELEC PWR STN BLDG	BLDG WTR SUP	SAN SEWAGE PMP STN	ELEC PWR STN BLDG	ELEC PWR STN BLDG	FR PROTEC WTR STOR
48955	48956	48957	49611	626	235	3151	47899	28	59	156	178	334	772	3629	4081	9497	20334	20335	24081	24082	46015	48090	70138	70613	71849	74081	176	177	629	630	180	342	2834	3335	3397	3506	4067	5011	9498	46014	49050
KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA

المنسارات

	Subtotal 200000
	Coding 5
	IRR Category Supply Supply
KES	YR_ COMP 2001 2000
ATUR	TYPE N N
(GED FE	RP_CAT PRES 411135 411136
CHAN	OTH U0M BP BP
E BASE	RP_OTH AMT 100000 100000
FORC	AREA _U0M
SEN AIR	RP_AREA AMT
ANDEI	CAT_ NOMENCLATURE POL Fuel Tanks POL Fuel Tanks
	FACT ID_NR 26207 26208
	INSTL_ NAME_40 ANDERSEN ANDERSEN
للاستشارات	المنار

	Subtotal									13102			10922		19,986.00			50,211.00			754,411				
	Coding	 ·									ŝ	ლ ო	ŝ	n vn	10		Ľ	L 0	0 00	8	~ c	r 0	6	9 Q	<i>,</i> 6
	IRR Category	Operations & Training Operations & Training	Operations & Training Operations & Training	Operations & Training Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training Onerations & Training	Maintenance and Production	Maintenance and Production Maintenance and Production	Maintenance and Production	Supply Supply	Supply	Admin Admin	Admin	Admin Commity Sumort	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing
JRES	YR_ COMP	2001 2001	2001 2001	2001 2001	2001	2001 2001	2001	2001	2001	2001 2001	2001	2001 2001	2001	2001 2001	2002	2001 2001	2001	2002	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001
FEATU	TYPE	A A	ΑA	44	Y Y	4 4	A 4	K A	Ą	Ā	¥.	ΡD	Ð	ΡD	D	מם	A	מב	A	Ą	A C	ם ם	D	U⊿	Y
ANGED	RP_CAT _PRES	124134 134351	136668 131118	121115	121124	121124 121115	126925	124135	121124	131118	214426	219946 215552	216642	442758 432283	442769	610129 610129	610129	610129 740457	750611	750612	750581	711143	711143	711143	711311
ASE CH	U0M	GA EA		GM		GM	0L OL	eA GA		Ч	i			CF				ΓV	ΥΡ		EA EA	FA	FA	FA FA	VE
ORCE B/	RP_OTH _AMT	$\frac{250}{1}$		3600 3600	0000	3600	6 420000	420000		139000		0	0	64.320.00	0	00		0 %	4 o		- 0	0 00	8	8 4	* ∞
AIR FO	AREA U0M	SF	SF SF		SF	SF			SF	\mathbf{SF}	SF	SF	SF	Y SF	SF	SF SF	SF	SF	SF	\mathbf{SF}	SE	SF	SF	SF SF	SF
EIELSON	RP_AREA _AMT	240	1200 210		6501	4471			80	400	783	960 8.409.00	770	2030 13.482.00	4,474.00	9,931.00 $4.923.00$	26625	8,732.00	740520	1134	17 757 00	12,757.00	12,757.00	12,757.00 8056	1348
	CAT_ NOMENCLATURE	OPG STOR, DIESEL ILS GLIDE SLOPE	AIRFIELD LIGHTING VAULT RAD RELAY FCLTY	AVFUEL DISPEN HVDR FL SVS	HYDR FL, BLDG	HYDR FL, BLDG AVFUEL DISPEN	LF FIL STD, TRK Odg stor ift fi	OPG STOR, JET FL	HYDR FL, BLDG	TEL DUCT FOLTY	VEH OPS HEAT PKNG	BE STOR CV FCLTY SHP.WPN & RLSE SYS	SHP CONVL MUN	WHSE SUP&EQUIP BSE COLD STOR BSE	HSG SUP-STOR FCLTY	WPN SYS/M MGT FCLT WPN SYS/M MGT FCLT	WPN SYS/M MGT FCLT	WPN SYS/M MGT FCLT TI E (NAE)	FAM CAMPS	FAMCAMP SPT FAC	MISC O/RECTN FCLTY EAM USC ADDD 50.60	FAM HSG APPR 50-69	FAM HSG APPR 50-69	FAM HSG APPR 50-69 FAM HSG APPR 50-69	FAM HSG ATCH GARGE
	FACT_ ID_NR	1110 1110	$1149 \\1186$	1211	1211	1211 1212	1212 3241	3244	3246	6402 9050	2211	4336 4365	6379	1211 3434	4113	1221 1230	1353	4112	0009	6005	6388 5120	5132	5150	5189	5228
	INSTL_ NAME_40	EIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON FIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON	EIELSON
للاستشارات	J			ł	5								1()0											

www.	manaraa	com
	manaa	



101

🛋 للاستشارات

٥٥٥٥٥٥٥٥٥٥٥٥٥٩٩٩٩

	Subtotal										105,973.87			13,194.25								30,899.00	15286.844			9,084.00																			
	Coding	٦	-	-	. 	-	-	-	-	-	-	ო	ę	ო	5	5	5	5 2	5	5	5	£	9	7	7	7	80	8	80	80	80	80	80	8	80	80	80	80	80	ø	80	8	80	80	œ
	IRR Category	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Maintenance and Production	Maintenance and Production	Maintenance and Production	Supply	Medical	Admin	Admin	Admin	Community Support																									
	TYPE	۵	۵	۵	z	z	۵	۵	۵	z	z	۵	۵	A	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	A	۵	۵	۵	z	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	A	z	z	z	۵		z
RP_CAT	_PRES	171623	141185	141383	141753	141753	123335	121124	134376	141753	134376	219946	214426	211159	442769	442257	442769	442257	442257	452252	442758	442628	510001	610243	610243	610811	740457	740383	740255	721315	721315	740457	730443	740379	740459	740457	740457	740459	740674	740612	740612	750371	750583	730838	750371
OTH]	U0M						ОГ		EA																					N	N	FA				FA	FA						EA	EA	
RP_OTH	_AMT	0	0	0	0	0	7	0	~	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	68	68	68	0	0	0	10	10	0	0	0	0	0	-	-	0
AREA	_U0M	SF	SF	SF	SF	SF	SF	SF	SF	SF	SF	SF	SF	SF	SF	SF	SF	SF	SF	SΥ	SF																								
RP_ARE	A_AMT	1771	21241	5710	25160	24027	30	2475	2400	22508	646	240	9460	3494	576	128	2064	960	1326	21665	4100	80	15287	5356	2048	1680	13032	3380	0096	18000	18000	18000	10573	650	356	4154	4154	250	47839	27432	21089	2835	4750	198	2245
	CAT_NOMENCLATURE	TECH TNG LAB/SHP	HELI, RESC/RECOVY	AUDIO-VISUAL FCLTY	KC-135 SQ OPS/AMU	KC-135 SQ OPS/AMU	VEH FL STN	HYDR FL, BLDG	ASR	KC-135 SQ OPS/AMU	ASR	BE STOR CV FCTLY	VEH OPS HEAT PKNG	ACFT COR CONTROL	HSG SUP-STOR FCLTY	HAZARD STOR, BSE	HSG SUP-STOR FCLTY	HAZARD STOR, BSE	HAZARD STOR, BSE	OPEN STOR, BSE SUP	WHSE SUP&EQUIP BSE	SHED SUP&EQUIP BSE	MEDICAL CLINIC	HQ GROUP	HQ GROUP	ADMIN OFC, NON-AF	NEW TLF, 10 UNIT	EXCH, SVC STN	THRIFT SHP	DORM, VAQ	DORM, VAQ	TLF (NAF)	POST OFFICE CEN	EXCH, AMUSE CEN	TRN LODGE SPT BLDG	TLF (NAF)	TLF (NAF)	TRN LODGE SPT BLDG	FITNESS CENTER	AIREY DINING HALL	BARNES HALL	PAVILION	RIDING STABLES	MASTER SRVLL & CON	PAVILION SHELTERS
FACT_	ID_NR	312	519	533	541	542	555	612	627	631	821	524	525	622	235	317	324	419	420	421	426	464	109	216	226	429	143	200	211	223	225	229	230	240	246	247	248	251	308	315	319	338	624	838	1005
-ITZNI	NAME_40	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS

GRAND FORKS AIR FORCE BASE CHANGED FEATURES

المنسارات

Community Support Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing		Housing	Housing
٥z	z	z	۵		ם ב	ם מ	۵	۵	۵	D I	ם מ			۵	۵	۵	Δ			۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵			ם ב	ם ב		۵	۵		۵	D i	۵	Δ			ם מ	ום	ם מ	ם ב	ם ב	ב
730275 730842	750179	750179	711111	711111	711111	71111	71111	71111	711111	711111	711117	71111	71111	71111	71111	71111	71111	711111	711111	71111	71111	71111	71111	71111	71111	71111	71111	711111	111117	711111	71111	71111	71111	711111	71111	711111	71111	71111	714431	71111	711111	11111	711111	1 1 1 1 1	74444	11111/
			FА	A V	ζ d L L	ΕA	FA	FA	FА	Αi	Α.	A A	FA	FA	FA	FA	ΕA	ΕA	Ч	ΕA	FA	FA	FA	FA	FA	FA	ΕA	A d	4 < 1 1	ζ 4 L L	FА	FA	FA	ΕA	FA	A i	۲A	ΕA	ЧE N	FA L	Υ Å	Η H	Α.	{ < ∟ L	4 < 1 U	۲J
00	0	0	9	9	<u>ب</u> م	9 0	9	9	9	9	90	0 0	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	9	<u>ب</u> م	ິ	9	9	9	9	9	N •	4	2	ო	9	90	9	6	50	<u>ه</u> م	٥
SF SF	SF	SF	ŝ	П П	г Ш	Ч	SF	F SF	SF	FS 1	ት የ	г г Г	З	SF	s SF	SF	SF	FS 5	L L S	гS	Я	SF	SF SF	SF	SF	г	SF SF	т Г	Ър	₽ ₩	З	SF	SF	Я.	L L L L	г, Г	ц Л	SF	SF SF	т Г	ж р	步 {	г Г	г.	Ър	Ъ
50 533	83	124	8782	8782	8782	10444	10444	10444	10444	8782	9082	0340 8948	9082	8782	8948	8948	8782	9082	8948	8782	8782	8948	8782	9082	8948	8782	8782	9082	8948 0702	8782	9082	9082	8782	9082	8782	3352	6409	3352	936	9082	8782	8948	8782	2002	70/07	2010
BUS, SHLTR SCHOOL STORAGE SHED	BASE BALL DUGOUT	BASE BALL DUGOUT	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHARI	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHAKI EAM HSG, CAPEHABT	FAM HSG. CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHARI	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	GARGE FAM HSG DET	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHART	FAM HSG, CAPEHARI	FAM HSG, CAPEHART		FAM HSG, CAPEHAKI FAM HSC, CAPEHABT	FAM NOG, CAPENARI					
2313			1108	1112	1116	1117	1118	1119	1120	1121	1122	1124	1125	1126	1127	1128	1129	1130	1131	1132	1133	1134	1135	1136	1137	1138	1139	1140	1411	1143	1144	1145	1146	1147	1149	1201	1225	1295	1431	1502	1504	1505	1506	1000	10101	7001
GRAND FORKS GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS		GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS		GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS		GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS	GRAND FORKS			GRAIND FURNO															

المتسارات

	Subtotal																																					127287
	Coding	Ł	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	ر	-	-	-	-	-
	IRR Category	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training																		
YR	COMP	2001	2002	2000	1995	2000	1999	2002	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2001
	TYPE		۵	z	z	z	z	z	Δ	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	Δ	Δ	D	۵	۵
RP CAT	PRES	610913	171212	116672	141454	132134	123335	131111	121111	131132	149962	134473	124137	179511	141743	131111	131111	131111	131111	610913	131115	610913	121111	134336	121111	121111	131114	131111	131111	171618	141165	141165	171214	171447	131116	171471	171471	11111
RP OTH OTH	_AMT _U0M	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00 EA	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00 EA	1.00 EA	1.00 EA	20000.00 GA	1.00 EA	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00 EA	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
RP AREA AREA	_AMTU0M	1403.00 SF	13498.00 SF	100.00 SY	3069.00 SF	0.00 XX	658.00 SF	5382.00 SF	96.00 SF	400.00 SF	3152.00 SF	0.00 XX	0.00 XX	0.00 XX	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	300.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	96589.00 SY
	CAT_NOMENCLATURE	DISASTER PREP	KC-135 FLT SIM	ACFT WASH RACK	OPS, SP	Ant Spt STR	Veh Fl Stn	SATCOM Facility	PETROL OPS BLDG	SAT COMM GND TRML	TWR, CON	TWR, NAVAID	OPG STOR, MOGAS	FIREMAN TNG FCLTY	PHOTO LAB, BSE	COMM FCLTY	COMM FCLTY	COMM FCLTY	COMM FCLTY	DISASTER PREP	COMM, RCVR	DISASTER PREP	PETROL OPS BLDG	GCA FIXED	PETROL OPS BLDG	PETROL OPS BLDG	RAD, MARS	COMM FCLTY	COMM FCLTY	FLD TNG FCLTY	EOD	EOD	PHYSL TNG	RES FORCES C-E TNG	COMM, TMTR RCVR	RG CON HSE	RG CON HSE	RUNWAY
FACT	ID_NR	172	295	517	543	556	557	1058	1059	1060	1108	1146	1155	1188	3025	3040	3041	3048	3049	3053	3060	3065	3159	3161	3162	3163	3169	3262	3263	3299	3699	3700	3710	3723	3805	3881	3882	13031
INSTL 1	NAME_40	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL

MACDILL AIR FORCE BASE CHANGED FEATURES

المنسارات المستشارات

e	ო	ო	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	ო	e	ო	с	ო	с	e	e	e	e	e	e	e	ო	e	e	e	ი	e	с	e	ო	ო	ო	ო	e	e	e	e	e	e	с	ო	ო
Maintenance & Production																																											
2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2000	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2000	2001	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000
Δ	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	Δ	Δ	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	Δ	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵
219946	219944	132135	179512	610913	610913	62132	7592	141455	127837	127326	126814	121111	131132	132135	179512	610913	610913	62132	7592	141455	127837	127326	126814	121111	131132	149962	134473	124137	179511	141743	131111	131111	131111	131111	610913	131115	610913	121111	134336	121111	121111	131114	131111
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	00.00		00.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	1.00 EA	0.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	1.00 EA	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	00.00	0.00
17484.00 SF	9918.00 SF	5944.00 SF	9115.00 SF	460.00 SF	5199.00 SF	3907.00 SF	1292.00 SF	3261.00 SF	1246.00 SF	2015.00 SF	594.00 SF	4000.00 SF	924.00 SF	9215.00 SF	600.00 SF	34644.00 SF	1056.00 SF	2089.00 SF	1848.00 SF	1584.00 SF	910.00 SF	432.00 SF	140.00 SF	1500.00 SF	120.00 SF	240.00 SF	120.00 SF	192.00 SF	192.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF											
BE STOR CV FCTLY	BE MAINT SHOP	BE STOR CV FCTLY	BE MAINT SHOP	BE PAV GRND FCLTY	BE MAINT SHOP	BE MAINT SHOP	NOAA Facility	VEH OPS PKNG SHED	BE STOR CV FCTLY	BE STOR CV FCTLY	VEH MAIN SHP	VEH SVC RACK	BE MAINT SHOP	BE MAINT SHOP	BE STOR SHED	BE STOR SHED	BE STOR CV FCTLY	SHP, WPN & RLSE SYS	VEH SVC RACK	SHP ACFT GEN PURP	BE STOR CV FCTLY	BE STOR CV FCTLY	BE MAINT SHOP	BE MAINT SHOP	BE MAINT SHOP	BE MAINT SHOP	BE STOR CV FCTLY	LAB, PME	BE MAINT SHOP	AFCS MAINT FCLTY	BE STOR CV FCTLY	BE STOR CV FCTLY	BE STOR CV FCTLY	SHP SURV EQUIP	SHP SURV EQUIP	BE STOR CV FCTLY	BE MAINT SHOP	SHP NAVAID					
11	12	12	29	31	32	32	32	33	33	33	35	44	119	227	248	500	510	740	1064	1074	1076	1185	1361	1847	3004	3008	3026	3029	3031	3032	3033	3037	3042	3050	3057	3058	3062	3063	3078	3079	3111	3150	3154
MACDILL																																											

المتسارات

	ოო	იო	ю	с С	ო	ოო	ო	ŝ	ოო	იო	ς α	ო	2 I	ເດ ເ	ഹ	2 Q	5	ى م	n n	o o	5	ı ع	n n	വ	5	5	u LO U	о ю	2 2	S	ı ع	ις ι	ດແ	വ	υu	ŋ
	Maintenance & Production	Maintenance & Production	Maintenance & Production	Maintenance & Production	Maintenance & Production	Maintenance & Production Maintenance & Production	Maintenance & Production	Maintenance & Production	Maintenance & Production	Maintenance & Production	Maintenance & Production	Maintenance & Production	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Suppiy
	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000 2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2001	1998	2001	2001	2001	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000		2000	2000	ZUUU
		ם מ	۵		ם נ	ם ם	۵			ם מ		۵		zι			D	<u>م</u>	ם מ	ם מ	۵	D I		ם מ	۵	۵		ם מ	۵	۵		ם מ	ם ב			ב
	131111 171618	141165	141165	171214	171447	131116 171471	171471	11111	219946	132135	179513	610913	610913	-46948	-101488 141456	126303	125791	125280	141153	132136	179513	610913	610913 -46948	-101488	141456	126303	125791 125280	121111	131132	149962	134473	124137	1106/1	131111	131111	131111
	0.0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0	0.00	0.00	0.00
	120.00 SF 120.00 SE	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF 120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	192.00 SF	120.00 SF	3974.00 SF	403.00 SF	625.00 SF 2431.00 SF	5600.00 SF	5600.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF 120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF 120.00 SE	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	192.00 SF	192.00 SF	192.00 SF	192.00 SF 192.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	12U.UU SF
	BE MAINT SHOP BE MAINT SHOP		SHP A/SE STOR FCLT	SHP A/SE STOR FCLT	BE MAINT SHOP	BE MAIN I SHOP SHP CONVL MUN	SHP CONVL MUN	SHP, SRVLL INSP	SHP, SRVLL INSP SHD CONVI MIN	SHP CONVL MUN	VEH MAIN SHP	BE MAINT SHOP	WHSE SUP&EQUIP BSE	Hazardous Storage	HAZARU STUR, BSE WHSE SUP&FOUIP BSE	WHSE SUP&EQUIP BSE	WHSE SUP&EQUIP BSE	HSG SUP-STOR FCLTY	HSG SUP-SLOR FCL IY LEC SUD STOD FCI TV	WHSE SUP&EQUIP BSE	WHSE SUP&EQUIP BSE	HSG SUP-STOR FCLTY	HSG SUP-STOR FCLTY HSG SUP-STOR FCLTY	HSG SUP-STOR FCLTY	WHSE SUP&EQUIP BSE	WHSE, FORM & PUB, BSE	HSG SUP-STOR FCLTY	HSG SUP-STOR FCLTY	HSG SUP-STOR FCLTY	WHSE SUP&EQUIP BSE	WHSE SUP&EQUIP BSE	WHSE SUP&EQUIP BSE	WHSE SUPREQUIP BSE WHSE SUPREDUIP BSE	WHSE SUP&EQUIP BSE	WHSE SUP&EQUIP BSE	WHSE SUPREQUIT BSE
	3247 3203	3500	3551	3552	3740	3741 3837	3839	3842	3843 2016	3849	3874	3878	12	707	88U 1107	1148	1149	3147	3151	3170	3172	3251	3254	3259	3371	3374	3375 3376	3378	3714	3862	3863	3864	3866	3868	3869	38/ U
	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL		MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL		MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL		MACDILL	MACDILL	MAUDILL
لاستشارات	J _	2	J				i		5									1	06	•													W	ŴŴ	/.m	15

2328 2328	2328	61422	
א ט ט ט	89997777777	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	
Videns Videns Videns	Supply Medical Medical Medical Administration Administration Administration Administration Administration	Administration Administration Administration Administration Administration Administration Administration Administration Administration Administration Administration Administration Community Support	Community Support Community Support Community Support Community Support Community Support Community Support Community Support Community Support Community Support Community Support
2000 2000 2000	2000 2000 2000 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001	2001 2001 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000 2000	2001 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001 2001
131111 610913 131115 610013	610913 121111 121111 121111 121111 121111 131111 131111 131111 131111 131111 131111 131111 131111 1311165	171214 171447 171447 171471 171471 171471 171471 171471 171514 171954 123745 124257 124257 124257 124257 124257 124257 124257 124257 124195 123745 161195	132137 132137 179514 610913 610913 -156028 -210568 141457 124768 124768 124257 123745
0.0.0 0.0.0 0.00	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	1200.00 PN 0.00 PN 22.00 PN 12.00 PN 1.00 EA 0.00 0.00 0.00
120.00 SF 192.00 SF 192.00 SF 20.00 SF	120.00 SF 120.00 SF 1920.00 SF 19171.00 SF 4000.00 SF 1347.00 SF 1347.00 SF 3104.00 SF 0.00 XX	2384.00 SF 1500.00 SF 12000 SF 120.00 SF 120.00 SF 192.00 SF 120.00 SF 120.00 SF 120.00 SF 120.00 SF 120.00 SF 120.00 SF 120.00 SF 240.00 SF 0.00 SF 0	35004.00 SF 15093.00 SF 0.00 SF 0.00 XX 8380.00 SF 0.00 XX 21638.00 SF 30672.00 SF 108.00 SF 30672.00 SF
WHSE SUP&EQUIP BSE WHSE SUP&EQUIP BSE WHSE SUP&EQUIP BSE WHSE SUP&EQUIP BSE	WHSE SUP&EQUIP BSE MED COMD + ADMIN MED COMD + ADMIN PHARMACY BASE ENGR ADMIN HQ GROUP ADMIN OFC,NON-AF VEH OPS ADMIN BASE ENGR ADMIN BILLBOARD BILLBOARD	ADMIN OFC, NON-AF ADMIN OFC, NON-AF HQ MAJOR COMD HQ WG WPN SYS/M MGT FCLT HQ GROUP HQ GROUP HQ GROUP HQ GROUP HQ GROUP HQ GROUP HQ GROUP HQ WG HQ MAJOR COMD HQ MAJOR COMD HQ MAJOR COMD BILLBOARD FR STN	Education Cen - Library DH, AMN (DET) Dining FCLTY CRT, RECTN DORM, VAQ CRT, RECTN CRT, RECTN CRT, TENNIS CHILD DEV CENTER OPEN MESS, OFF BUS, SHLTR
3871 3872 3873 3873	3886 3711 3712 3926 3926 3926 72 172 514 514 514	731 731 731 731 3055 3085 3085 3085 3085 3085 3085 3175 3175 3177 3175 3177 3177 3177 317	2552 259 371 384 391 395 395 395
MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL	MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL	MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL	MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL MACDILL
🟅 للاستشاران	المنار	107	www.mar

6 F

.

8	8	8	8	8	80	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	80	8	80	8	80	8	8	8	80	80	80	8	80	80	80	8	8	8	8	8	80	80	80	8	80	80	6	6	6	6
Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing
2000	2000	2000	1999	2000	1999	1999	2001	2001	2001	2001	2001	2002	2001	2001	2000	2001	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2001	2000	2000	2000
۵	۵	۵	z	z	z	z	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	z	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵
121111	131132	149962	134473	124137	179511	141743	131111	131111	131111	131111	610913	131115	610913	121111	134336	121111	121111	131114	131111	131111	171618	141165	141165	171214	171447	131116	171471	171471	11111	219946	219944	132135	179515	610913	610913	-265108	-319648	141458	123234	122722	122211	181237	191258
1.00 EA	0.00	1.00 EA	1.00 EA	00.00	1.00 EA	5.00 EA	0.00	0.00	3.00 EA	00.00	00.00	0.00	1.00 EA	1.00 EA	1.00 EA	1.00 EA	00.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	1.00 SE	00.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	6.00 VE	0.00	0.00	0.00
0.00 XX	66.00 SF	0.00 XX	0.00 XX	87.00 SF	0.00 XX	0.00 XX	416.00 SF	884.00 SF	0.00 XX	52.00 SF	86.00 SF	5000.00 SF	0.00 XX	0.00 XX	0.00 XX	0.00 XX	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	120.00 SF	1941.00 SF	2894.00 SF	2894.00 SF	2894.00 SF
Misc Rectn Str	Bus Station	Misc Rectn Str	MISC O/RECTN FCLTY	TRAFFIC CHK HSE	MISC O/RECTN FCLTY	MONUMENTS/MEMORL	MWR SUP/NAF C-STOR	MWR SUP/NAF C-STOR	MISC O/RECTN FCLTY	BUS, SHLTR	TRAFFIC CHK HSE	GOLF/MAINT EQUIP	MISC O/RECTN FCLTY	MISC O/RECTN FCLTY	MISC O/RECTN FCLTY	MISC O/RECTN FCLTY	SP OPERATIONS	MWR SUP/NAF C-STOR	GYMNASIUM	GYMNASIUM	RECTN, LIB	CHAPEL CEN	MWR SUP/NAF C-STOR	MWR SUP/NAF C-STOR	MWR SUP/NAF C-STOR	MWR SUP/NAF C-STOR	CHILD CARE CEN	CHILD CARE CEN	CHILD CARE CEN	MWR SUP/NAF C-STOR	SP KENNEL SPT BLDG	TRN LODGE SPT BLDG	TRN LODGE SPT BLDG	GARGE FAM HSG DET	FAM Hsg	FAM Hsg	FAM Hsg						
469	471	483	507	542	545	546	728	731	899	908	1095	1706	2009	2010	2018	2019	3126	3298	3303	3304	3311	3355	3364	3365	3366	3367	3380	3381	3382	3496	3497	3498	3499	3698	3701	3704	3824	3892	3905	398	454	456	457
MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL

108

المنسارات

Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Dormitories	Dormitories				191	NGI	NGI	NGI	NGI		ngi	ngi														
2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	0002		2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000	2000		2000	2000	2001	2001	2001	1999	2001	2000	2002	2001	2000	2000	2001	2001	2000
۵	Δ	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	۵	ם נ	ם נ	ם מ	ם ב	ם ב	ם מ		۵	۵	۵		ום		zc	ם מ	۵	۵	D I	ם מ	ב ב	zz	zz	z	۵	۵	Δ	ם מ	ם מ	ı D
132138	179515	610913	610913	-265108	-319648	141458	123234	122722	122211	121111	131132	149962	1044/0	179511	141743	131111	131111	131111	131111	610913	131115	610913	131336	121111	121111	131114	131111	131111	1/1010	141100	171214	171447	131116	171471	171471	11111	179512	610913
00'0	0.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	00.0		0.00	0.00	00.00	00.00	00.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		4.00 FA	100.00 FA	88.00 PN	88.00 PN	1000.00 KV			0.00	0.00	12.00 KG	15.00 KW	15.00 KW	2100.00 LF	2333.00 LF 0.00	0.00
2894.00 SF	2034.UU SF	2034.00 SF 2894.00 SF	2894.00 SF	2034.00 SF	5499.00 SF	XX 00:0	25142.00 SF	16762.00 SF	0.00 XX	100.00 SF	0.00 XX 6375 00 SE	1350 00 SF	200.00 SF	0.00 XX	0.00 XX	0.00 XX	XX 00:0	0.00 XX 1296.00 SF	120.00 SF																			
FAM Hsg	FAM Hsg	FAM Hsg	FAM Hsg	FAM Hsg	FAM Hsg	FAM Hsg	FAM Hsg	FAM HSg EAM Hoo	FAM HSG FAM HSG WHEDDV	FAM HSG, WHERRY	TLR CRT PARKING	DORM AM PP/PCS-STD	DORM AM PP/PCS-STD		SAN SEW FUMP SUI	AFISIRU Marina Bav Café		SAN SEW PUMP Stn	IND WST FL-SP COLL	ELEC E/PWR GEN PLT	ELEC E/PWR GEN PLT	RR TRACKAGE	LOAD&UNLOAD PLATEM	WST TRMT BLDG														
458 8	459	460	461	462	463	464	465	466	472	473	4/4	4/5	4/0	479	480	481	482	485	486	487	488	489	490 600	624 624	006	371	371	19	400 7 4	24.0 24.0	885	891	1078	1180	1181	1249	1282	3066
MACDILL	MACUILL		MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL		MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACUILL			MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL	MACDILL											
للاستشارات		4	J					i		5											10	9														v	vw	'W.

<mark>93971</mark> 41904 <u>9446</u>

	Subtotal										21219					44661			6700C	4824
	Coding											- 0 0	10	00	00	100	S V	s vs v	o vo v	6 9
20	IRR Category	Operations & Training Operations & Training Operations & Training	Operations & Training Operations & Training	Operations & Training Operations & Training Operations & Training	Operations & Training Operations & Training	Operations & Training Operations & Training	Operations & Iraining Operations & Training	Operations & Training Operations & Training	Maintenance and Production	Maintenance and Production	Maintenance and Production Maintenance and Production	Maintenance and Production Maintenance and Production	Maintenance and Production Maintenance and Production	Supply Sumply	Sumply	Supply	suppry Medical			
ATURE	YR_ COMP	N/A N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	A/N N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	A/N N/A	N/A N/A	N/N N/N	N/A	N/A
ED FE	TYPE	V D D	DD	ADD			וםב	םם	< ⊂				D	םם					а С <	ΡD
CHANG	RP_CAT _PRES	$\frac{171450}{149622}$ 149622	610913 149411	131111 124135 124135	124135 124135	124135	124135	124135 124135	132133	134351	134351	214422	214425	219947 211154	211173 219944	212217 219946	442628 442258	442257	422265	442515
E BASF	OTH U0M	EA EA	EA	GA	g g g		GA GA	GA GA	EA	EA	EA	ЕА			EA			ĘA	i	
AIR FORCI	RP_OTH _AMT	0.00 1.00 1.00	0.00 1.00	0.00 50000.00 50000.00	50000.00 50000.00	50000.00	50000.00	50000.00 50000.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	00.0 00.0	1.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
DALE	AREA U0M	XX SF	SF XX	YXX XXX	XX		XX	XX	$_{\rm SF}^{\rm SY}$	SF SF	SF SF	SF S	SF	SF SF	SF SF	SFS	SF SF	SF SF	SF	SF
BARKS	RP_AREA _AMT	4776.00 0.00 0.00	$1104.00 \\ 0.00$	15000.00 0.00 0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00 0.00	19.00 64.00	64.00 64.00	64.00 64.00	600.00 1800.00	120.00	800.00 13265.00	20000.00 832.00	6944.00 300.00	2400.00 1295.00	240.00 807.00	1013.00	4824.00
	CAT_ NOMENCLATURE	RES COMP MED CEILOMETER CEILOMETER	DISASTER PREP BUNKER	COMM FCLIY OPG STOR, JET FL OPG STOR, JET FL	OPG STOR, JET FL OPG STOR, JET FL	OPG STOR, JET FL OPG STOR, JET FL	OPG STOR, JET FL	OPG STOR, JET FL OPG STOR, JET FL	PAD EQUIP 11.ST.OCALIZER	ILS GLIDE SLOPE	ILS GLIDE SLOPE II S MARKFR	VEH SVC RACK	VEH MAIN SHP	BE STOR SHED SHP A/M ORGL	MAINT DOCK, L/A BE MAINT SHOP	SHP MSL WH ASMB BE STOR CV FCTLY	SHED SUP&EQUIP STOR LIO OXYGEN	HAZARD STOR, BSE STOR IGLOO	STOR SPARE INERT	MED STOR (WRM)
	FACT_ D_NR	$4560 \\ 6060 \\ 6101$	7068 7480	7500 66431 66432	66433 66434 66434	66436 66436	10001 66552	66553 66554	97307 99744	99745 99746	99747 99749	4156	4178	4443 6203	6213 7285	7574	4743 6637	7275	7561	4355
	INSTL1 NAME_401	BARKSDALE BARKSDALE BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE BARKSDALE BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE
للاستشارات	jl		ił						11	0								w	/ww	v.ma

Administration	Administration	Administration	Administration	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing		Housing		Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing		Housing													
N/A	A/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	A/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	A/A	N/A	N/A						N/A	N/A	A/A		N/A								
ם <	A D	D	A			יר	יר	n n	ים	Ð	A	D	D	D	D	D	A	D	D	D	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A.	٩·	٩	4 <	۲ -	4 -	4	4 -	۲ -	A •	٩	٩.	4 -	4 4
610129	610129	610129	610144	750347	740255	710012	710012	730443	/40664	740664	750371	750371	750371	750812	730835	722351	730836	730836	750371	723392	750581	750581	750581	760512	711142	711142	714431	711142	714431	711142	711142	711142	/14451 711142	711142	/14451 711145	711142	711142	/14401	711142	711142	7111431	711142	714431
				EA						ļ	EA	EA	EA			N			EA		EA	EA	EA	EA	FA	FA	VE	FA	VE	FA	FA	ΗA	Ц < > Ц	E H	Ц < > Ц	LA L	LA VT	니 ~ > 년	ГA	ΓA	지 ~ 기 ~	LA L	VE
0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	0.00	200.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	0.00	6.00	1.00	3.00	1.00	2.00	2.00	4.00	2.00	4.00	2.00	2.00	7.00	00.4 00.4	00.7	90.4 00.4	7.00	7.00	1 0 0 0 0 0	7.00	7.00	4.00 00 c	7.00 7	4.00
SF	SF	\mathbf{SF}	\mathbf{SF}	X	25	N L	N E	N S	Z S	SF	\mathbf{SF}	\mathbf{SF}	\mathbf{SF}	\mathbf{SF}	\mathbf{SF}	\mathbf{SF}	SF	\mathbf{SF}	\mathbf{SF}	\mathbf{SF}	XX	XX	XX	XX	\mathbf{SF}	\mathbf{SF}	\mathbf{SF}	\mathbf{SF}	\mathbf{SF}	SF	25	7 5	SF SF	50	10 10		10	70	N L	N E	N L	20	SF
2160.00	0090.00 3294.00	3992.00	4800.00	0.00	6140.00	14623.00	1520.00	1230.00	13923.00	7569.00	768.00	320.00	320.00	648.00	2080.00	18220.00	3057.00	1500.00	420.00	36.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	3292.00	3292.00	1260.00	3261.00	1260.00	3261.00	3261.00	3261.00	1260.00 2761.00	00.1020	1200.00	001200	00.1026	1200.00	00.1025	3261.00	1260.00	00.2626	1260.00
WPN SYS/M MGT	WPN SYS/M MGT	WPN SYS/M MGT	MUN MAINT	CRT, TENNIS	THRIFT SHP	OPEN MESS, AMN	UPEN MESS, AMN	POST OFFICE CEN	AKIS&CKAFIS	ARTS&CRAFTS	O/D RECTN	O/D RECTN	O/D RECTN	SWIM POOL	SP OPERATIONS	DH, AMN (DET)	RES FR TEAM	RES FR TEAM	O/D RECTN	SAN LATRINE	MISC O/RECTN	MISC O/RECTN	MISC O/RECTN	MONUMENTS/MEM	FAM HSG APPR	FAM HSG APPR	GARGE FAM HSG	FAM HSG APPR	GARGE FAM HSG	FAM HSG APPR	FAM HSG APPR		UAKUE FAM HSU FAM USC ADDD		CARGE FAM HSG	FAM DON MFFN					GARGE FAM HSG	FAM HSC AFFR	GARGE FAM HSG
7294	7418	7428	7560	2923	4344	4544	4344	4355	4355	4355	6016	6040	6042	6065	6252	7235	7307	7449	8129	8940	53625	58088	59000	99017	2220	2222	2224	2500	2502	2504	2506	8007	01070	0007	7007	2004	0007	2010	0107	7107	2614	2010	2620
BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BAKKSDALE	BAKKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BAKKSDALE	DAPKENDALE	DANNAUALE DADVEDALE	BAKKSUALE DADVEDALE	DANNJUALE	DARKNUALE	BAKNOUALE	BAKKSUALE	BAKKSDALE	BAKKSDALE	DAKNOUALE	BARKSDALE									

المتسارات



www.manaraa.com

الألم للاستشاران





ا 🕻 للاستشارات

Uminia	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	Housing	UGI	NGI	NGI	NGI	NGI	UGI	NGI	NGI	NGI	NGI	NGI	NGI	NGI	UGI	NGI	NGI	NGI	NGI	NGI	UGI	NGI	NGI	NGI	UGI	NGI	NGI	NGI	NGI	NGI	NGI	IDI	UGI
NI/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
<	< <		A	A	V	V	V	V	A	A	A	A	A	Ω	Ω	V	D	Ω	D	V	Ω	A	V	A	A	V	V	A	A	A	V	A	V	A	V	V	V	V	V	V	A	A	A	A	A
CV1111	711142	711142	711142	711142	711142	711142	711142	711142	711142	711142	711142	711142	711142	890123	841169	890161	890154	842249	831172	890161	841169	843319	841169	890171	831157	813231	813231	852287	832267	812223	812225	812226	812926	824462	824464	832266	832267	842245	843315	851143	851145	851147	852289	871183	872248
ΓV	ГА Н	FA	FA	FA	FA	FA	FA	FA	FA	FA	FA	FA	FA			EA	EA		KG	EA		KG		BL	KG	KV	KV			LF	LF	LF	EA		LF	LF		LF	EA	LF		LF		LF	ĽŁ
00 C	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	2.00	0.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	1.00	0.00	250000.00	0.00	1190.00	528.00	95.00	15.00	0.00	0.00	2400.00	14100.00	27200.00	23.00	0.00	16049.00	35600.00	0.00	58205.00	7.00	22278.00	0.00	17139.00	0.00	1445.00	1268.00
C E	N N	SF	\mathbf{SF}	\mathbf{SF}	\mathbf{SF}	SF	SF	\mathbf{SF}	XX	XX	SF	SF	XX	\mathbf{SF}	XX	\mathbf{SF}	XX	XX	XX	XX	SΥ	\mathbf{SF}	XX	XX	XX	XX	\mathbf{SF}	XX	XX	\mathbf{SF}	XX	XX	XX	SΥ	SΥ	SΥ	XX	XX							
7730.00	2730.00	2730.00	2614.00	2730.00	2730.00	3398.00	3398.00	2730.00	2730.00	3398.00	2730.00	3048.00	3398.00	792.00	597.00	0.00	0.00	140.00	10000.00	0.00	198.00	00.00	432.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	20.00	38.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	182.00	0.00	0.00	2250.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	6800.00	45704.00	7067.00	0.00	0.00
EAN HSC ADD	FAM HSG APPR	FAM HSG APPR	FAM HSG APPR	FAM HSG APPR	FAM HSG APPR	FAM HSG APPR	FAM HSG APPR	FAM HSG APPR	FAM HSG APPR	FAM HSG APPR	FAM HSG APPR	FAM HSG APPR	FAM HSG APPR	AIR COND PLT	BLDG WTR SUP	SPT STRU	LOAD & UNLOAD G	WTR PMP STN	DSPL RADACT WST	SPT STRU	BLDG WTR SUP	FR PROTEC WTR	BLDG WTR SUP	MISC STOR TANK	IND WST FL-SP	ELEC SUBSTATION	ELEC SUBSTATION	WALKWAY, CV	SAN SEWAGE PMP	PRIM DISTR LNE	PRIM DISTR LNE	SEC DISTR LNE UG	EXTERIOR AREA	GAS METER FCLTY	GAS MAINS	SAN SEWAGE MAIN	SAN SEWAGE PMP	WTR DISTR MAINS	FR HYDR	CURBS & GUTTERS	DRIVEWAY	ROAD	SIDEWALK	STRM DRN DSPL	FENCE, INTERIOR
0100	91016	9102	9103	9104	9105	9106	9107	9108	9109	9110	9111	9112	9113	4344	6435	6439	7125	7260	7439	7661	7824	8076	8078	36214	37297	87306	87500	97306	97500	00066	99001	99002	99003	99004	99005	90066	99007	90066	60066	99011	99012	99013	99014	99015	99016
2 ADVCDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE	BARKSDALE

115

_ik]

للاستشارات

Appendix B: Category Weighting Questionnaire

This appendix includes the three pages sent to the PACAF MAJCOM Deputy Civil Engineer. Colonel William Drake was requested to answer two different questions in an effort to develop the different weightings used in the research. Each page is briefly described below:

- Introduction Letter: Sent to introduce researcher, summarize the research and the purpose of the questions.
- Question One: Instructs reader to rank-order the following twelve categories
- Question Two: Instructs reader to score each category on a scale of 1 10
 (1 = lowest 10 = highest)



6 Jan 03

Col William Drake 25 E St Hickam, HI 96853-5418

Dear Col Drake

Thank you for taking the time to assist me in my thesis effort. My thesis, the development of a mathematical model to be used by GeoBase personnel in determining optimal refreshment times of an installation's digital imagery, is almost complete. As the installation changes over time (buildings demolished and constructed, etc), the **digital imagery** does not change, thus becoming more inaccurate over time. Unlike other GeoBase layers, the digital imagery is not updated for each facility change; rather it can only be updated by acquiring new imagery, which is a costly process. My model attempts to capture the amount of change of the installation to its imagery. Changes can include facilities, pavements, terrain, trees, and any other aboveground features.

I am trying to develop a weighting system for each feature to more accurately represent the importance of the feature. For example, a command post facility may be considered more important than a transportation warehouse. Instead of rating each facility or feature, I have grouped them all into twelve categories. Eleven categories are the Installation Readiness Report categories (Community and Housing broken into three subcategories). The last category is environmental. Features that do not have a category code are placed into this category.

Your responses to the following questions will be used to determine relative weightings among the different categories. The values that you choose will be combined and normalized to determine a relative ranking. Your answers will be used as representative of your command, not the entire Air Force. I am proposing in my thesis that each command develops their own rankings thorough a more in-depth process similar to this one.

When answering the questions, please consider the perspective of the installation using the GeoBase map (including the **digital imagery**) for everyday functions and crisis management. I can be contacted at my home phone (937) XXX-XXXX at your convenience. Please complete the two questions and email back.

Sincerely,

//SIGNED//

MATTHEW S. CRAIG, Capt, USAF



Question 1:

Each category represents a grouping of facilities or features with similar qualities. This question asks you to prioritize each of the following 12 categories (i.e., rank order).

INSTRUCTIONS:

Rank order the following categories from 1 to 12 where a rank of 1 represents the category that you believe is most important and a rank of 12 represents the category you feel is least important.

Each number may only be used once and all numbers must be used.

Example: Football Team Performance (My opinion based on last season -- I am from RI)

- **1**___ New England Patriots *(best)*
- **_3__** Detroit Lions (second worst)
- _4__ Cincinnati Bengals (worst)
- **2**____ St Louis Rams (second best)
- _____ Operations and Training (airfield, radar, fuel storage, fire station)
- _____ Mobility (Air Freight/Passenger Terminal, Deploy/Depot Material Processing)
- _____ Maintenance and Production (Aircraft and vehicle maint/repair/production shops)
- Research, Development, Testing, and Evaluation (Labs, Research, Wind tunnel)
- _____ Supply (Storage (MOGAS/solvent storage, Supplies/equip sheds)
- _____ Medical (Medical services/clinics, Medical labs)
- _____ Administrative (Admin, Headquarters office)
- Community Support (Dining hall, Education center, Base Laundry, Schools)
- MFH (Family Housing, Leased Family Housing, Trailer court)
- ____ Dormitories (Recruit/Cadet Quarters, other dormitories)
- _____ U&GI (Heating/Treatment plant, electric station, roads, sidewalks, land)
- _____ Environmental (trees, natural terrain, lakes, rivers)



Question 2:

Each category represents a grouping of facilities or features with similar qualities. While similar to Question 1, this question allows the relative weights of the categories to be determined (i.e., a score of 10 is twice as important as a score of 5). It also allows for categories to be weighted equally, if desired.

INSTRUCTIONS:

Assign each of the following categories a value ranging from 1 to 10 where a score of 10 represents the category that you believe is most important and a score of 1 represents the category you feel is least important.

Assign only one category a value of 10 and only one category a value of 1; however, feel free to use the other values more than once (i.e., you may score three categories as a 5).

Example: Football Team Performance

- **_10** New England Patriots
- **__3**__ Detroit Lions
- _1_ Cincinnati Bengals
- 9 St Louis Rams
- **__3** Dallas Cowboys
- Operations and Training (airfield, radar, fuel storage, fire station)
- _____ Mobility (Air Freight/Passenger Terminal, Deploy/Depot Material Processing)
- _____ Maintenance and Production (Aircraft and vehicle maint/repair/production shops)
- _____ Research, Development, Testing, and Evaluation (Labs, Research, Wind tunnel)
- Supply (Storage (MOGAS/solvent storage, Supplies/equip sheds)
- _____ Medical (Medical services/clinics, Medical labs)
- _____ Administrative (Admin, Headquarters office)
- Community Support (Dining hall, Education center, Base Laundry, Schools)
- _____ MFH (Family Housing, Leased Family Housing, Trailer court)
- ____ Dormitories (Recruit/Cadet Quarters, other dormitories)
- _____ U&GI (Heating/Treatment plant, electric station, roads, sidewalks, land)
- _____ Environmental (trees, natural terrain, lakes, rivers)



Appendix C: Questionnaire Results

This appendix identifies Col William Drake's responses to the questionnaire. His answers were based on his opinion of the value of each category to the PACAF installation mission.

Question 1:

(1=Highest – 12=Lowest)

Each category represents a grouping of facilities or features with similar qualities. This question asks you to prioritize each of the following 12 categories (i.e., rank order).

INSTRUCTIONS:

Rank order the following categories from 1 to 12 where a rank of 1 represents the category that you believe is most important and a rank of 12 represents the category you feel is least important.

Each number may only be used once and all numbers must be used.

Example: Football Team Performance (My opinion based on last season -- I am from RI)

- **1**___ New England Patriots *(best)*
- **_3__** Detroit Lions (second worst)
- _4__ Cincinnati Bengals (worst)
- 2_____ St Louis Rams (second best)

Considering the ultimate mission of PACAF installations is to generate and recover sorties, I would judge the relative importance of these facility groups to be as follows, with rationale as given:

- <u>1</u> Operations and Training (airfield, radar, fuel storage, fire station)
 - Without an airfield and related facilities, including fuel storage, we can't generate or recover sorties.
- <u>5</u> Mobility (Air Freight/Passenger Terminal, Deploy/Depot Material Processing)
 - Freight and passengers can be processed without a facility, but it's safer and more efficient to have permanent facilities.
- <u>2</u> Maintenance and Production (Aircraft and vehicle maint/repair/production shops)



- As we become more and more dependent on high tech and specialized equipment, some maintenance/production activities are dependent on real property facilities.
- <u>11</u> Research, Development, Testing, and Evaluation (Labs, Research, Wind tunnel)
 - Necessary for future sortie generation, but not for current ops.
- <u>6</u> Supply (Storage (MOGAS/solvent storage, Supplies/equip sheds)
 - Supplies can be stored and issued outdoors or in portable buildings, but it's safer and more efficient to have permanent facilities.
- <u>4</u> Medical (Medical services/clinics, Medical labs)
 - We can work around not having fixed medical facilities, but maintenance/repair of air crews and mission generators is heavily dependent on fixed facilities.
- <u>8</u> Administrative (Admin, Headquarters office)
 - Permanent administrative facilities are not necessary for sortie generation, but they improve efficiency.
- <u>7</u> Community Support (Dining hall, Education center, Base Laundry, Schools)
 - Food can be served cold in work areas or from expedient facilities, but a permanent dining facility is more efficient and enhances readiness.
- <u>10</u> MFH (Family Housing, Leased Family Housing, Trailer court)
 - The AF does not have to be in the family housing business; 60% of AF members live off base in private sector housing, and the percent could rise without affecting operations.
- <u>9</u> Dormitories (Recruit/Cadet Quarters, other dormitories)
 - As a matter of policy, on-base housing is required for our youngest airmen, though it could be in the form of non-real property (tents).
- <u>3</u> U&GI (Heating/Treatment plant, electric station, roads, sidewalks, land)
 - Land (bombing ranges and drop zones) is essential for maintaining readiness. We can work around having real property utility systems; but every element of mission support depends on utilities, and expedient utility systems are labor



intensive and inefficient. This would be my rank for this group even if ranges/drop zones fall in Ops & Trng.

- <u>12</u> Environmental (trees, natural terrain, lakes, rivers)
 - Sortie generation and recovery are not dependent on aesthetics, but a pleasant, responsibly maintained environment is nice to have.



Question 2:

Each category represents a grouping of facilities or features with similar qualities. While similar to Question 1, this question allows the relative weights of the categories to be determined (i.e., a score of 10 is twice as important as a score of 5). It also allows for categories to be weighted equally, if desired.

INSTRUCTIONS:

Assign each of the following categories a value ranging from 1 to 10 where a score of 10 represents the category that you believe is most important and a score of 1 represents the category you feel is least important.

Assign only one category a value of 10 and only one category a value of 1; however, feel free to use the other values more than once (i.e., you may score three categories as a 5).

Example: Football Team Performance

- **_10** New England Patriots
- **3** Detroit Lions
- _1_ Cincinnati Bengals
- 9 St Louis Rams
- **__3** Dallas Cowboys
- <u>10</u> Operations and Training (airfield, radar, fuel storage, fire station)
- _7_ Mobility (Air Freight/Passenger Terminal, Deploy/Depot Material Processing)
- <u>8</u> Maintenance and Production (Aircraft and vehicle maint/repair/production shops)
- <u>2</u> Research, Development, Testing, and Evaluation (Labs, Research, Wind tunnel)
- <u>7</u> Supply (Storage (MOGAS/solvent storage, Supplies/equip sheds)
- <u>8</u> Medical (Medical services/clinics, Medical labs)
- <u>6</u> Administrative (Admin, Headquarters office)
- <u>6</u> Community Support (Dining hall, Education center, Base Laundry, Schools)
- <u>3</u> MFH (Family Housing, Leased Family Housing, Trailer court)
- <u>6</u> Dormitories (Recruit/Cadet Quarters, other dormitories)
- <u>8</u> U&GI (Heating/Treatment plant, electric station, roads, sidewalks, land)
- <u>1</u> Environmental (trees, natural terrain, lakes, rivers)



Appendix D: Decision Analysis Model for Refreshment of GeoBase Imagery

A decision analysis model was developed to aid the MAJCOMs in developing a strategic investment plan for maintaining current installation imagery. This decision model based on the square footage of changes to the installation can provide guidance to the MAJCOM on determining their installations' GeoBase imagery refreshment. The model can be broken into two main parts: reporting process and change value. The reporting process is developed by the MAJCOMs. After the reporting process is developed by the MAJCOMs. After the reporting process is developed areport to send to the MAJCOM.

This appendix contains step-by-step instructions for the MAJCOM for implementation of the installation reporting process. The instructions are broken into two stages: Determining the Category Weights and Develop Requirements. Appendix E: Installation Instruction Booklet provides information on the report format. These suggestions are meant to provide guidance for the MAJCOM.



MAJCOM INSTRUCTION SHEET

STAGE 1: DETERMINING THE CATEGORY WEIGHTS

1. Rank-Order Categories

This step involves prioritizing each of the following 12 categories. Rank order the following categories from 1 to 12 where a rank of 1 represents the category that you believe is most important and a rank of 12 represents the category you feel is least important. Each number may only be used once and all numbers must be used. Suggested MAJCOM personnel to rank the categories are the MAJCOM Commander and the Civil Engineering Commander.

- _____ Operations and Training (airfield, radar, fuel storage, fire station)
- _____ Mobility (Air Freight/Passenger Terminal, Deploy/Depot Material Processing)
- _____ Maintenance and Production (Aircraft and vehicle maint/repair/production shops)
- Research, Development, Testing, and Evaluation (Labs, Research, Wind tunnel)
- _____ Supply (Storage (MOGAS/solvent storage, Supplies/equip sheds)
- _____ Medical (Medical services/clinics, Medical labs)
- _____ Administrative (Admin, Headquarters office)
- ____ Community Support (Dining hall, Education center, Base Laundry, Schools)
- _____ MFH (Family Housing, Leased Family Housing, Trailer court)
- _____ Dormitories (Recruit/Cadet Quarters, other dormitories)
- _____ U&GI (Heating/Treatment plant, electric station, roads, sidewalks, land)
- _____ Environmental (trees, natural terrain, lakes, rivers)

2. Place each category next to the assigned rank from above

Position Rank	Weight	Category
1	37	
2	25	
3	19	
4	15	
5	12	
6	10	
7	8	
8	6	
9	5	
10	3	
11	2	
12	1	



Each category now has been assigned a weighted value to be distributed to the installations. An example is shown in Appendix F. These category weights are to be used when the number of categories equals twelve. When the number of categories is different from twelve, Equation 2 in Chapter Four must be used in determined the category weights.

STAGE 2: DEVELOP REQUIREMENTS

1. Timeline

Timeline should provide an overview of the imagery refreshment process for the installations. Factors to consider include budgeting procedures, GeoBase funding process, and end-of-year fallout funds.

The timeline should include submittal deadlines for the installation. Timeline should include decision dates of the MAJCOM.

2. Reporting Chain

The installation report is developed by the installation GeoBase personnel. Proper routing of the report ensures the proper personnel at the installation and the MAJCOM are informed of the process and results.

The reporting chain could include the Base Civil Engineer, Mission Support Group, and the installation or wing commander at the installation. The report should be sent to the MAJCOM in accordance with their guidance.

3. MAJCOM Decision Process

Each installation should be informed of the decision and prioritization process used by the MAJCOM. Each MAJCOM should identify each factor used in determining the priority list of the installations. Suggested factors should include change value, types of facilities that have changed, and any special circumstances or situations.



Appendix E: Determining Change Value Instruction Booklet

A systematic reporting process developed by the MAJCOMs can be used in determining installation imagery refreshment requirements. A proposed instruction and report format is provided in this appendix for use by the installations. The first step of developing the reporting process is performed by each MAJCOM. The second step is determining the change value and producing an installation report to be sent to the MAJCOM.

Step-by-step instructions for the installation for using the change model and developing a report are broken into following five steps:

- Determine Total Square Footage
- Collect Changes to the Installation
- Prepare Data for Model
- Input Data into Model
- Develop Installation Report

These suggestions are meant to provide guidance for the installation. These suggestions do not supercede MAJCOM requirements.



INSTALLATION INSTRUCTION SHEET

<u>STEP 1</u> DETERMINE TOTAL SQUARE FOOTAGE OF ALL THE FEATURES

OF THE INSTALLATION

- Obtain a current report of the installation's real property
- Real Property Flight can provide this information
- Convert all facilities using an area measurement to square footage using Excel
- Omit all facilities not using an area measurement
- Omit all land measurements
 - Unless land acquisition/release has occurring during the change period
- Ensure each facility's area is counted once
 - Real Property records record total square footage, then broken down by category code
- Sum all values to produce a total facility square footage for installation

STEP 2 COLLECT CHANGES TO THE INSTALLATION

- Sources for obtaining changes to the installation include:
 - ACES reports Real Property and Project Management Modules
 - Demolition reports or records
 - Information about installation changes from the Engineering, Operations, Environmental, and Real Property Flights
- Use date of last imagery acquisition as starting point for change period
- Types of changes include:
 - New construction
 - Demolition
 - Additions to existing facilities
 - Changes to existing topographical features

STEP 3 PREPARE DATA FOR MODEL

- Determine the model category for each change
 - Identify the IRR category using the facility's category code
 - Place all changes without a category code into the Environmental category
 - All changes must be placed into one of the model's twelve categories and inputted into an Excel spreadsheet



- Use an Excel Spreadsheet to identifying and grouping the changed features by categories
 - The Excel spreadsheet should contain 13 categories. A brief description of each category is provided below.

INST_NAME_40	The name of the installation
FACT_ID_NR	A unique number assigned by the installation
CAT_NOMENCLATURE	A short description of the function of the facility
RP_AREA_AMT	Area value of the facility
AREA_UOM	The unit of measure for the facility's area
RP_OTH_AMT	Facility's value if another unit of measurement is used
OTH_UOM	Unit of measure for the facility if different measure is used
RP_CAT_PRES	Category Code assigned to the facility
ТҮРЕ	The type of change, i.e. demolition, new, addition
YR_COMP	Fiscal year the change was completed
IRR Category	Identifies which IRR Category for the facility
Coding	Number assigned to a category to sort the list by categories
Subtotal	Total square footage of each change within each category

STEP 4 INPUT DATA FOR MODEL

- Input category weightings determined by MAJCOM into the equation
- Input the installation's changes for each category into equation
 - $\circ~$ Each category is represented in the equation (located on the next page) by the letters A through L
 - \circ $\,$ The SF of the changes of each category are summed in the equation
- Input the total facility square footage of the installation into the equation

 Multiply the change value by 100



$$\Delta \mathbf{V} := \left(\frac{100}{T}\right) \cdot \mathbf{W}_{1} \left(\mathbf{A}_{1} + \mathbf{A}_{2} + ...\mathbf{A}_{N}\right) + \mathbf{W}_{2} \cdot \left(\mathbf{B}_{1} + \mathbf{B}_{2} + ...\mathbf{B}_{N}\right) + ...\mathbf{W}_{12} \cdot \left(\mathbf{L}_{1} + \mathbf{L}_{2} + ...\mathbf{L}_{N}\right)$$

where

ΔV	=	Value representing the amount of change to the installation when compared with its imagery
Т	=	Total square footage of the installation's features
Ν	=	<i>Total number of features that have changed since the imagery was acquired</i>
Wi	=	Category Weightings received from MAJCOM
Ai	=	Operations and Training features (total SF)
Bi	=	Mobility features (total SF)
Ci	=	Maintenance and Production features (total SF)
Di	=	Research, Development, Testing, & Evaluation features (total SF)
Ei	=	Supply features (total SF)
Fi	=	Medical features (total SF)
Gi	=	Administrative features (total SF)
Hi	=	Community Support features (total SF)
Ii	=	Housing features (total SF)
Ji	=	Dormitories features (total SF)
Ki	=	Utilities & Ground Improvements features (total SF)
Li	=	Environmental features (total SF)

For example, changes in the Operations and Training category (Ai) would be summed and multiply by the category weight (W_1) received by the MAJCOM. A complete example is provided using data from Kadena Air Base.



Appendix F: Sample Report

An example is provided using data from Kadena Air Base. The category weights

for each category were determined by the MAJCOM and are as follows:

Category	Weight
Operations & Training	37
Maintenance and Production	25
UGI	19
Medical	15
Mobility	12
Supply	10
Community Support	8
Admin	6
Dormitories	5
Housing	3
RDT & E	2
Environmental	1

Using the category subtotals from the list of changes from Kadena Air Base

produces the following table (for example, the total SF of changes within the UGI

category is 36,459):

Category	Subtotals
Operations & Training	68524
Maintenance and Production	66356
UGI	36459
Medical	248830
Mobility	0
Supply	67119
Community Support	278939
Admin	14921
Dormitories	461171
Housing	0
RDT & E	0
Environmental	0

Inputting this information into the equation and a total feature square footage of

29714309 results in:

$$\Delta \mathbf{V} = \left(\frac{100}{29714309}\right) \cdot (37.68524 + 12.0 + 25.66356 + 2.0 + 10.67119 + 15.248830 + 6.14921 + 8.278939 + 3.0 + 5.461171 + 19.36459 + 1.0)$$

The equation calculates a change value of 46.8. The following is a sample report format to be used by the installation.



GEOBASE INSTALLATION CHANGE REPORT KADENA AIR BASE (RESEARCH EXAMPLE)

CHANGE VALUE 46.8

DISCUSSION

Request funding for refreshment of base imagery. A change value of 46.8 justifies a refreshment of installation imagery an effective use of resources. Some important changes to the installation include:

- Approximately 500K of construction of dormitories
- Over 50K of VOQ construction
- A new Air Freight Terminal, providing mission critical operations
- A general change on the installation totaling over 1M square feet

ATTACHED

IMAGERY MAP

LIST OF CHANGES


IMAGERY MAP





www.manaraa.com

Subtotal														66,924										66,356	2,883										
Coding	-	-	-	-	-		-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	3	3	3	ŝ	ŝ	ŝ	3	ŝ	ŝ	с,	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
IRR Category	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Operations & Training	Maintenance & Production	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply									
YR_ COMP	1998	1998	1998	1999	2000	1998	2000	2000	2000	2001	2001	2000	2002	1998	2000	2001	2002	2000	2000	1998	2000	2001	1999	1998	1998	1998	1998	1998	1998	1998	2000	2001	1998	2001	2001
TYPE	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
RP_CAT_P RES	116922	116922	116922	116945	141782	141782	141753	131111	131111	179371	171621	171621	171621	134351	219947	218712	218712	214428	214428	211159	211152	219947	216642	215582	442769	442769	442769	442769	411135	411135	442628	442758	422275	422264	422264
U_OTH_A OTH_U MT 0M	1 EA	1 EA	1 EA	1 EA		0				1 EA				1 EA						0									80,000 BL	80,000 BL			1 EA	1 EA	1 EA
RP_AREA_AREA H AMT_U0M	0	0	0		18,955 SF	89 SF	26,210 SF	15,381 SF	241 SF		562 SF	2,700 SF	2,700 SF	86 SF	3,096 SF	3,354 SF	4,558 SF	4,836 SF	4,836 SF	2,721 SF	2,244 SF	7,440 SF	18,116 SF	15,155 SF	1,203 SF	1,163 SF	386 SF	386 SF	0	0	334 SF	438 SF		1,991 SF	1,991 SF
CAT_NOMENCLATURE	ACFT ARES SYS SPT	ACFT ARES SYS SPT	ACFT ARES SYS SPT	DEFLECTOR, BLST	TRML, AIR FRT	TRML, AIR FRT	SQ OPS	COMM FCLTY	COMM FCLTY	TNG AID	TECH TNG CLASSROOM	TECH TNG CLASSROOM	TECH TNG CLASSROOM	ILS GLIDE SLOPE	BE STOR SHED	SHP A/SE STOR FCLT	SHP A/SE STOR FCLT	VEH OPS PKNG SHED	VEH OPS PKNG SHED	ACFT COR CON	SHP ACFT GEN PURP	BE STOR SHED	SHP CONVL MUN	SHP, SRVLL INSP	HSG SUP&STOR FCLTY	HSG SUP&STOR FCLTY	HSG SUP&STOR FCLTY	HSG SUP&STOR FCLTY	STOR, JET FL	STOR, JET FL	SHED SUP&EQUIP BSE	WHSE SUP&EQUIP BSE	ANCLY EXPLO FCLTY	STOR, IGLOO	STOR, IGLOO
FACT_I D_NR	1055	1064	1181	1280	3400	3401	3507	3628	23628	45019	45020	45105	45106	73335	851	3422	3466	3470	3471	3551	3639	45503	45811	47801	171	616	760	770	1218	1219	3157	3465	47099	48953	48954
INSTL_NAME_	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA

KADENA AIR BASE CHANGED FEATURES

المنسارات

LIST OF CHANGES

			66,245	231,766			14,429																			171,532				267,686											2,883
S	S	5	5	9	7	7	7	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	8	10	10	10	10	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11	11
Supply	Supply	Supply	Supply	Medical	Administration	Administration	Administration	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Community Support	Dormitories	Dormitories	Dormitories	Dormitories	DGI	nGI	n UGI	n G I	n UGI	n UGI	UGI	UGI	UGI	n UGI	n G I
2001	2001	2001	1999	1999	1998	2001	1998	1999	2002	1999	2001	3661	1999	200(1999	2002	3661	1998	1999	1999	1999	1999	2001	2002	1999	1999	2001	2001	1995	1995	3661	1998	1999	1998	200(200(3661	1999	2002	1999	1998
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
422264	422264	422264	422271	510001	610127	610142	610144	750371	730441	723392	722351	740884	722351	740735	740884	730783	740672	740672	740672	740672	730837	760512	750371	750371	750581	750581	721312	721312	721312	721312	843316	841169	832267	811149	811149	811149	841169	832267	811149	811149	843319
1 EA	1 EA	1 EA		1 BD	0			1 EA			1,000 PN		1,000 PN								0	3 EA	1 EA	1 EA	1 EA	2 EA	194 PN	194 PN	194 PN	194 PN	1,821 GM										5 KG
1,991 SF	1,991 SF	1,991 SF	52,380 SF	231,766 SF	1,375 SF	10,791 SF	2,263 SF	1,132 SF	46,532 SF	544 SF	17,900 SF	23,594 SF	15,521 SF	8,400 SF	23,594 SF	32,927 SF	43 SF	43 SF	43 SF	43 SF	96 SF		560 SF	560 SF			66,736 SF	67,628 SF	66,661 SF	66,661 SF	710 SF	299 SF	220 SF	216 SF	277 SF	204 SF	299 SF	232 SF	193 SF	233 SF	0
STOR, IGLOO	STOR, IGLOO	STOR, IGLOO	STOR MODULE BARCAD	COMPOSITE MED	BSE ENGR ADMIN	TRAFFIC MGT FCLTY	MUN MAINT ADMIN	O/D RECTN PAVILION	EDUCATION CEN	SAN LATRINE	DH, AMN(DET)	CHILD CARE CEN	DH, AMN(DET)	RESTAURANT, BASE	CHILD CARE CEN	SCH DEPN DET SPT	MWR SUP/NAF C-STOR	MWR SUP/NAF C-STOR	MWR SUP/NAF C-STOR	MWR SUP/NAF C-STOR	SP ENTRY CON BLDG	MONUMENTS/MEMORL	O/D RECTN PAVILION	O/D RECTN PAVILION	MISC O/RECTN FCLTY	MISC O/RECTN FCLTY	DORM AM PP/PCS-STD	DORM AM PP/PCS-STD	DORM AM PP/PCS-STD	DORM AM PP/PCS-STD	WTR FR PMP STN	BLDG WTR SUP	SAN SEWAGE PMP STN	ELEC PWR STN BLDG	ELEC PWR STN BLDG	ELEC PWR STN BLDG	BLDG WTR SUP	SAN SEWAGE PMP STN	ELEC PWR STN BLDG	ELEC PWR STN BLDG	FR PROTEC WTR STOR
48955	48956	48957	49611	626	235	3151	47899	28	59	156	178	334	<i>7</i> 72	3629	4081	9497	20334	20335	24081	24082	46015	48090	70138	70613	71849	74081	176	177	629	630	180	342	2834	3335	3397	3506	4067	5011	9498	46014	49050
KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA	KADENA

المتسارات

135

Bibliography

- 1. ACC Mission. August 7, 2002. <u>https://wwwmil.acc.af.mil/welcome.stml</u>.
- 2. Andriole, Stephen J. *Handbook of Problem Solving: An Analytical Methodology*. New York: Petrocelli Books, Inc., 1983.
- 3. Chief's Sight Picture. "Combat Wing Organization." May 28, 2002. http://www.issues.af.mil/hot/CSAF06-03-02.pdf.
- 4. Cornell, Alexander H. *The Decision-Makers Handbook*. Englewood Cliffs New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, Inc., 1980.
- Cullis, Brian J. "Installation Geospatial Information & Services: New Situational Awareness For Commanders." Air War College, Maxwell AFB, AL. October 2000.
- 6. Department of the Air Force. Air Force Doctrine Document 2-4. Combat Support. AFDD 2.4. Washington DC: HQ USAF, November 22, 1999.
- Department of the Air Force. Air Force Doctrine Document 2-4.4. Bases, Infrastructure, and Facilities. AFDD 2.4.4. Washington DC: HQ USAF, November 13, 1999.
- 8. Department of the Air Force. Air Force Facility Investment Metric Directive. Washington DC: HQ USAF/ILEO, 26 September 2001.
- 9. Department of the Air Force. Air Force Instruction 32-9005. Real Property Accountability and Reporting. Washington DC: HQ USAF, 30 September 1994. Available at <u>http://afpubs.hq.af.mil</u>. July 7, 2002.
- Department of the Air Force. Air Force Instruction 38-101. Manpower and Organization. Air Force Organization. Washington DC: HQ USAF, July 1, 1998. Available at <u>http://afpubs.hq.af.mil</u>. July 7, 2002.
- 11. Department of the Air Force. "FY 2001 Air Force Installations' Readiness Reporting Instructions." Washington DC: HQUSAF/ILEP, October 29, 2001.
- Department of the Air Force. "Implementation of the Chief of Staff of the Air Force Direction to Establish a New Combat Wing Organization Structure." Program Action Directive (PAD) 02-05. Washington DC: HQUSAF, June 20, 2002.



- 13. Department of Defense. Defining the Installation Business. Available at <u>http://www.acq.osd.mil/installation/inst/icim/busdef.htm</u>. July 28, 2002.
- 14. "FY 2000 Amended Plant Replacement Value." Report generated from the Automated Civil Engineering System (ACES) Database, HQ USAF/ILEP, June 2000.
- 15. GeoBase Demo. United States Air Force GeoBase. http://www.geobase.org/Library/index.cfm?cat=3. April, 2001.
- 16. "The GIS Glossary." Environmental Systems Research Institute. ESRI Library. http://www.esri.com/library/glossary/glossary.html.
- 17. Global Positioning System (GPS). Mission and Spacecraft Library. http://leonardo.jpl.nasa.gov/msl/Programs/gps.html. July 7, 2002.
- Global Positioning System Overview. The Geographer's Craft: The Global Positioning System. <u>http://www.colorado.edu/geography/gcraft/notes/gps/gps_f.html</u>. July 7, 2002.
- 19. Hill, Percy H. and others. *Making Decisions, A Multidisciplinary Introduction*. Reading: Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, 1981.
- 20. Institute for Information Technology Applications. GeoBase Forum. United States Air Force Academy. January/March 2000.
- 21. Jensen, Dan. PowerPoint Briefing. Briefing slides. Manpower Staff Officer Course. Pentagon, Washington DC 9 November 2002.
- 22. Jia, Jianmin, Gregory W. Fischer, and James S. Dyer. "Attribute Weighting Methods and Decision Quality in the Presence of Response Error: A Simulation Study," *Journal of Behavioral Decision Making*, (May 1993, revised April 1997.)
- 23. Lackland Air Force Base. United States Air Force. http://www.lackland.af.mil/homepage/37thwinghome.htm.
- 24. NordAtlantic USA. <u>https://www.gpssignal.com/24satellites.htm</u>. September 26, 2002.
- 25 Overview of Mathematical Modeling. <u>http://www.cbr.washington.edu/crisp/models/crisp2manual/intro5.html</u>. October 1, 2002.
- 26. The Remote Sensing Tutorial. <u>http://rst.gsfc.nasa.gov/start.html</u>. July 8, 2002.



- 27. Roberts, Rodney. Deputy Base Civil Engineer. 14th Civil Engineer Squadron. Columbus Air Force Base, MS. Telephone Interview. November 2002.
- 28. SAS. Data Mining Cookbook Seven Key Steps to Effective Predictive Modeling. http://www.sas.com/service/edu/courses/bdmck.html. October 10, 2002.
- 29. United States Air Force GeoBase. <u>www.geobase.org</u>. July 8, 2002. Web site removed. New address <u>http://www.il.hq.af.mil/geobase/</u>.
- United States Air Force GeoBase. <u>http://www.il.hq.af.mil/geobase/</u>. December 10, 2002.
- 31. United States Air Force GeoBase Program Overview. PowerPoint Presentation by HAF GEO Integration Office. HQ USAF/ILEXI. July 2002.
- 32. United States General Accounting Office, Information Resources: Summary of Federal Agencies' Information Resources Management Problems. GAO/IMTEC-92-13FS. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC. 1992.
- 34. United States Geological Survey (USGS). Geographic Information Systems. http://www.usgs.gov/research/gis/title.html.



REPORT I	OCUMENTATIO	N PAGE		Form Approved OMB No. 074-0188
The public reporting burden for this collection of informaintaining the data needed, and completing and resuggestions for reducing this burden to Department of Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302. Respondent information if it does not display a currently valid OM PLEASE DO NOT RETURN YOUR FORM T	mation is estimated to average 1 hor viewing the collection of information. of Defense, Washington Headquarte s should be aware that notwithstand B control number. O THE ABOVE ADDRESS.	ur per response, includi Send comments regar rs Services, Directorate ing any other provision	ing the time for review rding this burden esti e for Information Ope of law, no person sh	wing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and imate or any other aspect of the collection of information, including irrations and Reports (0704-0188), 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, iall be subject to an penalty for failing to comply with a collection of
1. REPORT DATE (<i>DD-MM-YYYY</i>) 25-03-2003		3. DATES COVERED (From – To) Jun 2002 – Mar 2003		
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER
DECISION ANALYSIS MO IMAGERY: BASIS	DEL FOR REFRESHM FOR INVESTMENT S	BASE	5b. GRANT NUMBER	
				5c. PROGRAM ELEMENT NUMBER
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER
Craig Matthew S Capt I	ISAF		L	
cruig, muunew 5., cupt, c	507 H			Se. TASK NUMBER
				5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NA Air Force Institute of Tech	MES(S) AND ADDRESS(S) nology)		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER
Graduate School of Engine 2950 P Street, Building 640 WPAFB OH 45433-7765	ering and Managemo	ent (AFIT/EI	N)	AFIT/GEE/ENV/03-05
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGE	NCY NAME(S) AND ADDR	ESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)
HAF Geo Integration Office, AF	/ILE-I HQ AFC	ESA/CEOF Col Robert A H	lamel	
Jefferson Plaza 2, Suite 820	139 Barne	es Drive Suite 1		11. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S REPORT
1421 Jefferson Davis Hwy	Tyndall A	AFB, Florida 32	403-5319	NUMBER(S)
Arlington, VA 22202-3276	DSN: 523	3-6238	all of mil	
e-mail Brian Cullis@pentagon a	f mil	b.namel@tynda	all.al.mll	
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY ST	ATEMENT			
APPROVED FOR PUBLIC RELEAS	E; DISTRIBUTION UNLIMI	TED.		
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES				
14. ABSTRACT				
The United States Air For	ce is in the process of in	nplementing Ge	oBase, an init	tiative to change how geospatial information
resources are being acquired, imple	emented, exploited, and	sustained on US	SAF installation	ons around the world. Using GeoBase
system, using commercial-off-the-s	shelf software to provide	e one source for	spatial inform	nation on an installation. GeoBase uses
imagery as one layer of information	n. The accuracy of the i	magery directly	impacts the e	effectiveness of GeoBase.
The purpose of this thesis	was to develop a decision	on model to be	used in detern	nining imagery refreshment in an
installation's GeoBase program. A	decision analysis mode	el was developed	d based on the	e square footages of changes to the
effort resulted in a mathematical effort	ustion providing a value	na usea to belle e representing t	be amount of	change on an installation when compared
with its imagery.	fuution providing a valu	e representing t	ne uniount of	enange on an instantion when compared
Results of this effort were	tested using information	n from six insta	llations from	three different major commands. This model
produces a value comparable acros	s the Air Force and can	assist in determ	ining refreshr	nent strategies at each MAJCOM.
15. SUBJECT TERMS				
GeoBase, GIS, imagery, refreshment,	management, mathemati	cal model, weigh	nting methods,	weights, decision analysis
16. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF:	17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT	18. NUMBER OF	19a. NAME Heidi S. Broth	OF RESPONSIBLE PERSON ners, Lt Col, USAF (ENV)
a. REPORT b. ABSTRACT C. THIS PAGE	UUU	150	19b. TELEP (937) 255-363	PHONE NUMBER (Include area code) 66, ext 4800; e-mail: Heidi.brothers@afit.edu
		150	1	Standard Form 298 (Rev. 8-98)
•• .				Freschued by Anol Sta. 239-18
				www.manaraa.com

